## On the Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Tensors in Vn

## Hyun Jin-oh

Vn 공간에서 Nonholonomic Tensor 들의 공변미분에 관하여

玄 進 五

### I. Introduction

Let  $e_i^{\nu}$  (=1, 2, ..., n) be a set of n linearly independent vectors in n-dimensional Riemnnian space  $V_n$  referred to a real coordinate system  $x^{\nu}$ . There is a unique reciprocal set of *n* linearly idenpendent covariant vectors  $e_{\lambda}$  (j=1, 2, ..., n) satisfying

(1.1)  $e^{\nu} e^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = \delta^{\nu}_{\lambda} \qquad e^{\lambda} e^{\lambda}_{\lambda} = \delta^{i}_{j}(**).$ 

Within the vectors  $e_{i}^{V}$  and  $e_{\lambda}^{J}$ , a nonholonomic fram of  $V_{n}$  defined in the following way.

**Definition 1.1.** If  $T_{y}^{\lambda}$  are holonomic components of a tensor. Then its nonholonomic components are defined by

(1.2) 
$$\Gamma_{j\ldots}^{i\ldots} \stackrel{def}{=} T_{\lambda\ldots}^{\nu\ldots} \stackrel{i}{e_{\nu}} \stackrel{i}{e^{\lambda}} \cdots$$

Theorem 1.2. The derivative of  $e^{\lambda}$  is negative self-adjoint. That is

(1.3) 
$$\partial_k \left( \stackrel{j}{e_{\lambda}} \right) \stackrel{e^{\mu}}{j} - \partial_k \left( \stackrel{e^{\mu}}{j} \right) \stackrel{j}{e_{\lambda}}$$

Theorem 1.3. The holonomic components of the christoffel symbol as follows;

$$(1.4)a \quad [\lambda\mu, \omega] = [j_k, m] \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\nu}^{m}$$

$$+ a_{jk}(\partial_{\mu}^{i}e_{\lambda}) e_{\mu}^{k}$$

$$(1.4) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \nu\\ \lambda\mu \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} i\\ jk \end{array} \right\} e^{\nu} e_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k} - (i\partial_{\mu} e^{\nu}) e_{\lambda}^{i}$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} i\\ jk \end{array} \right\} e^{\nu} e_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{k} + (\partial_{\mu}^{i}e_{\beta}) e^{\nu}.$$

# II. Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Covariant Tensors in $V_n$ .

We know the derivative of the holonomic covariant and contravariant tensors in  $V_n$ .

In this section, reconstruct and prove the derivative of holonomic components which represented by the nonholonomic component with respect to tensors in  $V_n$ . Furthermore, we study the derivative of the nonholonomic frame.

Take a coordinate system  $y^i$  for which we have at a point p of  $V_n$ 

(2.1) 
$$\frac{\partial y^i}{\partial r\lambda} = e^j_{\lambda}, \quad \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial v^i} = e^j_{\lambda}$$

<sup>(\*\*)</sup> Throughout the present paper, Greek indices take values  $1, 2, \dots, n$  unles explicitly stated otherwise and follow the summation convention, while Roman indices are used for the nonholonomic componts of a tensor and run from 1 to n. Roman in dices also follow the summation convention.

**Theorem 2.1.** The covariant derivative of the holonomic covariant tensor  $T_{\nu\lambda}$  may be expressed in terms of the nonholonomic components.

(2.2) 
$$T_{\nu\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} T_{ij} - T_{gj} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \varrho \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{ig} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \varrho \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} e_{\nu}^{k} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\mu}^{k}$$

**Proof.** In order to prove (2.2), the derivative of the tensor  $T_{\nu\lambda}$  with respect to  $x^{\mu}$  interchange to the nonholonomic in the following ways;

From (1.2) and (2.1), we have

(2.2) 
$$T_{\nu\lambda,\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} T_{ij} - T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{cases} \varrho \\ ik \end{cases} \right\}$$
$$- T_{ij} \left\{ \begin{cases} k \\ ik \end{cases} e^{i}_{\nu} e^{j}_{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu} \right\}.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} (T_{ij} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda})$$
$$= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu^{k}} T_{ij}\right) - \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu} + T_{ij} (\partial_{k} \stackrel{e^{i}}{e}_{\nu})$$
$$\stackrel{j}{e}_{\lambda} e^{k}_{\mu} + T_{ij} (\partial_{k} \stackrel{j}{e}_{\beta}) e^{k}_{\mu} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu}.$$

(2.4) can be obtained by making use of (1.2) and (1.4)b

(2.4) 
$$T_{\omega\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \nu \mu \end{matrix} \right\} = T_{gj} \stackrel{\varrho}{e}_{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \varrho \\ i,k \end{matrix} \right\} e_{\varrho}^{\omega} \stackrel{i}{e}_{\nu} e_{\mu}^{k} + T_{gj} \stackrel{\varrho}{e}_{\omega} e_{j}^{\omega} (\partial_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{i}).$$

Similary, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} T_{\nu} \\ \lambda \mu \end{cases} = T_{i\ell} \stackrel{i}{e} \stackrel{\ell}{v} \stackrel{\ell}{e} \underset{\omega}{e} \begin{cases} \ell \\ j,k \end{cases} \stackrel{\omega}{e} \stackrel{j}{e} \stackrel{e}{e} \underset{j}{e} \stackrel{i}{e} \underset{j}{e} \stackrel{k}{e} \underset{j}{e} \stackrel{k}{\mu} + T_{i\ell} \stackrel{i}{e} \stackrel{\ell}{v} \stackrel{e}{e} \underset{j}{\omega} \stackrel{e^{\omega}}{e} \stackrel{(\partial_{\mu} e}{\mu} \stackrel{j}{\lambda}.$$

If from (2.3) subtract the sum of these two equations (2.4) and (2.5), and making use of (1.1), we have

$$(2.6) \qquad \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \nu \mu \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} \\ = \left[ \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^{k}} - T_{kj} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{ik} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\}^{i} e_{\nu} e_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{i} \\ + \left[ T_{ij} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\lambda}^{i} (o_{k} e_{\nu}) - T_{kj} \delta_{j}^{k} e_{\lambda}^{i} (o_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{i}) \right] \\ + \left[ T_{ij} e_{\mu}^{k} e_{\nu}^{i} (o_{k} e_{\lambda}) - T_{ik} e_{\nu}^{i} \delta_{j}^{k} (o_{\mu} e_{\nu}^{i}) \right]$$

But, the second and third class of right hand side of (2.6) are vanish. That is

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \nu \mu \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^{k}}$$
$$-T_{kj} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ ik \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{ik} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ j\mu k \end{matrix} \right\} \quad \stackrel{i}{e_{\nu}} \stackrel{j}{e_{\lambda}} \stackrel{k}{e_{\mu}}.$$

Hance (2.7) is equivalent to (2.2).

Corollary 2.2. We have

(2.8) 
$$T_{ij,k} = \left[\frac{\partial T_{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - T_{\omega\lambda} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \nu \mu \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$
$$\frac{e^{\nu} e^{\lambda}}{i} e^{\mu}_{k}.$$

**Proof.** By means of (1.1), (1.2) and (2.7), we have (2.8), where

(2.9) 
$$T_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial T_{ij}}{\partial y^k} - T_{kj} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \\ ik \end{array} \right\} - T_{ik} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \\ ik \end{array} \right\}$$

### III. Covariant Differentiation of the Nonholonomic Contravariant and Mixtensors in V<sub>n</sub>

The purpose of the present section is to investigate some relation between two tensor field  $T^{\nu\lambda}$  and  $T^{\vec{\nu}}$ .

- 194 -

0.2

Theorem 3.1. The covariant derivative of the holonomic contravariant tensor  $T^{\nu\lambda}$  may be expressed in terms of the components of nonholonomic contravariant tensors

$$(3.1) \quad T^{\nu\lambda}_{\mu} = \left[\frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^k} + T^{i\,\varrho} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ \varrho_k \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{jm} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ m_k \end{matrix} \right\} \right]$$
$$\frac{e^{\nu}}{i} \frac{e^{\lambda}}{j} \frac{e^k}{\mu}.$$

**Proof.** Similary methods of the above solution of (2.2), from (1.2) and (2.1), we have

(3.2) 
$$\frac{\partial T^{\nu}\lambda}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \frac{\partial T^{\nu}}{\partial y^{k}} e^{\nu}_{i} e^{\lambda}_{j} e^{k}_{\mu}$$

$$+ T^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} e^{\nu}_{i} \right) e^{\lambda}_{j} e^{k}_{\mu}$$

$$+ T^{ij} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}} e^{\lambda}_{j} \right) e^{\nu}_{i} e^{k}_{\mu} .$$

By means of (1.2) and (1.4)b, we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad T^{\nu\omega} \begin{cases} \lambda \\ \omega\mu \end{cases} = T^{j\varrho} \begin{cases} i \\ \varrho_k \end{cases} e^{\nu}_i e^{\lambda}_j e^{k}_{\mu} + T^{j\varrho} (\partial_{\mu} e^{\rho}_{\omega}) e^{\nu}_i e^{\lambda}_{\rho} e^{\lambda}_{\mu} (3.4) \quad T^{\lambda\theta} \begin{cases} \lambda \\ \theta\mu \end{cases} = T^{jm} \begin{cases} i \\ mk \end{cases} e^{\nu}_i e^{\lambda}_{\rho} e^{k}_{\mu} + T^{jm} (\partial_{\mu} e^{\rho}_{\rho}) e^{\rho}_{\theta} e^{\lambda}_{\rho} e^{\nu}_{m} \end{cases}$$

However, the second terms of right hand side of (2.11) and (2.12), by using (1.3) and properties

(3.5) 
$$T^{\nu\lambda} = T^{\nu\mu}\delta^{\lambda}_{\mu}$$
, are given by  
(3.6)  $T^{i\ell}(\partial_{\mu}e^{0}_{\omega}) e^{\nu}e^{\omega}e^{\lambda}_{k}$   
 $= -T^{i\ell}(\partial_{k}e^{\lambda}) e^{\nu}e^{k}_{\mu}$ 

$$(3.7) \quad T^{jm} \left( \partial_{\mu} \begin{array}{c} {}^{m}_{\theta} \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} {}^{\theta}_{m} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\nu}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\theta}_{m} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\theta}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\nu}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\nu}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\nu}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\nu}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} {}^{\lambda}_{p} \end{array} \right$$

Hence, the sum of these three equations (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) is given by

(3.8) 
$$\frac{\partial T^{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T^{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \lambda \\ \omega \mu \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{\lambda\theta} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \theta \mu \end{matrix} \right\}$$
$$= \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^k} + T^{il} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ lk \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{jm} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ mk \end{matrix} \right\} \quad e^{\nu} \quad e^{\lambda} \quad e^{k}_{\mu}$$

Making use of (2.9), we obtain the derivative of the nonholonomic contravariant tensor.

Corollary 3.2. We have

(3.9) 
$$T^{ij}, k = \left[\frac{\partial T^{\nu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T^{\nu\omega} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \omega \mu \end{array} \right\} + T^{\lambda\theta} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \nu \\ \theta \mu \end{array} \right\} \right] e^{\nu} e^{\lambda} e^{\lambda} e^{k} \mu.$$

**Proof.** In order the prove (3.9), multiplying  $i_{\nu}^{i\,j} e_{\kappa}^{k}$  to both side of (3.1) and making use of (1.1) and (1.2), we have the result, where

$$(3.10) T^{ij}_{,k} = \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial y^k} + T^{ij} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ l_k \end{matrix} \right\} + T^{im} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ m_k \end{matrix} \right\}$$

Theorem 3.3. The covariant derivative of the holonomic mixed tensor  $T_{\lambda}^{\nu}$  may be expressed in terms of the nonholonomic components.

$$(3.11) \quad T^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu} = [T^{l}_{j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ lk \end{matrix} \right\} + T_{j} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ mk \end{matrix} \right\}$$
$$\lambda \quad -T^{l}_{l} \left\{ \begin{matrix} l \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\}] e^{\nu} e^{j}_{k} e^{k}_{\mu}.$$

- 195 -

4 Cheju National University Journal Vol.19 (1984)

**Proof.** Using the properties of (2.2) and (3.1) and making use of (1.2) and (2.1)

$$(3.12)\frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} = (\frac{\partial T_{j}^{i}}{\partial y^{k}})e^{\nu}e^{\nu}_{\lambda}e^{k}_{\mu} + T_{j}^{i}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}e^{\nu}_{i})e^{k}_{\mu}e^{j}_{\lambda}$$
$$+ T_{j}^{i}(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}e^{j}_{\lambda})e^{\nu}_{i}e^{j}_{\lambda}e^{k}_{\mu}$$

By virtiue of (3.5),

$$(3.13) \quad T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \omega \mu \end{matrix} \right\} = T_{j}^{\ell} \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ \ell k \end{matrix} \right\} e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k} \\ - T_{j}^{i} \left( \partial_{k} e_{i}^{\nu} \right) e_{\lambda}^{j} e_{\mu}^{k} \\ (3.14) \quad T_{\omega}^{\nu} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\} = T_{j}^{i} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \ell \\ \bar{\jmath}k \end{matrix} \right\} e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^{i} e_{\mu}^{k} \\ - T_{j}^{i} \left( \partial_{k} e_{\lambda}^{j} \right) e_{i}^{\nu} e_{\mu}^{k}.$$

If from the sum of these two equations (3.12) and (3.13) subtract (3.14), we have (3.15)

(3.15) 
$$\frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \nu \\ \omega \mu \end{matrix} \right\} - T_{\omega}^{\nu} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \omega \\ \lambda \mu \end{matrix} \right\}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial T_j^i}{\partial y^k} + T_j^i & \begin{cases} i\\ Ik \end{bmatrix} - T_{\varrho}^i \begin{bmatrix} \varrho\\ jk \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \varrho^{\nu} e_{\lambda}^j e_{\mu}^k \end{bmatrix}$$

Corollary 3.4. The covariant derivative of the nonholonomic mixed tensor T is given by

(3.16) 
$$T_{j,k}^{i} = \left[\frac{\partial T_{\lambda}^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + T_{\lambda}^{\omega} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \nu \\ \omega \mu \end{array} \right\} \right] .$$
$$- T_{\omega}^{\nu} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \omega \\ \lambda \omega \end{array} \right\} e_{\nu}^{i} e_{j}^{\lambda} e_{k}^{\mu} .$$

**Proof.** (3.16) follow easily from (3.11) by using of (1.1) and (1.2).

The convariant derivative of the holonomic fundamental tensors  $H_{\lambda\mu}$ ,  $H^{\lambda\mu}$  and  $\delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}$  are equivalent to zero.

Making use of the (2.3), (3.4) and (3.16), we have

Corollary 3.5. The covariant derivative of the nonholonomic fundamental tensor  $H_{ij}$ ,  $H^{ij}$  and  $\delta_i^i$  all vanish identically.

#### Literature citea

- C.E. Weatherburn. 1957. An Introduction to Riemannian Geometry and the Tensor calculus. Cambridge University Press.
- [2] J.C.H. Gerretsen. 1962. Lectures on Tensor calculus and Differential Geometry. P. Noordhoff N.V. Groningen.
- [3] Chung K.T. & Hyun J.O. 1976. On the Nonholonomic Frames of V<sub>n</sub>. Yonsei Nonchong. Vol. 13.
- [4] Hyun J.O. & Kim H.G. 1981. On the Christoffel Symbols of the Nonholonomic Frames in V<sub>n</sub>.
- [5] Hyun J.O. & Bang E.S. 1981. On the Nonholonomic Components of the Christoffel Symbols in V<sub>n</sub>(1).
- 6] Hyun J.O. & Kang T.C. 1983. A note on the Nonholonomic Self-Adjoint in V<sub>n</sub>.

### 國 文 抄 錄

Riemann 공간 V,에서 Holonomic vector들의 derivative에 관한 여러가지 성질들은 이미 잘 알려진 사실이다.

본 論文에서는 Nonholonomic 구조를 정의하고, 이러한 구조하에서 Nonholonomic derivative에 관한 몇가지 성질들을 Holonomic 구조를 이용하여 재 구성하고 연구한다.