# Some Properties of Functions of $\kappa \varphi$ —Bounded Variation

# 李世烈\*

## 

Lee Se-youhl

### Summary

The space &BV is a banach space. The spaces &BV and &BV is imbedded in  $\&\emptyset \Phi BV$ .  $\&\emptyset \Phi$ -bounded variation functions are bounded and if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x) \ge 0$  for x>0 then these functions have only simple discontinuities.

### Introduction

In convenience we will call a collection  $\{I_n\}$  to be the prepartition of (a, b) if (a) every

member of  $\{I_n\}$  is a closed subinterval of  $\{a, b\}$ ,  $\{b\} \cup \{I_n\} = \{a, b\}$  and  $\{c\}$  any two members of  $\{I_n\}$  are mutually non-overlapping, i.e. that their interiors are disjoint. we will denote f(I)=f(y)-f(x) and |I|=|y-x|

<sup>\*</sup> 사범대학 조교수

for I = (x, y).

We consider the supremum of  $\sum |f(I_n)|$ over all prepartitions  $\{I_n\}$  of (a, b) denoted by  $\sup \sum |f(I_n)|$ . A function f is of bounded variation on the closed interval (a, b) if  $v_a^b(f)$  $= \sup \sum |f(I_n)| \langle \infty$ .

Equivalently we could say a function is of bounded variation on the closed interval (a, b) if there is a positive constant C such that for every prepartitions  $(I_n)$  of (a, b),  $\sum |f(I_n)| \leq C$ 

Cyphert (1982) generalized this idea by considering concave functions  $\kappa$  on (0,1) in his dissertation. The function  $\kappa$  has the following properties on (0,1):

 $\kappa$  is continuous with  $\kappa(0)=0$  and  $\kappa(1) = 1$ ,

(2)  $\kappa$  is concave and strictly increasing and

(3)  $\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{k(x)}{x} = \infty$ 

A function f is said to be of  $\mathcal{K}$ -bounded variation on (a, b) if there exists a positive constant C such that for every prepartitions  $\{I_n\}$ if (a, b)

$$\sum |f(I_n)| \leq C \sum \mathcal{K} \left( \frac{|I_n|}{b-a} \right)$$

Note: if  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \frac{k(x)}{x} \langle \infty \rangle$ , the set  $k \in BV$  of k-bounded variation functions is the set BV of bounded variation functions. So, to enlarge the class of functions under consideration the condition (3) has been imposed.

EXAMPLE (1)  

$$\kappa_{\bullet}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x(1-\log x), & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)  $\kappa_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = x^{\alpha} \text{ for } 0 \langle \alpha \langle 1 \rangle$ 

On the other hand. Schramm (1985) generalized the above idea by considering a

sequence  $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \{\phi_n\}$ , say  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ -sequence, having the the following;

(1)  $\phi_n : (0 \infty) \rightarrow (0 \infty), \phi_n(0) = 0 \text{ and } \phi_n(x) > 0$ for x>0 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- (2)  $\phi_n$  are convex for  $n \in N$ ,
- (3)  $\phi_{n+1}(x) \langle \phi_n(x) \text{ for all } x \rangle 0$ , and
- (4)  $\sum_{n} \phi_n(x) = \infty$  for all x > 0.

A function f is said to be of  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ -bounded variation on (a, b) if  $V_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}a}^{b}(f) = \sup \sum \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{n}(|f(I_{n})|)$  $\langle \infty \rangle$  where the supremum is taken over all prepartitions  $\{I_{n}\}$  of (a, b).  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}BV$  denotes the set of all functions on (a, b) such that cf is cf  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ -bounded variation on (a, b) for some c>0.

# Functions of **¢** – bounded variation

Kim (1986) combined above two concepts (2)

DEFINITION 1. let a real valued function f be defined on the closed interval (a, b). f is said to be of  $\mathcal{K} \Phi$ -bounded variation on (a, b) if there exists a positive constant C such that for every prepartitions I<sub>n</sub> of (a, b)

$$\sum \phi_{n}(|f(I_{n})|) \leq C \sum \chi \left(\frac{|I_{n}|}{b-a}\right)$$

The total  $\mathcal{H}\phi$ -variation of f over (a, b) is defined by

$${}_{\kappa} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\mathbf{f}) = {}_{\kappa} \mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\phi} \mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{f}) = \sup \frac{\sum \varphi_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}))}{\sum \kappa \frac{|\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}}|}{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}}}$$

where the supremum is taken over all prepartitions  $\{I_n\}$  of  $\{a, b\}$ . We denoted by  $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}BV$  the collection of all functions f on (a, b) such that cf is of  $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}$ -bounded variation of (a, b) for some c>0. Note : If we

-234-

take  $\phi_n(x) = x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathbb{V}$  examined in (1). If we take  $\mathcal{O}(x) = x$ , the  $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathbb{V} = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathbb{V}$  examined in (3) Along to forms of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ -sequence, we have the following easily.

**THEOREM** 1 (1) For fixed  $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = (\boldsymbol{\phi}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \mathcal{K}_2$ , we have  $\mathcal{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\Phi} BV \subset \mathcal{K}_2 \boldsymbol{\Phi} BV$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_2 V_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(f) \leq \kappa_1 V_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(f)$  if  $f \in \mathcal{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\Phi} BV$ .

(2) For fixed  $\kappa$  and  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1n}\}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 = \{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2n}\},$  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1n} \ge \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2n}, \text{ we have } \kappa_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 B V^{-1} \kappa \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 B V, \text{ and}$  $\mathbf{y} < V \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 \text{ (f)} \le \mathbf{y} V \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 \text{ (f) if } \mathbf{f} \in \kappa \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 B V.$ 

(3) For  $\mathcal{K}_1 \leq \mathcal{K}_2$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 = \{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1n}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 = \{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{2n}\},$  $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1n} \geq \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2n}, \text{ we have } \mathcal{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 \text{BV} \subset \mathcal{K}_2 \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 \text{BV}, \text{ and}$  $|\mathcal{K}_2 \vee \boldsymbol{\phi}_2(f) \leq |\mathcal{K}_1 \vee \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(f) \text{ if } f \in \mathcal{K}_1 \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1 \text{BV}$ 

Since  $\mathcal{K}(x) \ge x$  on  $\{0, 1\}$ , we have the following.

COROLLARY 2.  $\mathcal{O}BV \subset \mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}BV$ , and  $\mathcal{K}V_{\mathcal{O}}$  (f)  $\leq V_{\mathcal{O}}$  (f) if  $f \in \mathcal{O}BV$ . In particular,  $BV \subset \mathcal{K}BV$ 

THEOREM 3. If f is montone, then we have

 $^{\mathsf{k}} \mathbf{V} \boldsymbol{\phi} (\mathbf{f}) = \boldsymbol{\phi}_{1} (|\mathbf{f}((\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))|).$ 

Proof. Clearly  ${}_{i} \in V_{\varphi}(f) \ge \phi_{i}(|f((a, b))|)$ . let  $\{I_{n}\}$  be a finite collection of nonoverlapping subintervals of (a, b) and let  $\Sigma'$  denote summation over nonzero terms, then

$$\begin{split} \sum \phi_{n}(|f(I_{n})|) &= \sum \phi_{n}(|f(I_{n})|) \\ &\leq \sum \phi_{1}(|f(I_{n})|) \\ &\leq \sum \phi_{1}(|f(I_{n})|)/|f(I_{n})|)|f(I_{n})|. \end{split}$$

Since  $\phi_1$  is convex  $\phi_1(x)/x$  increases with x, thus the above is not greater than

 $(\phi_1(|f((a, b))|)/|f((a, b))|)\Sigma'|f(I_n)| \le \phi_1(|f((a, b))|)$ 

It follows that  $\nabla_{\phi}(f) \leq \phi_1(f((a, b)))$  and so, by Corollay 2,  $\nabla_{\phi}(f) = \phi_1(f((a, b)))$ 

LEMMA 4. If f is  $\partial (\phi - bounded variation,$ 

f is bounded.

Proof. For given partition  $a \le x \le b$ , there is a constant C>0 such that

 $\phi_1(|f(x)-f(a)|) + \phi_2(|f(b)-f(x)|)$ 

 $\langle C[l((x-a)/(b-a)) + l((b-x)/(b-a))]$ Thus,  $\phi_1(|f(x)-f(a)|) \langle 2C$  so that  $|f(x)| \langle \phi^{-1}_1(2C) + |f(a)|$ 

LEMMA 5. Suppose that a function f is of  $l\langle \mathcal{D}-b$  ounded variation on the closed interval (a, b) and  $\kappa V_{\Phi}$  (f: a, b)=C. Then f is  $l\langle \mathcal{D}-b$  bounded variation on each closed interval (u, v) and  $\kappa V_{\Phi}$  (f: u, v) $\leq 3C$  where  $a \leq u \langle v \leq b$ . Proof. Let  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a prepartition of (u, v). then

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(|f(I_{i})|) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i}(|f(I_{i})|) + \phi_{n+1}(|f((a,u))|) + \phi_{n+2} \\ & (|f((v,b))|) \\ & \geq C(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{b-a}) + \mathcal{K}(\frac{u-a}{b-a}) + \mathcal{K}(\frac{b-v}{b-a})) \\ & \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{b-a}) + \mathcal{K}(1) + \mathcal{K}(1)) \\ & \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{b-a}) + \mathcal{K}(1) + \mathcal{K}(1)) \\ & \leq C(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{v-u}) \\ & \text{Since } \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{b-a}) \leq \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{v-u}) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} |I_{i}| = v-u \text{ so that} \\ & \mathcal{K}(1) = \mathcal{K}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|I_{i}|}{v-u}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{K}(\frac{|I_{i}|}{v-u}) \end{split}$$

If  $f \in BV$  or  $f \in \mathcal{O}BV$ , then f has only simple discontinuities. We have the following.

THEOREM 6. Let f be  $\partial \phi$ -bounded variation on the closed interval (a, b). If  $\lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k}(x) = 0$  for x>0, then  $f(x_{0}+)$ and  $f(y_{0}-)$  exist for a  $\leq x \langle b$  and  $a \leq y \langle b$ respectively.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $B = \lim_{x \downarrow x_0} f(x) \rangle \lim_{x \downarrow x_0} f(x) \rangle$  f(x) = A. Then there exists sequences of points  $\{x_i'\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $x'_i \rangle x_0$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_i' = x_0$ and  $\lim_{i \to \infty} f(x_i') = A$ , and  $\{x_j''\}_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j'' \rangle x_0$  such that  $\lim_{j \to \infty} x_j'' = x_0$  and  $\lim_{j \to \infty} f(x_j') = B$ . Thus there exist positive integers N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> such that  $f(x_i) \leq A + (B-A)/4$  when  $i \geq N_i$  and  $f(x_j^{*}) \geq B - (B-A)/4$  when  $j \geq N_i$ . Now, for each n =1, 2...., we can choose points  $x_{k'}$  k=1, 2, ..., n+1, alternately from  $\{x_i'\}$  and  $\{x_j^{*}\}$  so that  $x_i \langle x_i \langle x_i \langle \cdots \langle x_n \min (b, x_i + 1/n) \text{ and have } | f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k) | \geq \frac{B-A}{2}$  for k=1, 2, ...., n.

Now let  $\ltimes V_{\phi}$  (f : a, b)=C. then for partition  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$  of (a, b), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k} \left( \frac{B-A}{2} \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k} \left( |f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}_{k})| \right)$$
$$\leq 3C \sum_{k=1}^{n} \chi \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_{k}}{\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{1}} \right)$$
$$= 3Cn \chi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

by lemma 5 and Jensen's inequality, so that

$$0\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\phi_{k}\left(\frac{B-A}{2}\right)\leq 3C \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

letting n go to infinity we contradict the fact  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_k \left(\frac{B-A}{2}\right) \neq 0 \text{ so that } \lim_{\substack{x \downarrow x_0 \\ x \downarrow x$ 

Let us consider  $\ltimes V_{\varPhi}$  (Cf) as a function of variable C. Since  $\varPhi = \{ \varPhi_n \}$  is a sequence of convex functions, we have  $\varPhi_n(Cx) \leq C \varPhi_n(x)$  for  $0 \leq C \leq 1$ . let  $\ltimes V_{\varPhi}$  (f) $\langle \infty$  and let  $0 \langle C \leq 1$ . Then  ${}_{\aleph}V_{\varPhi}$  (Cf) $\leq C \ltimes V_{\varPhi}(f) \rightarrow 0$  as  $C \rightarrow 0$ . With this in mind, we define a norm as follows; let  $\& \varPhi BV_{\bullet} = \{ f \in \& \varPhi BV : f(a) = o \}$ ,

For  $f \in \mathcal{O} \oplus BV_{\bullet}$ , let  $\| f \| = \| f \|_{K \oplus} = \inf \{k > 0 : k \lor \phi (f/k) \le 1\}$ .

LEMMA 7. (1)  ${}_{\mathcal{K}}V_{\emptyset}(f/||f||) \le 1$ (2) If  $||f|| \le 1$ , then  ${}_{\mathcal{K}}V_{\emptyset}(f) \le ||f||$ Proof. (1) Let  $|k\rangle|||f||$ , then for any prepartition  $\{I_n\}$  of  $\{a, b\}$ 

$$\frac{\sum \phi_{n}(|f(I_{n})|/|||f|||)}{\sum \mathcal{K}(|I_{n}|/(b-a))} \leq \frac{\sum \phi_{n}(|f(I_{n})|/k}{\sum \mathcal{K}(|I_{n}|/(b-a))} \leq \kappa^{V_{\bullet}} (f/k)$$

#### ≤|

Taking supremum over all prepartitions  $\{I_n\}$  of (a, b),

 $\kappa V_{\phi}(f/\|f\|) \leq 1$ 

(2) For any prepartition  $\{I_n\}$  of (a, b), if  $\|f\| \le 1$ ,

$$\frac{\sum \phi_n(|f(I_n)|)}{\sum l \langle (|I_n|/(b-a))} \le \| f \| \frac{\sum \phi_n(f(I_n)/f) \| f \|}{\sum l \langle (|I_n|/(b-a))}$$
$$\le \| f \|$$

By using this Lemma, we have the following result with the simiar proof of  $\phi$ BV, (Schramm, 1985).

THEOREM 8.  $(\mathcal{K} \phi \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}_0, \| \cdot \|)$  is a Benach space

The space  $\mathcal{K} \phi \mathsf{BV}$  is a Banach space with norm

 $\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}^{\phi}} = |f(a)| + \|f - f(a)\|.$ 

THEORM 9. Suppose that  $\varphi_1 = \{\phi_{1n}\}, \quad \varphi_2 = \{\phi_{2n}\}$  and  $\varphi_3 = \{\phi_{3n}\}$  satisfy  $\phi_{1n}(x) \quad \phi_{2n}^{-1}(x) \leq k\phi_{3n}^{-1}(x)$  for all n. Then for all  $f \in \mathcal{K} \varphi_1 BV_0$  and  $g \in \mathcal{K} \varphi_2 BV_0$ ,  $fg \in \mathcal{K} \varphi_2 BV_0$ , and

$$\begin{split} \| \mathbf{fg} \|_{\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathbf{1}} &\leq 2 \, \mathbb{k} \| \mathbf{f} \|_{\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathbf{1}} &\| \mathbf{g} \|_{\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathbf{2}} \\ \text{Proof. given any } \mathbf{I}_n \subset (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}), \text{ either} \\ & \varphi_{1n}(|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) \leq \varphi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) \text{ or } \phi_{1n}(|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) \varphi_{2n} \\ & (|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) & \text{If } \phi_{1n}(|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) \leq \varphi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_n)|), \text{ then} \end{split}$$

we have the following inequality

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}_{n})\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_{n})/\mathbf{k}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{1n}^{-1}(\phi_{1n}(|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{I}_{n})|))\phi_{2n}^{-1}(\phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_{n})|)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \phi_{1n}^{-1}(\phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_{n})|))\phi_{2n}^{-1}(\phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_{n})|)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \phi_{3n}^{-1}(\phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}((\mathbf{I}_{n})|)) \\ &= \phi_{3n}^{-1}(\phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_{n})|)) \end{split}$$

Thus,  $\phi_{3n}(|f(I_n)g(I_n)|/k) \leq \phi_{2n}(|g(I_n)|)$ If  $\phi_{1n}(|f(I_n)|) \neq \phi_{2n}(|g(I_n)|)$ , then a similar argument shows that

 $\phi_{3n}(|f(I_n)g(I_n)|/k) \leq \phi_{1n}(|f(I_n)|)$ 

Therefore we have  $\sum \phi_{3n}(|f(I_n)g(I_n)|/k)/\sum k(|I_n|/b-a)$ 

 $\leq \sum \phi_{1n}(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) / \Sigma \times (\mathbf{I}_n|/\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}) )$   $+ (\Sigma \phi_{2n}(|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{I}_n)|) / \Sigma \times (|\mathbf{I}_n|/\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}))$ 

Thus,  $fg \in \langle \phi_1 BV \rangle$ 

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $\| f \|_{\lambda \in \Phi^1} = \| g \|_{\lambda \in \Phi^2} = 1$  By the convexity of

$$\begin{split} & \phi_{3n'} \text{ we have} \\ & \sum \phi_{3n} (|f(I_n)g(I_n)|/2k(1+\varepsilon)^2)/\sum \mathcal{K}(|I_n|/b-a) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \sum \phi_{3n} ((|f(I_n)|/1+\varepsilon)(/g(I_n)|/1+\varepsilon)/k/\sum \mathcal{K}(|I_n|/b-a) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum \phi_{1n} (|f(I_n)|/1+\varepsilon)/\sum \mathcal{K}(|I_n|/b-a) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1 \end{split}$$

Thus  $\aleph \nabla \varphi_3(fg/2k(1+\varepsilon)^3)=1$ ,  $\|fg\| \approx \varphi_3 = 2k(1+\varepsilon)^2$  and the theorem follows by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

### References

- Cyphert, D. S. 1982, Generalized functions of bounded variation and their application to the theory of harmonic functions, dissertation, Vanderbilt Univ., Tennessee, USA.
- Kim, S. K. and Kim, J. S. 1986. Functions of  $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{O}$  -bounded variation, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 23, 7-175.
- Schramm, M. 1985, Functions of  $\phi$ -bounded variation and Rieemam-Stieltjes integration, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 287, 49-63.

### 〈摘要〉

### - 有界變動函數의 性質

 K ØBV은 바나크공간이다. ØBV과 ØBV은 KØBV에 매몰된다. KØ-유재변동 함수들은 유재이고 만 일 x〉0에 대하여 lim\_h ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> Ø<sub>i</sub>(x)≠0이면 이 함수들은 단순불연속점만을 갖는다.