제주도 금리하고 두문집 (2004) (533 십 pp. 33 ~ 40

# A NOTE ON BIRGET-RHODES EXPANSIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

#### KEUNBAE CHOI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact group with identity 1 and let  $C_1(G)$  be the semilattice of all compact subsets of G containing 1. In this paper, we investigate some structures of the compact F-inverse monoid

$$\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathscr{I}} = \{(A,g) \in C_1(G) \times G : g \in A\}.$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For any finite sequence  $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$  of elements  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$  in a semigroup S, put

$$P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) := \{1, s_1, s_1 s_2, \dots, s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n\},\$$

where 1 is the identity of  $S^1$ . Define

$$\tilde{S}^{\mathscr{I}} := \{ (P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) : s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S, n \ge 1 \}$$

with the multiplication

$$P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) (P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m)$$
  
=  $(P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \cup (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) \cdot P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m)$ 

where  $s \cdot U = \{su : u \in U\}$  for  $s \in S$  and  $U \subset S$ . Then  $\tilde{S}^{\mathscr{X}}$  is a semigroup, which is called the *Birget-Rhodes expansion* of the semigroup S (see [1]). It turns out [12] that when S = G a group.

$$\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{I}}=\{(A,g)\in P_1(G) imes G:g\in G\}.$$

where  $P_{\Gamma}G$ , denotes the set of all finite subsets of G containing the identity 1 of G. In particular, the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{F}}$  of a group G is an F-inverse monoid whose maximum group image is isomorphic to the group G. This also leads to a new approach to the Burnside problem [2]. Recently, Lawson [11] proves that the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{F}}$  of a group G is isomorphic to the Exel's semigoup

S(G) [7] constructed by generators and relations. In [6], the author introduces an inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}}$  containing the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{A}}$  of a topological group G obtained by replacing "finite" with "compact" of  $P_1(G)$  and describe some algebraic structures of the monoid  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}}$  and give a topology on it so that the Birget-Rhodes Expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{A}}$  of a compact group G is dense in  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}}$  and its maximum group image is topologically isomorphic to the group G. Furthermore, it was shown that Green's relations on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{A}}$  are dense in those of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}}$ .

In this paper, we investigate some structures of the compact *F*-inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_{c}^{\mathscr{F}}$ .

Throughout this paper, we shall use basic results from (inverse) semigroup theory and topological semigroup theory; see [4], [5], [8], and [10].

## 2. BIRGET-RHODES EXPANSIONS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

Let G be a topological group and let C(G) be the set of all non-empty compact subsets of G. Then C(G) with the set product multiplication,

$$(A, B) \to AB := \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

is a topological semigroup under the Vietoris topology [3]. And also C(G) with the set union multiplication.

$$(A, B) \mapsto m(A, B) := A \cup B.$$

is a semilattice.

For each  $g \in G$ , the map  $\alpha_g : C(G) \to C(G)$  defined by

$$\alpha_g(A) = gA := \{g\}A$$

is an endomorphism of the semilattice (C(G), m) since

$$\alpha_a(m(A, B)) = m(\alpha_a(A), \alpha_a(B)).$$

It is easy to check that the semidirect product  $(C(G), m) \prec_{\lambda} G$  of the semilattice C(G) and G is an inverse semigroup, where

$$\lambda: G \to \operatorname{End}(C(G)), \ \lambda(g) = \alpha_g.$$

Let

$$\hat{G}_c^{(s)} \coloneqq \{(A,g) \in C_1(G) \times G \subset g \in A\}$$

where  $C_1(G)$  denotes the set of all compact subsets of G which contains the identity element 1 of G. Then we can easily show that  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{R}}$  is an inverse submonoid of the inverse monoid  $(C(G), m) \times_{\phi} G$ .

Let G be a locally compact group. Define a map

$$\alpha: G \times C(G) \to C(G), \ \alpha(g, A) = gA$$

Then  $\alpha$  is continuous action on C(G) from the fact that  $\alpha = p \circ (i \times 1_{C(G)})$ , where p is the set product multiplication on C(G) and  $i : G \to C(G)$ .  $g \mapsto \{g\}$ , is a homeomorphic embedding of G into C(G).

For each  $g \in G$ , the map  $\alpha_g : C(G) \to C(G)$  defined by  $\alpha_g(A) = gA$  is a continuous endomorphism of the topological semilattice  $(C(G), \cup)$ .

Throughout, if G is a locally compact group, we denote by  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}$  the topological inverse submonoid of the topological inverse semigroup  $C(G) \times_{\lambda} G$ . In particular, if G is a compact group, then  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}$  is a compact F-inverse monoid (see, [6]).

An inverse semigroup is  $E^*$ -unitary (also termed '0-*E*-unitary') if every element above a non-zero idempotent is also an idempotent. Let *S* and *T* be inverse semigroups with zero, say 0. A function  $\theta : S \to T$  is said to be a 0-morphism if  $\theta(ab) = \theta(a)\theta(b)$  for all  $ab \neq 0$ : It is called 0-restricted if  $\theta(0) = 0$ ; and it is said to be idempotent pure if *a* is idempotent whenever  $\theta(a)$  is idempotent.

An inverse semigroup S with zero is said to be strongly  $E^*$ -unitary [9] if there is an idempotent pure. 0-restricted, 0-morphism  $\theta$  from S to a group with zero adjoined.

The importance of (strongly)  $E^*$ -unitary semigroups within inverse semigroup theory is described in detail in [9] and [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a compact group and let  $M = \{(G,g) : g \in G\}$ . Then M is a minimal ideal of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}$ .

*Proof.* We can easily show that M is an ideal of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{P}}$  and it is also a group. Thus we have M is the minimal ideal of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{P}}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** If G is a compact group, then the Rees quotient  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}/M$  of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}$  mod the minimal ideal M of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}}$  is a strongly E<sup>\*</sup>-unitary inverse semigroup.

*Proof.* We note that  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{F}}$  is an *E*-unitary inverse monoid whose maximal group homomorphic image is *G*. By Theorem 4 in [9], the inverse semigroup  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{F}}/M$  is strongly *E*<sup>\*</sup>-unitary associated with *G*.

If X and Y are disjoint spaces, the we give  $X \cup Y$  the topology which is coherent with that of X and Y, i.e., a subset U of  $X \cup Y$  is open if and only if  $U \cap X$  is open in X and  $U \cap Y$  is open in Y. Notice that if X and Y are both (locally) compact, then  $X \cup Y$  is (locally) compact.

Let S and T be disjoint topological semigroups and let  $\phi: S \to T$  be a continuous homomorphism, then define continuous multiplication on  $S \cup T$  by

$$(x,y) \longrightarrow \begin{cases} m_S(x,y) & \text{if } x, y \in S; \\ m_T(x,y) & \text{if } x, y \in T; \\ m_T(\phi(x),y) & \text{if } x \in S \text{ and } y \in T; \\ m_T(x,\phi(y)) & \text{if } x \in T \text{ and } y \in S. \end{cases}$$

where  $m_S$  and  $m_T$  are the multiplication on S and T. respectively.

We denote  $S \cup T$  with this multiplication by  $S \cup_{\phi} T$ . Observe that  $S \cup_{\phi} T$  is a topological semigroup with this multiplication under the topology which is coherent with that of S and T. Let I be a closed ideal of S and let R be the congruence on  $S \cup_{\phi} T$  generated by  $\{(x, \phi(x)) : x \in I\}$ . If S and T are locally compact  $\sigma$ compact semigroups, then  $(S \cup_{\phi} T)/R$  is a topological semigroup [4] which is called the *adjunction semigroup of* S and T relative to  $\phi$  and I, and denoted by  $S \bigcup_{\phi} T$ 

Observe that the restriction on T of the natural map

$$\pi: S \to_{\phi} T \to (S \oplus_{\phi} T)^{\vee} R = S \bigcup_{\phi, I} T$$

is a topological embedding of T into  $S \bigcup_{\phi \in I} T$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let S and T be disjoint compact inverse monoids,  $\phi: S \to T$  be an identity preserving continuous homomorphism. Then  $S \bigcup_{\mathbb{R}^d} T$  is a compact inverse monoid.

*Proof.* Since  $S \to \phi T$  is a compact inverse semigroup, the continuous homomorphic image  $S \bigcup_{\phi A} T$  of  $\pi$  is also a compact inverse semigroup. And since the map  $\phi$  preserves identity, the identity of S is exactly the identity of  $S \bigcup T$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let G be a compact group, H be a compact group, and let  $\varphi$  be a topological embedding from the minimal ideal M of  $\tilde{G}^{*}$  to H. Define  $\varphi \in \tilde{G}^{*} \to H$ 

by  $\phi := \psi \circ \lambda_{(G,1)}$ . Then the adjunction semigroup  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}} \bigcup_{\phi,M} H$  is a compact F-inverse monoid whose maximal group image is isomorphic to H.

*Proof.* Observe that  $M = \{(G, g) : g \in G\}$  which is a group with identity (G, 1). By the definition of  $\phi$ , we have that  $\phi$  is continuous homomorphism which preserves identity. Hence the adjunction semigroup  $\tilde{G}_{c}^{\mathscr{A}} \bigcup_{\phi,M} H$  is a compact inverse semigroup with the identity  $(\{1\}, 1)$  by Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, it has a minimal ideal which is topologically isomorphic to H. In particular, if  $\psi$  is a topological embedding, then the minimal ideal of  $\tilde{G}_{c}^{\mathscr{A}} \bigcup_{\phi,M} H$  is the set of the form

$$\{[x]: x \in M\} \cup (H \setminus \phi(M)).$$

where |x| is the *R*-congruence class of  $x \in M$ , in fact,  $[x] = \{x, \phi(x) : x \in M\}$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a minimum group congruence of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{H}} \bigcup_{\phi,M} H$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -class  $\sigma_{[x]}$  con-

taining |x| is of the form

2.1 
$$\sigma_{|x|} = \begin{cases} \{(A,g) : g \in G\} & \text{if } x = (G,g) \in M \\ \{x\} & \text{if } x \in H \setminus \phi(M). \end{cases}$$

Thus the  $\sigma$ -class  $\sigma_x$  -containing [x] has its maximal element of the form:  $(\{1, g\}, g)$  in the first case of (2.1) and x in the second case of (2.1).

It follows that  $\tilde{G}_{\to M}^{\mathcal{F}} \bigcup_{\phi \in M} H$  is *F*-inverse monoid whose maximal group image is asomorphic to H.

A partially ordered space (pospace) is a pair  $(X, \leq)$  such that X is a Hausdorff space and  $\leq$  is a closed partial order on X, i.e.,  $\leq$  is a closed subset of  $X \neq X$ . Observe that if X is a compact pospace, then  $\downarrow x := \{b \in X : b \leq x\}$  is closed for each  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let S be a compact F-inverse semigroup with a minimum group conjugate  $\sigma$ . Then we have

- in Let i be the natural partial order on S. Then if  $s \leq t$ , then  $s \sigma t$ .
- ii S. S. is a partially ordered space (pospace).
- m. Every  $\sigma$ -class of S has a unique minimal element.
- ave Two elements are  $\sigma$ -related if and only if they are bounded above by the same maximal element.

Proof. (i) Straightforward.

(ii) Since S is Hausdorff, it suffices to show that the natural partial order  $\leq$  is closed. Let  $\{(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha})\}$  be a net in  $\leq$  which converges to (x, y). Then  $\{x_{\alpha}\} \rightarrow x$  and  $\{y_{\alpha}\} \rightarrow y$ . Since  $(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}) \in \leq$  for each  $\alpha$ , there exists  $e_{\alpha} \in E(S)$  such that  $x_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ . Notice that  $\{e_{\alpha}\}$  cluster to a point  $e \in E(S)$  from the compactness of E(S). By considering subnet, we can assume that  $\{e_{\alpha}\} \rightarrow e$ . By the continuity of multiplication yields that x = ey. We conclude that  $(x, y) \in \leq$  and  $\leq$  is closed.

(iii) Let H be a  $\sigma$ -class of S and let  $x, y \in H$ . Then there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that ex = ey. Let s = ex + ey. Then  $s \in H$  and  $s \leq x, s \leq y$ . Hence H is down-directed and hence a net. Since S is compact pospace, by B.4 Theorem in [5], inf H exists and  $H \to \inf H$ . To show that  $\inf H \in H$ , let m be the greatest element of H. By (i),  $H = \{m \text{ and hence } H \text{ is closed since } S$  is a compact pospace. Thus we have  $\inf H \in H$  and hence  $\inf H$  is a unique minimal element of H.

(iv) Suppose that  $s \sigma t$  for  $s, t \in S$ . Then s and t are contained in some  $\sigma$ -class H of S. Since S is F-inverse, s and t are bounded above by the greatest element of H. Conversely, if s, t are bounded above a maximal element m, then s = cm, t - fm for some  $\epsilon, f \in E(S)$ . Let w = ef. Then  $w \in E(S)$  and ws = wt. It follows that s, t are  $\sigma$ -related.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let G be a group, S be a inverse semigroup with a minimum group congruence  $\sigma$ , and let  $\varphi : S \to G$  be a surmorphism with ker  $\varphi = \sigma$ . Then every  $\sigma$ -class of S is of the form  $\varphi^{-1}(g)$  for some  $g \in G$ .

*Proof.* Let H be a  $\sigma$ -class of S containing s. Then  $t \in H$  if and only if  $(t, s) \in \ker \varphi$ if and only if  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(s)$  if and only if  $t \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(s))$ . It follows that any  $\sigma$ -class of S is of the form  $\varphi^{-1}(g)$  for  $g \in G$ .

Define a map  $\eta$  by

$$\eta: \tilde{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathscr{A}} \to G, \quad (A,g) \mapsto g.$$

Then  $\eta$  is semigroup homomorphism and the kernel of it is equal to the minimum group congruence of  $\tilde{G}_{e}^{\mathscr{A}}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** For any compact group G, the pair  $(\tilde{G}_{\varepsilon}^{\mathcal{A}}, \eta)$  has the property that, whenever S is a compact F-inverse semigroup with a minimum group congruence  $\sigma$ ,  $\varphi$  is a surmorphism of S onto G with ker  $\varphi = \sigma$ , and the set of all minimal elements of S forms an ideal of S, then there exists a homomorphism  $\xi$  of  $\tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{A}}$  into S



mapping the greatest element of each  $\sigma$ -class to the greatest element of a  $\sigma$ -class such that  $\varphi \circ \xi = \eta$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.6, every  $\sigma$ -class of S is of the form  $\varphi^{-1}(g)$  for some  $g \in G$ . Let  $m_g$  and  $l_g$  be the unique maximal and minimal elements of  $\sigma$ -class  $\varphi^{-1}(g)$  for each  $g \in G$ , respectively. Define a map  $\xi : \tilde{G}_c^{\mathscr{B}} \to S$  by

$$\xi(A,g) = \begin{cases} m_{g_1} m_{g_1^{-1}g_2} \cdots m_{g_k^{-1}g} & \text{if } A = \{1, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, g\} \in P_1(G) \\ l_g & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\xi$  is well-defined by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. Now we shall show that  $\xi$  is a homomorphism. If  $(A, g), (B, h) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{A}}$  with  $A = \{1, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k, g\}$  and  $B = \{1, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m, h\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(A,g)\xi(B,h) &= m_{g_1}m_{g_1^{-1}g_2}\cdots m_{g_k^{-1}g}m_{h_1}m_{h_1^{-1}h_2}\cdots m_{h_m^{-1}h} \\ &= m_{g_1}m_{g_1^{-1}g_2}\cdots m_{g_k^{-1}g}m_{g^{-1}(gh_1)}m_{(gh_1)^{-1}(gh_2)}\cdots m_{(gh_m)^{-1}(gh)} \\ &= \xi((A,g)(B,h)). \end{aligned}$$

In the other cases, we can easily show that  $\xi$  is a homomorphism using the fact that the set of all minimal elements of S forms an ideal of S. Clearly,  $\xi$  maps the greatest element of each  $\sigma$ -class to the greatest element of a  $\sigma$ -class such that  $\varphi \circ \xi = \eta$ .  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

- J.-C. Birget and J. Rhodes, Almost finite expansions of arbitary semigroups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 32 (1984), 239-287.
- [2] J.-C. Birget and J. Rhodes. Group Theory via Global Semigroup Theory, J. of Algebra 120 (1989), 284-300.
- [3] J. H. Carruth, On the structure of Hyperspace Semigroup, (Master's Thesis), Louisiana State University (1963).
- [4] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, and R. J. Koch, The Theory of Topological Semigroups, Marcel Decker, New York (1983).
- [5] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, and R. J. Koch, The Theory of Topological Semigroups, Volume 2, Marcel Decker, New York (1986).

- [6] K. Choi, Birget-Rhodes Expasion of topological groups. Semigroup Forum, revised.
- [7] R. Exel. Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups, Proc. of A. M. S. 126 (1998), 3481-3494
- [8] J. M. Howie, An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, Academic Press, San Diego, 1976.
- Z. Jiang, Some notes on strongly E<sup>\*</sup>-unitary inverse semigroups, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica 44(1) (2002), 75-80.
- [10] M. V. Lawson, Inverse Semigroups: The Theory of Partial Symmetries, World Scientific Publ. Singapore, 1998
- [11] M. V. Lawson, Partial actions of groups, preprint.
- [12] M. B. Szendrei, A note on Birget-Rhodes Expasion of groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989), 93-99

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JEJU 690-781, KOREA

E-mail address: kbchoe@jejue.ac.kr