Requirements For Sulfur Amino Acids And Utilization Of D-methionine By Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

Kyu-il Kim¹, Terrence B. Kayes² and Clyde H. Amundson²

¹Department of Animal Science Cheju National University Cheju 590—756, Rep. of Korea and ²Aquaculture Program, Department of Food Science University of Wisconsin-Madison Madison, Wi 53706, USA

ABSTRACT

Kim, K. T., Kayes, T. B. and Amundson, C. H., 1990. Requirements for sulfur amino acids and utilization of D-methionine by rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).

The methionine (Met) requirement and replacement values of cystine (Cys) and D-Met for L-Met were estimated at 15°C for fingerling rainbow trout(Oncorkynchus mykiss) by feeding diets containing various levels of L-Met, D-Met and L-Cys. Four tanks, each containing 28(experiment 1) or 30 (experiment 2) trout (11~15g, initial weight), were assigned to each diet. Weight gain and nitrogen retention during a 6-week period increased with increasing levels of L-Met up to 0.5% of diet, when the diets contained excess L-Cys(0.5%). Feed efficiency increased as L-Met increased, but no significant (P>0.05) differences were detected at levels above above 0.4%. With diets containing 0.5% L-Met, increasing L-Cys level from 0.03 to 0.3% improved weight gain and nitrogen retention, but further increases of L-Cys above 0.3% had no effect. Increasing D-Met level above 0.27% in a diet containing 0.23% L-Met did not significantly(P<0.05) influence weight gain, feed efficency or nitrogen retention. Analysis of the results showed that: 1) The Met requirement of fingerling rainbow trout is 0.52(1.49%) of diet(dietary protein), when a diet contains excess Cys; 2) the Cys replacement values of (on an equal molar sulfur basis) of L-Cys for L-Met

[★] 이 원고는 "Aguaculture"에 인쇄중임.

is approximately 42%; 3) the requirement of trout for total sulfur amino acids is about 0.8(2. 3%) of diet(dietary protein); and 4) rainbow trout utilize D-Met as efficiently as L-Met for weight gain but less efficiently for protein accretion.

I. INTRODUTION

Methionine requirements of various fish species, in the absence of Cys, have been estimated and are summarized in NRC(1981, 1983) publications: 1.6% of diet(4.0% of dietary protein) for chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), 0.56(2.3)% for channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus), 1.2(3.1)% for carp (Cyprinus carpio) and 2.1(4.5)% for Japanese eel (Anquilla japonica).

Walton et al.(1982) reported that the Met requirement of 25-g rainbow trout was between 0.5(1.0) and 1.0 (2.1)% of dry diet(protein) in the absence of Cys and that 0.5% was adequate when dietary Cys was 2%. A range of Met requirement values of rainbow trout was reported by Rumsey et al.(1983): 0.55~0.75 $(1.57 \sim 2.14)$ % of diet(protein) in the presence of adequate Cys. Ogino(1983) has also estimated the amino acid requirements of rainbow trout and carp on the basis of daily increase of protein(amino acids) in the body of fish fed diets containing about 35% protein and daily excretion of nitrogen by fish fed a protein-free diet. His calculated values for Met and Cys requirements of rainbow trout, and carp were 0.7 (1.8) and 0.35(0.9), and 0.62(1.6) and 0.31(0.5)8)% of diet (protein), respectively.

The variations in the estimated Met re-

quirement values within and among fish species suggest that more work is needed to pinpoint Met requirements of fishes. Further, studies on the Cys replacement values for L-Met(Harding et al., 1977) and on the utilization of DL-Met (Robinson et al., 1978) have been reported for channel catfish but no other fish species.

Therefore, the following experiments were conducted to determine the Met requirement and the replacement values of L-Cys and D-Met for L-Met in fingerling rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

In a preliminary experiment, 14-g rainbow trout fed diets containing 1.18(0.9), 0.58(0.9), 0.23(0.9) or 0.58(0.2)% L-Met(L-Cys) gained 21, 22, 11 and 21 g/fish/6 weeks, respectively. These results suggested that the Met requirement of fingerling rainbow trout was between 0.23 and 0.58% and the requirement for total sulfur amino acids was no more than 0.78%.

Based on these preliminary findings, experiment 1 was done to determine the sbsolute L-Met requirement, excluding any fraction that might be attributable to a need for Cys. Diets used for experiment 1 contained 0.23(0.5), 0.3(0.5), 0.4(0.5), 0.5(0.5), 0.6(0.5), 0.8(0.5), 0.4(0.4), 0.4(0.3) or 0.3(0.3)% L-Met(L-Cys). Of these levels, 0.23% Met and 0.028% Cys were supplied by casein and gelatin.

In experiment 2, which was done to determine the L-Cys and D-Met replacement values for L-Met, diets contained varying levels of L-Cys from 0.03 to 0.5% along with 0.5% L-Met (diet 1 through 5); 0.03% L-Cys and 0.8% L-Met(diet 6); or 0.23% L-Met plus 0.5% L-Cys and 0.27, 0.37 or 0.47% D-Met(diets 7, 8 and 9,

respectively). Ingredients of the basal diet and the amino acid composition of experimental diets are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

| Infgredient | % | Ingredient | % |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| IDAA ¹ | 10.73 | Herring oil ⁵ | 10.00 |
| DAA ¹ | 16.26 | DL-a-to copheryl acetate3 | 0.0455 |
| Casein ^{2,3} | 8.9 1 | Carboxy methyl cellulose ⁴ | 1.00 |
| Gelatin(89% CP) ³ | 2.00 | Vitamin mixture ⁴ | 2.00 |
| Dextrin ³ | 27.16 | Mineral mixture ⁷ | 5.06 |
| Dextrose ³ | 5.00 | CaHPO | 2.94 |
| a−Cellulose ⁴ | 8.20 | Choline chloride ³ | 0.70 |
| | | Crude protein ^e | 35.00 |

Table 1. Composition of the basal diet

1) Amounts of IDAA(indispensable amino acids) and DAA(dispensable amino acids) varied as the methionine or cystine level varied(see Table 2).

- 2) Vitamin-free, 92% crude protein.
- 3) Purchased from United States Biochemical Corp, Cleveland, OH, USA.
- 4) Purchased from Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA.
- 5) Purchased from Glencoe Mills, Glencoe, MN, USA.
- 6) As mg/kg of diet: Vitamin A(500 IU/mg) 50, vitamin D₃(1000IU/mg) 4, menadione 16, D-Ca-pantothenate 288, d-biotin 1.6, folic acid 19.2, vitamin B₁₂(0.1%)160, thiamine HCL 64, riboflavin 144, niacin 512, pyridoxin HCl 48, L-ascorbic acid 1200, myo-inositol 2500, p-amino benzoic acid 400, celite 14139.
- 7) As mg/kg of diet : NaCl 5842, KI 1.91, CaCO₃ 4010, K₂HPO₄ 15466, K₃SO₄ 12984, Na₂HPO₄ 2320, MgO 4774, FeSO₄ 7H₂O 1066, MnCO₅ 797, 2CuCO₃ Cu(OH)₂ 64.9, ZnCO₃ 154.7, NaF 3.82, CoCl₂ 6H₂O 95.4, citric acid 3021.
- 8) % of dry diet.

Four replicate groups, each consisting of 28 (experiment 1) or 30(experiment 2) rainbow trout, were assigned to each diet. Osceola strain rainbow trout were provided by the U. S. Fish

and Wildlife Service-National Fish Hatchery, Osceola, Wl and mean initial body weight \pm SEM was 15.4 ± 0.02 g for 36 tanks of 28 fish each used for experiment 1 and 11.2 ± 0.1 g for

| | From casein | From cystalline | Total ² |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Amino acid | + gelatin ¹ | amino acids | 10021 |
| IDAA | | | |
| Arginine | 0.474 | 1.803 | 2.277 |
| Histidine | 0.248 | 0.671 | 0.919 |
| Isoleucine | 0.491 | 1.435 | 1.926 |
| Leucine | 0.768 | 2.427 | 3.195 |
| Lysine | 0.715 | 1.691* | 2.406 |
| Methionine | 0.234 | 0.9484 | 1,182 |
| Cystine | 0.028 | 0.1634 | 0.191 |
| Pheylalanine | 0.427 | 1.586 | 2.013 |
| Tyrosine | 0.491 | 1.114 | 1.605 |
| Threonine | 0.412 | 1.410 | 1.822 |
| Tryptophan | 0.098 | 0.429 | 0.527 |
| Valine | 0.603 | 1.746 | 2.349 |
| DAA | | | |
| Alanine | 0.397 | 1.689 | 2.086 |
| Aspatic acid | 0.675 | 3.088 | 3.763 |
| Glycine | 0.715 | 0.581 | 1.296 |
| Glutamic acid | 1.956 | 2.958 | 4.914 |
| Proline | 1.224 | 0.134 | 1.358 |
| Serine | 0.489 | 2.283 | 2.772 |

Table 2. Amino acid composition(% of dry diet)

1) Calculated from values provided by the United States Biochemical Corp. Cleveland, OH, USA.

2) The amino acid profile simulated that of 35% whole chicken egg protein(Robinson et al., 1981).

3) Addel as lysine HCI(2.113% for 1.691%).

4) Level of crystalline methionine or cystine were varied at the cost of DAA, and D-methionine was substituted for L-methionine in experiment 2.

36 tanks of 30 fish each used for experiment 2. Fish were fed experimental diets three times/ day to satiation in tanks containing 100-1 of water at 15 ± 0.5 °C. Other procedures including experimental conditions, diet preparations, carcass analysis and statistical analysis are described in Kim et al.(1987).

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Weight gain, feed efficiency and nitrogen retention of fish are presented in Table 3. With diets containing 0.5% L-Cys, elevationg L-Met from 0.23 to 0.5% increased weight gain, feed efficiency and nitrogen retention, but further elevations had no effect. By applying a brokenline model(Robbins et al., 1977), the Met requirement was estimated at approximately 0.52 % of diet.

| | Level(%) of | | Weight gain | Feed | Nitrogen | Mortality |
|------|------------------|------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Diet | | | | efficiency | retention ² | |
| | Met ³ | Cys | (g/fish) | (g/g) | (%) | (%) |
| 1 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 16.5±0.9 ⁴ | 0.62±0.03° | 19.21 ± 1.5* | 0 |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 20.9±0.9 | 0.70±0.01 [⊨] | 21.5±0.8• | 1.8 |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 26.4±0.2° | $0.72 \pm 0.01^{\text{abc}}$ | 29.4±0.7► | 0.9 |
| 4 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 30.0±0.5* | 0.79±0.01** | 31.3±0.9 ^{bod} | 0 |
| 5 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 30.9±0.9• | 0.84 ± 0.01 | 35.9±1.24 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 32.0±1.2• | 0.81±0.01•• | 34.2±0.3ª | 0.9 |
| 7 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 27.2 ± 0.4^{4} | 0.80±0.01** | 29.0±1.0° | 0.9 |
| 8 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 25.2±0.7° | 0.77±0.01** | 27.0±0.6 | 1.8 |
| 9 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 19.2±0.7 | 0.67±0.03° | 21.1 ± 1.4 • | 0.9 |

Table 3. Effects of dietary levels of methinoine and cystine on weight gain, feed efficiency and nitrogen retention¹—expeirment 1

 Means±SEM of four tanks of 28 fish over a 6-week period. Mean initial body weight±SEM was 15.02±0.02g for 36 tanks.

- 2) (Nitrogen gained in carcass/nitrogen intake) \times 100.
- 3) 0.23% methionine and 0.03% cystine were supplied by casein and gelatin.

Values sharing the same superscirpt letters in the same column are not significantly different(P>0.
 05), when analyzed by the Newman-Keuls test.

A similar value was reported by Walton et al.(1982) who found that 0.5% L-Met was adequate for 25-g rainbow trout, when the dietary Cys level was 2%. Rumsey et al.(1983) estimated the Met requirement of rainbow trout at $0.55\sim0.75\%(1.57\sim2.14)\%$ of the diet(pro-

tein) in the presence of adequate dietary Cys. They also indicated a requirement of 0.3% with a diet marginally deficient in Met. However, the experimental data of Rumsey et al.(1983) clearly show that the Met requirement of 8.8-g rainbow trout is less than 0.55(1.57)% of diet

(protein) in the presence of 0.3% Cys. As Rumsey et al.(1983) found cataracts in trout fed diets deficient in sulfur amino acids, most of fish fed diet 1 containing 0.23% L-Met and 0.5 % L-Cys in the present study had cataracts in either both or one eye. None in the other groups showed opaque lens in their eyes.

The requirement values of rainbow trout for Met(0.7% of diet) and Cys(0.35%) reported by Ogino(1980) are likely overestimated because of a low feed intake level(3.0% of body weight) used to calculate the amino acid requirements. The actual average daily gain of trout fed diets containing about 35% protein supplied with various sources from egg yolk to casein was 3.44g/100g body weight. Given a best fee/gain 1.20, the average daily feed intake would be 4.13g.

Ther fore, his reported Met requirement(0.72%) should be recalculated to be $0.52\%(0.72 \times 3.2\%)$

composition¹-experiment 1

0/4.13) and total sulfur amino acid requirement $0.76\%(1.05 \times 3.0/4.13)$. These values are similar to those found in the present studies.

No. differences in weight gain, feed efficiency or nitrogen retention were noted between fish fed the 0.3% L-Met diets containing 0.5%(diet 2) or 0.3%(diet 9) Cys, and between trout fed the 0.4% Met diets containing 0.5% (diet 3) or 0.3%(diet 8) Cys(Table 3). This result indicated that the Cys replacement value for L-Met is less than 43%(100×0.3/0.7) by weight or 47% on an equal molar sulfur basis.

No apparent relationship was observed between dietary levels of Met or Cys, and the content of dry matter or ash in the carcass(Table 4). However, the protein content in the carcass increased, with a concomitant decrease in the carcass increased, with a concomitant decrease in fat content, as Met levels in the diet increased.

Table 4. Effict of dietary levels of methionine and cystine on carcass

| Diet | Level(%) of | | Carcass co | mposition(%) ² | | |
|------|-------------|------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | Met | Cys³ | Dry matter | Protein ⁴ | Fat ⁴ | Ash ⁴ |
| 1 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 26.9±0.1 ^{ke,5} | 51.2±0.1* | 36.6±0.4' | 9.1±0.1• |
| 2 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 25.9±0.1• | 52.2±0.9• | 33.0±0.2* | 9.5±0.1° |
| 3 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 26.8±0.2 ^{tot} | 58.5±1.0 | 31.4 ± 0.6^{bol} | 9.6±0.1° |
| 4 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 26.1±0.2** | 59.7±0.6° | 29.6±0.4** | 9.3±0.1* |
| 5 | 0.60 | 0.50 | $26.4 \pm 0.2^{\text{abc}}$ | 61.3±1.0° | 28.1±0.4• | 9.3±0.1•• |
| 6 | 0.80 | 0.50 | $26.5 \pm 0.2^{\text{shc}}$ | 60.4±1.1° | 28.2±0.8 | 9.0±0.1* |
| 7 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 27.2±0.1° | 54.6±1.4 [▲] | 32.2±0.6ª | 9.4±0.1•• |
| 8 | 0.40 | 0.30 | $26.5 \pm 0.1^{\text{abc}}$ | 54.2±1.2 [▲] | 30.7±0.2⊯ | 9.6±0.1° |
| 9 | 0.30 | 0.30 | $26.6\pm0.2^{\text{sbc}}$ | 51.8±1.4* | 34.3±0.3• | 9.6±0.1° |

1) Means±SEM of four of 10 fish each.

2) Initial carcass composition was 23.6% dry matter, 66.5% protein, 19.8% fat and 10.1% ash.

3) See footnote 3 to table 3.

4) On the dry-matter basis.

5) Values sharing the same superscript letters in the same column are not significantly different (P>0.05), when analyzed by the Newman-Keuls test.

In experiment 2, increasing L-Cys level from 0.03 to 0.2% in a diet containing 0.5% L-Met significantly (P<0.05) improved weight gain and nitrogen retention (table 5). Additional increases of L-Cys level did not further enhance (p>0.05) weight gain or nitrogen retention. In the presence of 0.5% L-Met, the Cys requirement of trout appeared to be 0.3% of the diet according to the broken-line model(Robbins et al., 1977). Therefore, the Cys replacement value for L-Met in rainbow trout diets is $38\%(100 \times 0.$ 03/0.8) by weight or 42% on an equal molar sulfur basis.

| D:-4 | Level(| | Level(%) of | | Feed | Nitrogen | Mortality |
|------|--------------------|-------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Diet | L-Met ² | D-Met | Cys² | (g/fish) | efficiency (g/g) | retention ³ (%) | (%) |
| 1 | 0.50 | - | 0.03 | 19.0±0.2 ^{•,4} | 0.79±0.01 | 22.3±1.3 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.50 | - | 0.20 | 21.4 ± 0.6^{bc} | 0.85 ± 0.02^{sb} | 28.2±0.8 [∞] | 0 |
| 3 | 0.50 | — | 0.30 | $23.1\pm0.5^{\rm bod}$ | 0.86±0.1 | 30.6±0.9° | 1.7 |
| 4 | 0.50 | _ | 0.40 | $22.6\pm0.2^{\rm bod}$ | 0.88±0.01 | 30.4±1.1° | 0 |
| 5 | 0.50 | | 0.50 | 23.4±0.3 [∞] | 0.88±0.01• | 31.5±1.3° | 10.8 |
| 6 | 0.80 | - | 0.03 | 24.1 ± 0.6⁴ | 0.88±0.01• | 32.8±0.8° | 0 |
| 7 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 21.1±0.7 | 0.83±0.01** | 25.7±0.8 ^b | 0 |
| 8 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.50 | $22.1\pm0.7^{\rm boll}$ | 0.83±0.01** | 29.1±1.2 [∞] | 0 |
| 9 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.50 | $22.9 \pm 0.3^{\rm hol}$ | 0.85±0.01* | 28.8±1.1 ^{be} | 0 |

Table 5. Effects of dietary levels of L- and D-methionine, and cystine on weight gain, feed efficiency and nitrogen retention¹-experiment 2

Means±SEM of four tanks of 30 fish each over a 6-week period. Mean initial body weight±SEM was 11.2±0.1g for 36 tanks.

- 2) See footnote 3 to Table 3.
- 3) (Nitrogen gained in carcass/nitrogen intake) \times 100.
- 4) Values sharing the same superscript letters in the same column are not significantly different(p>0.
 05), when analyzed by the Newman-Keuls test.

In contrast to our findings, Harding et al. (1977) reported 60% on an equal molar sulfur basis as the replacement value for channel catfish. Whether the large difference between the two results is due to differences between species or between experimental methods is not clear at this point. The replacement value will differ within a species depending on the dietary Met level. Our Cys replacement value was obtained with a diet containing Met at a minimum requirement level(0.5%).

Substitution of D-Met for over half of the dietary Met(0.23% L-form and 0.27% D-form) had no significant(P>0.05) effect on weight

gain over the diet containing 0.5% L-Met and 0.3% L-Cye, although trout fed diet 5 containing 0.5% L-Met and 0.5% L-Cys or diet 6 containing 0.8% L-Met tended to show better growth(Table 5). The result suggested that rainbow trout can use D-Met as almost efficiently as its L-isomer up to the level tested(54%). Similarly, Robinson et al.(1978) reported that channel catfish used DL-Met as well as L-Met.

Effects of dietary levels of L-Met, D-Met and L-Cys on the contents of dry matter, protein, fat and ash in the carcass are shown in Table 6. The dietary level of Met and Cys did not influence the dry matter content in the carcass. However, significant(P < 0.05) differences were found in the content of protein, fat or ash among the treatments. The 0.03% Cys diet(diet 1) decreased the protein content and increased the ash content in the carcass over diet 4 containing 0.5% Cys. Interestingly, fish fed diet 6 containing 0.8% L-Met had relatively low fat and high protein contents in the carcass, while fish fed diet 1(deficient in total sulfur amino acids) or diet 7(this diet was considered adequate in total sulfur amino acids but over 50 % of the L-Met had been replaced with D-Met) showed an opposite trend.

Table 6. Effects of dietary levels of L- and D-methionine, and cystine on carcass compositin¹-experiment 2

| Diet | Level(%) of | | | Carcass composition(%) | | | | |
|------|-------------|-------|------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
| | L-Met | D-Met | Cys | Dry matter | Protein | Fat | Ash | |
| 1 | 0.50 | _ | 0.03 | 25.1 ± 0.8 | 53.9±0.7•.4 | 32.5±1.0 ^{de} | 10.5±0.2° | |
| 2 | 0.50 | _ | 0.20 | 26.2 ± 0.2 | 55.5±0.6** | 31.2 ± 0.8^{bcdm} | 10.0±0.8°° | |
| 3 | 0.50 | _ | 0.30 | 25.9±0.2 | 57.9±1.2° | $29.2 \pm 0.2^{\text{abc}}$ | 10.0 ± 0.2 | |
| 4 | 0.50 | | 0.50 | 26.0 ± 0.1 | 56.6±1.1•• | 30.9 ± 1.1^{bcd} | 9.8±0.1• | |
| 5 | 0.50 | _ | 0.40 | 25.9 ± 0.2 | 58.1±0.9° | 28.8±0.5** | 9.9±0.1• | |
| 6 | 0.80 | | 0.03 | 25.6±0.1 | 59.2±0.5° | 27.2±0.4 | 9.7±0.1ª | |
| 7 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 26.0 ± 0.1 | 53.6±1.0• | 34.3±0.5• | 9.7±0.1• | |
| 8 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 26.0 ± 0.2 | 56.9±0.5** | 31.8 ± 0.2^{cde} | 9.7±0.1ª | |
| 9 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 26.1 ± 0.2 | 55.5±0.6** | 31.4 ± 0.7^{bcde} | 9.5±0.2 | |

1) Means±SEM of four tanks of 10 fish each.

2) Initial carcass composition was 23.7% dry matter, 67.7% protein, 18.1% fat and 13.5% ash.

3) See footnote 3 to Table 3.

4) On the dry-matter basis.

5) Values sharing the same superscipt letters in the same column are not significantly different (P>0.05), when analyzed by the Newman-Keuls test.

The level of Met(0.23% L+0.27% D-Met) in diet 7 appeared to be borderline in meeting

the requirement. Comparison of the protein content(53%) in the carcass of trout fed diet 7 with that of fish fed diet 5, in which total sulfur amino acid level was the same as that in diet 7 but no D-Met was present, indicated that D-Met is more prone to oxidation and thus less likely to be used to promote protein accretion than its L-isomer. This differential use of L- and D-met may partly explain why the results of studies on D-Met utilization in other animal species have been variable and often contradictory(Stegink, 1977; Kim and Bayley, 1983b).

Overall results of our studies suggest that the total sulfur amino acid requirement of rainbow trout(about 0.8% of diet) is not much different from that recommended for young pigs (0.68%) and broiler chicks(0.93%) by NRC (1988, 1984), respectively. This similarity supports our contention that the individual amino acid requirements of rainbow trout are not much different from those of rapidly growing farm animals and that the higher protein requirement of fish as compared to farm animals is due to use of protein by fish to meet their energy needs(Kim et al., 1991).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Tom Kuczynski, Jean Grassman, Tom Grimshaw and Larry Zehner for their techical help and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Services for providing fish. This work was supported in part by the University of Wisconsin-Madison College of Agricultural and Life Sciences and Graduate School, and by the University of Wisconsin Sea Frant College Program under grants from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U. S. Department of Commerce, and from the State of Wisconsin. Federal grant NA80AA-D-00086, projects R/AQ-8 and R/AQ-9.

IV. REFERENCES

- Harding, D. E., Allen, O. W., Jr. and Wilson, R. R., 1977. Sulfur amino acid requirement of channel catfish : L-methinone and L-cystine. J. Nutr., 107 : 2031-2035.
- Kim, K. I., Kayes, T. B. and Amundson, C. H., 1983a. Protein and arginine requirements of rainbow trout. Fed. Rroc., 42: 667(abst).
- Kim, K. I. and Bayley, H. S., 1983b. Amino acid oxidation by young pigs receiving diets with varying levels of sulfur amino acids. Br. J. Nutr., 50: 383-390.
- Kim, K. I., Kayes, T. B. and Amundson, C. H., 1987. Effects of dietary tryptophan levels on growth, feed/gain, carcass composition and liver glutamate dehydrogenase activity in rainbow trout (Salmo qairdneri). Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 88B: 737-741.
- Kim, K. I., Kayes, T. B. and Amundson, C. H., 1991. Purified diet development and re-evaluation of the dietary protein requirement of fingerling rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquculture, (in press).
- NRC(national Research Council), 1981. Nutrient requirements of coldwater fishes. Nutrient requirements of domestic animals series, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C.
- 7. NRC(National Research Council), 1983. Nu-

trient requirements of warmwater fishes and shellfishes, Nutrient requirements of domestic animals series, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C.

- NRC(National Research Council), 1984. Nutrient requirements of poultry, 8th ed. Nutrient requirements of domenstic animals series, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C.
- NRC(National Research Council), 1988. Nutrient requirements of swine, 9th ed. Nutrient requirements of domestic animals series, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D. C.
- Ogino, C., 1980. Requirements of carp and rainbow trout for essential amino acids. Bull. Jap. Soc. Sci. Fish., 46: 171-174.
- Robbins, K. P., Baker, D. H. and Norton, H. W., 1977. Histidine status of the chick as measured by growth rate, plasma free histidine and breast muscle carnosine. J. Nutr., 107: 2055-2061.
- Robinson, E. H., Allen, O. W., Jr. and Wilson, R. P., 1978. Sulfur amino acid re-

quirement of channel catfish : L-methionine, DL-methionine, methionine hydroxy analogue, taurine and inorganic sulfate. J. Nutr., 108 : 1932 - 1936.

- Robinson, E. H., Wilson, R. P. and Poe, W. E., 1981. Arginine requirement and apparent absence of a lysine-arginine antagonist in fingerling channel catfish. J. Nutr., 111: 46-52.
- Rumsey, G. L., Page, J. W. and Scott, M. L., 1983. Methionine and cystine requirements of rainbow trout. Prog. Fish-Cult., 45:139-143.
- Stegink, L. D., 1977. D-amino acids. In : H. L. Greene, M. A. Holliday and H. N. Munro [Editors], Clinical Nutrition Update : Amino acids. American Medical Association, Chicago, pp. 198-205.
- Walton, M. J., Cowey, C. B. and Adron, J. W., 1982. Methionine metabolism in rainbow trout fed diets of differing methionine and cystine content. J. Nutr., 112: 1525-1555.