



THESIS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

Study on *Streptococcus parauberis* serotypes isolated from farmed olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) in Jeju Island from 2019 to 2023

Ji-Eun Han

DEPARTMENT OF MARINE LIFE SCIENCES GRADUATE SCHOOL JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

February 2024



Study on *Streptococcus parauberis* serotypes isolated from farmed olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) in Jeju Island from 2019 to 2023

A Thesis submitted to the graduate school of Jeju National University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science under the supervision of Joon-Bum Jeong

The thesis for the degree of Master of Science by Ji-Eun Han has been approved by the dissertation committee.

2024.02

Chair	[Moon-Soo Heo]
Member	[Lyu-Jin Jun]
Member	[Joon-Bum Jeong]



CONTENTS

Contents i
Abstractiii
List of figures w
List of tables vi
1. Introduction 1
2. Material and methods
2.1. Isolation of bacterial strains
2.2. DNA extraction
2.3. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis9
2.3.1. Identification of <i>S. parauberis</i>
2.3.2. Serotype analysis of <i>S. parauberis</i>
2.4. Biochemical analysis11
2.5. Antibiotic susceptibility test
2.6. Tetracycline and erythromycin resistance gene detection14
2.7. Vaccine investigation containing antigens of S. parauberis
3. Results
3.1. Identification of <i>S. parauberis</i>
3.2. Serotype analysis of <i>S. parauberis</i>
3.2.1. Serotype monitoring of <i>S. parauberis</i>
3.2.2. S. parauberis serotype monitoring by year and region
3.3. Biochemical analysis
3.4. Antibiotic susceptibility
3.5. Tetracycline and erythromycin resistance gene analysis



	3.6. Sero	types of	vaccines	containing	S.	parauberis	antigens	•••••	40
4.	Discussion								41
5.	Reference								46



Study on *Streptococcus parauberis* serotypes isolated from farmed olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) in Jeju Island from 2019 to 2023

Ji-Eun Han

Department of Marine life science The Graduate School Jeju National University

Abstract

S. parauberis, causing streptococcosis, is a significant bacterial disease affecting olive flounder production. Although studies on S. parauberis serotypes are ongoing, there has been no research on the serotypes isolated from cultured olive flounder in Jeju Island since 2020. Therefore, this study investigates the S. parauberis serotypes isolated from cultured olive flounder in Jeju from 2019 to 2023. From March 2019 to August 2023, we analyzed 382 S. parauberis isolates obtained from infected olive flounder in Jeju Island farms. In 2019, 155 isolates were collected, followed by 80 in 2020, 30 in 2021, 32 in 2022, and 85 in 2023. All 382 isolates were categorized into three serotypes. Among them, subserotype Ia was the most prevalent, constituting 73% of the isolates (279 isolates). Subservtype Ib/Ic accounted for 16% (61 isolates), while serotype II made up 11% (42 isolates). Subserotype Ia consistently exhibited a high detection rate each year, while subservtype Ib/Ic showed a decreasing trend. Conversely, serotype II demonstrated an increasing trend. The API 20 STREP kit was employed to assess biochemical distinctions based on serotypes, but no such differences were identified.



Antibiotic susceptibility testing revealed high resistance to quinolones, sulfonamides, and aminoglycosides. The detection of antibiotic resistance genes varied according to serotypes, with tet(S) and erm(B) detected in subserotype Ia and tet(M) confirmed in serotype II. Antigen vaccines containing *S. parauberis* serotypes include all subserotype Ib/Ic and three types that include subserotype Ia. Throughout the experimental period, monitoring the serotypes revealed a consistent high detection rate for subserotype Ia, along with an increasing trend in the detection rate of serotype II. As the prevalence of *S. parauberis* serotypes may change over time, awareness of the possibility that the current vaccine's antigen serotypes may not match the latest prevalent serotype patterns before vaccination is considered important. For these reasons, this study serves as a reference for future *S. parauberis* serotype analyses.



List of figure

Figure 1.	Location of the olive flounder farms station in this study7
Figure 2.	Multiplex PCR products with the specific primer sets for S.
	parauberis serotypes
Figure 3.	Number of serotype isolates of S. parauberis detected from 2019 to
	2023 26
Figure 4.	Location of the sampling sites from 2019 to 2023
Figure 5.	Disk diffusion results for antibiotics of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype
Figure 6.	PCR products with the specific primer sets



List of tables

Table 1. Primer set for analysis of S. parauberis 9
Table 2. Primer sets for analysis of S. parauberis serotypes
Table 3. Disk used for antibiotic susceptibility test
Table 4. Primer sets for analysis of antibiotic resistance genes
Table 5. Inactivated vaccines containing S. parauberis antigen in Korea
Table 6. Number of S. parauberis isolated from olive flounder in Jeju Island
from 2019 to 2023
Table 7. Serotype of <i>S. parauberis</i> isolates used in this study
Table 8. Number of S. parauberis serotype isolates detected from farmed
olive flounder in Jeju Island from 2019 to 202327
Table 9. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> strains isolated by region and year
Table 10. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype isolates detected in olive flounder
in Jeju Island in 201929
Table 11. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype isolates detected in olive flounder
in Jeju Island in 2020
Table 12. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype isolates detected in olive flounder
in Jeju Island in 2021
Table 13. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype isolates detected in olive flounder
in Jeju Island in 2022 ······32
Table 14. Number of <i>S. parauberis</i> serotype isolates detected in olive flounder
in Jeju Island in 2023 ······33
Table 15. Biochemical activity of <i>S. parauberis</i> in API 20 STREP
Table 16. Correlation of serotypes and antibiotic resistance genes in S.
parauberis



 Table 17. Serotypes of inactivated vaccines containing S. parauberis antigens

 40



1. Introduction

In the late 1980s, land-based aquaculture systems, focusing on cultivating olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*), were initiated in Jeju Island. With advancements in aquaculture technology, the production of olive flounder, which was approximately 4,000 tons in 1993, has surged to 45,801 tons, constituting 50.6% of the total farmed fish production in South Korea, establishing itself as a major species in aquaculture. In 2022, out of the total domestic farmed fish production of 90,545 tons, farmed olive flounder alone reached 45,801 tons, with 49.1% of this production occurring in Jeju Island (Ok et al., 2006; KOSIS, 2022). However, the pursuit of increased production through high-density farming has led to the emergence of diseases. The lack of effective aquaculture management and environmental degradation has adversely impacted olive flounder production (Oh et al., 1998; Eh et al., 2011).

Diseases causing economic losses in olive flounder have been reported as bacterial diseases, parasitic diseases, and viral diseases (Shim et al., 2019). Among bacterial diseases that commonly occur in olive flounder, streptococcosis, edwardsiellosis, and vibriosis have been documented (Oh et al., 2006; Cho et al., 2007; Kwon et al., 2012).

The causative agents for streptococcosis, which infect farmed fish and induce diseases, include *Streptococcus iniae*, *S. parauberis*, *S. agalactiae*, *S. dysgalactiae*, and *Lactococcus garvieae*. Streptococcosis is known to occur in freshwater and saltwater fish (Kusuda et al., 1978; Baeck et al., 2006; Austin and Austin, 2007; Pradeep, 2016; Mishra et al., 2018), and was first reported in Japan in 1957. It was first reported in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) farm in Japan in 1957 (Hoshina et al., 1958). Subsequent cases were observed in tilapia (*Tilapia nilotica*) and ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*) farms in Japan in 1981 (Kitao et al., 1981), and in 1982, infections were reported in the mediterranean crab (*Carcinus mediterraneus*) (Pappalardo and Boemare, 1982).



In 1993, infection was reported at a turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) farm in Spain (Toranzo et al., 1994). There is evidence of *S. parauberis* distribution in the coastal waters of Jeju Island (Roh et al., 2018). As a result, streptococcosis is reported to infect a variety of fish species and is widely distributed across different regions.

Major symptoms of streptococcosis include darkening of body color, abdominal distension, ascitic fluid in the peritoneal cavity, petechial hemorrhaging, hemorrhaging on the non-ocular side, hemorrhagic septicemia, exophthalmia, and meningitis, and an splenomegaly (Chang et al., 1996; Jeong et al., 2006; Baeck et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006; Nho et al., 2009; Harvie et al., 2013). The streptococcosis that occurs in olive flounder produced domestically is known as *S. iniae*, *S. parauberis*, and *L. garvieae*. (Kim et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2020). However, *S. parauberis* is more frequently detected than other streptococcosis agents (Woo et al., 2014). The first infection of *S. parauberis* was reported in farmed olive flounder on Jeju Island in 2005 (Baeck et al., 2006), and since the early 2010s, *S. parauberis* has been reported as the primary causative agent of streptococcosis predominantly occurring in domestically farmed olive flounder (Jeong et al., 2006; Baeck et al., 2020).

S. parauberis primarily targets the heart and brain, with representative symptoms including pericarditis and meningitis (Choi et al., 2009; Won et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2017). S. parauberis is a non-motile, catalase-negative, gram-positive bacterium (Toranzo et al., 1994). Initially identified as S. uberis Π to its similarities in biochemical genotype due and serological characteristics with the causative agent of clinical mastitis in dairy cows, S. uberis, it was later distinguished as a separate bacterium, S. parauberis, through 16S ribosomal RNA sequence analysis (Williams et al., 1990).

Since the differentiation of *S. parauberis* serotypes, various serotype studies have been conducted to date. In 2009, an agglutination assay with rabbit



antiserum confirmed the division of *S. parauberis* into serotype I and serotype II (Kanai et al., 2009). The capsule layer on the bacterial cell surface, known for providing resistance against the host's innate and acquired immunity, has been reported to influence the pathogenicity of bacteria, with differences in thickness reflecting varying pathogenic outcomes (Johnson et al., 1992; Wai et al., 1998; Hwang et al., 2008; Han et al., 2011). For this reason, it was confirmed that S. parauberis serotype I had a thicker capsule layer than serotype II, indicating a difference in pathogenicity between the two serotypes (Han et al., 2011). In 2015, it was confirmed that serotype I can be further divided into three subserotypes, Ia, Ib, and Ic (Kanai et al., 2015). Through an agglutination assay with rabbit antiserum, strains that did not agglutinate to serotype I and serotype II were identified, and based on the degree of agglutination, the serotypes were divided into Ia, Ib, and Ic. Using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), it was reported that serotype I can be further divided into two subserotypes, Ia and Ib/Ic. In 2015, primers specific to the polysaccharide polymerase gene (wzy) of S. parauberis were designed for subservtype Ia, subservtype Ib/Ic, and servtype II, and through multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR), it was confirmed that three serotypes could be identified (Tu et al., 2015). In 2020, serotype III of S. parauberis was isolated from turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) in Spain (Torres-Corral et al., 2020). Also, the diversity in serotypes of S. parauberis is confirmed to be caused by capsular polysaccharide (CPS) (Kanai et al., 2009; Kanai et al., 2015; Torres-Corral et al., 2020).

According to previous research three serotypes of *S. parauberis* were identified of olive flounder cultured in Jeju Island, and the distribution of *S. parauberis* serotypes varied over specific periods (Kim et al., 2020). However, no research on serotype monitoring of *S. parauberis* has been conducted in Jeju Island since 2020.

In the domestic veterinary pharmaceutical sector, approximately 20 types of



drugs are currently registered and in use, with tetracycline antibiotics constituting around 70% of them. Following that, there are β -lactam and quinolone antibiotics, and the remaining include a small amount of macrolide and sulfonamide antibiotics (Jo et al., 2015). In 2018, the total amount of antibiotics used in cultured olive flounder in the Jeju Island was confirmed to be 322 tons. Particularly, tetracycline antibiotics and penicillin antibiotics were the most widely used, followed by aminoglycoside, penicol, quinolone, sepharoseporin, lincosamide, and macrolide antibiotics (Jeong, 2021). The tetracycline antibiotics, including oxytetracycline, doxycycline, and tetracycline, are widely used in aquaculture to minimize losses caused by bacterial diseases. Additionally, antibiotics such as ampicillin and amoxicillin from the β -lactam antibiotics, flumequine, oxolinic acid, and nalidixic from the quinolone antibiotics, as well as erythromycin from the macrolide antibiotics and clindamycin from the lincosamide antibiotics, are employed in the treatment of vibriosis and streptococcosis infections in olive flounder. Due to the lack of clear regulations on antibiotic use in the country, antibiotics are administered freely without undergoing susceptibility testing when diseases occur. Consequently, this has led to an increase in resistance levels to conventional antibiotics and a decline in treatment efficacy (Kim et al., 2022).

Tetracycline antibiotics inhibit bacterial cell wall synthesis, thereby suppressing the normal functioning of bacteria (Schnappinger et al., 1996). However, the increasing prevalence of resistant bacteria poses challenges in the treatment of infections (Lee et al., 2017). Resistance to tetracycline primarily occurs due to genes such as *tet*, which are involved in drug efflux pump, ribosomal protection or enzymatic activation modification (Levy et al., 1999; Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Giovanetti et al., 2003). Macrolide antibiotics inhibit RNA-dependent protein synthesis by reversibly binding to the 50S ribosome of susceptible bacteria (Jeong, 2003). Resistance to erythromycin, a type of macrolide, is mainly associated with *erm* and *mef* gene families,



- 4 -

which alter the ribosomal target through methylation or mutation, leading to resistance (Sapkota et al., 2006).

In this study, the focus was on collecting serotype data of *S. parauberis* from olive flounder suspected of infection in Jeju Island aquaculture facilities spanning from 2019 to 2023. Serotype monitoring, biochemical analysis, susceptibility testing to commonly used antibiotics in olive flounder, and detection experiments for antibiotic resistance genes were conducted. The objective is to lay the groundwork for the development of an olive flounder *S. parauberis* vaccine and serotype monitoring.



2. Materials and methods

2.1. Isolation of bacterial strains

Experimental strains were isolated from diseased olive flounder in aquaculture facilities located in Jeju-si (Hangyeong, Jocheon, Gujwa) and Seogwipo-si (Daejeong, Namwon, Pyoseon, Seongsan) from March 2019 to August 2023 (Fig. 1). For strain identification, internal organs of olive flounder was streaked on tryptic soy agar (TSA, Difco, USA) and brain heart infusion agar (BHIA, Difco, USA), as well as selective media including thiosulfate citrate bile salts sucrose (TCBS) agar (Difco, USA) and salmonella shigella (SS) agar (MB cell, Korea). The cultures were then incubated at 28°C for 24 hours to confirm colony formation and morphology. To distinguish *Streptococcus* spp. strains, the criterion was the formation of small white colonies on TSA plates. *S. parauberis*, strains with pure culture isolation were cultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB, Difco, USA) supplemented with 2% NaCl at 28°C for 24 hours Experimental strains were preserved by adding 20% glycerol (Sigma, USA) and stored at -80°C.





Fig. 1. Location of the olive flounder farms station in this study. Yellow area, olive flounder farms located in jeju-si; bule area, olive flounder farms located in seogwipo-si. (A) Hangyeong; (B) Jocheon; (C) Gujwa; (D) Daejeong; (E) Namwon; (F) Pyoseon; (G) Seongsan.



2.2. DNA extraction

For the DNA extraction of *S. parauberis*, strains with pure culture isolation were cultured in TSB supplemented with 2% NaCl at 28°C for 24 hours. Genomic DNA was extracted using the HiGeneTM Genomic DNA Prep Kit (Biofact, Korea).

In a 1.7 ml microtube, 1.5 ml of bacterial culture in TSB was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was resuspended in 300 $\mu\ell$ of cell re-suspension solution, and 2 $\mu\ell$ of lysozyme was added, followed by a 1 hour incubation at 37°C. After incubation, centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 1 minute removed the supernatant. To the pellet, 300 $\mu\ell$ of cell lysis solution was added, and after resuspension, 1.5 $\mu\ell$ of RNase A was added, followed by a 30 minute incubation at 37°C. Afterward, the sample was cooled for 5 minutes at room temperature. Protein precipitation solution (100 $\mu \ell$) was added, strongly vortexed, and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant (500 $\mu \ell$) was transferred to a new 1.7 ml microtube, where 500 μl of 100% isopropanol was added. After 50 inverting, centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 1 minute removed the supernatant. Ethanol (80%, 500 μl) was added, followed by 50 inverting and centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 1 minute. After discarding the supernatant, the sample was air-dried at room temperature for 10~15 minutes and then hydrated with 50 $\mu\ell$ of DNA hydration solution. Extracted DNA was stored at -80°C until further use (Lee, 2018a).



2.3. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis

2.3.1. Identification of S. parauberis

For the identification of *S. parauberis*, PCR was conducted using primer sets proposed by Woo et al. (2006) and is shown in Table 1. In a 0.2 ml microtube, a final PCR mixture of 20 μ l was prepared by adding 1 μ M of each primer, 2.5 mM of each dNTP (COSMO genetech, Korea), 10 × IP-Taq Buffer (COSMO genetech, Korea), 2.5 U IP-Taq DNA polymerase (COSMO genetech, Korea), and the extracted DNA as the template. Deionized sterile distilled water (DW, Bioneer, Korea) was added to reach a final volume of 20 μ l.

PCR conditions involved an initial 5 minutes pre-denaturation at 95°C, followed by 33 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute, annealing at 55°C for 1 minute, and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. A final post-extension step was performed at 72°C for 7 minutes. The amplified products were visualized by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing SYBRTM Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen, USA) using 1 × TAE buffer (COSMO genetech, Korea). The PCR products were then detected under UV light.

Table 1.	Primer	set	for	analysis	of	S.	parauberis
----------	--------	-----	-----	----------	----	----	------------

Target pathogen	Primer	Sequence	Amplicon	Reference
	TIME	(5'to 3')	size (bp)	nererenee
C. porouboria	pSP-F	TCCAGTCTTTCGACCTTCTT	220	Woo et al.,
S. parauberis -	pSP-R	CAAAGAGATGTTCGGCTTG	220	2006



Serotyping of *S. parauberis* was performed through PCR using the primer set proposed by Tu et al. (2015) and is shown in Table 2. In a 0.2 ml microtube, a final PCR mixture of 20 μl was prepared by adding 1 μ M of each primer, 2.5 mM of each dNTP, 10 × IP-Taq Buffer, 2.5 U IP-Taq DNA polymerase, and the extracted DNA as the template. Distilled water was added to achieve a final volume of 20 μl .

PCR conditions included a 3 minute pre-denaturation at 95°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 98°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds. A final post-extension step was performed at 72°C for 7 minutes. The amplified products were visualized by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing SYBRTM Safe DNA Gel Stain using 1 × TAE buffer. The PCR products were then detected under UV light.

Target serotype		Primer	Sequence	Amplicon	Defeneres
		Primer	(5'to 3')	size (bp)	Reference
	subserotype	For-Ia	ATTGTTAGTCATTCAGTTGT	213	
	Ia	Rev-Ia	AATTATAGTCAACAGTCCAG	215	
S. parauberis	subserotype	For-Ib/Ic	ATTTCTACCAGGTTACTTTG	303	Tu et al., 2015
S. parauberis	Ib/Ic	Rev-Ib/Ic	ACATCTCGAAACTTCATATT	303	2015
-	serotype	For-II	GAACTACTTAGGTTTAGCAT	413	
	II	Rev-II	AACTTGTAAATAGGATTGCT	415	

Table 2. Primer sets for analysis of S. parauberis serotypes



2.4. Biochemical analysis

To analyze the biochemical characteristics based on serotypes, the API 20 STREP kit (BioMérieux, France) was employed in the experiment. 3 strains each of subserotype Ia, subserotype Ib/Ic, and serotype II were selected and cultured in 2% NaCl supplemented TSB at 28°C for 24 hours. After 24 hours of cultivation, the culture broth was used to conduct the API 20 STREP test.

An incubation box was prepared, and 5 m ℓ of sterile distilled water was dispensed into the tray. After placing the strip on the tray, 100 μl of bacterial suspension was dispensed from sodium pyruvate (VP) to L-leucine- β -naphthylamide (LAP), and for L-arginine (ADH), the bacterial suspension was dispensed only into the tube. For D-ribose (RIB) to glycogen (GLYG), 0.5 ml of bacterial suspension was mixed with the API GP medium ampoule and dispensed only into the tube. From ADH to GLYG, mineral oil was added to the cuvette, and the tubes were incubated at 37°C for 4 hours. After 4 hours, the incubation box was taken out, and reagents were added to VP, (HIP), hippuric acid pyroglutamic acid β -naphthylamide (PYRA), 6-bromo-2-naphthylaD-galactopyranoside (aGAL), naphthol ASBIglucuronic acid (β GUR), 2-naphthyl β D-galactopyranoside (β GAL), 2-naphthyl phosphate (PAL), and LAP tests. 10 minutes later, the results were interpreted using a reading chart (Facklam et al., 1984; Human et al., 1985; MacGowan et al., 1989). The reactions for esculin (ESC), L-arginine (ADH), D-ribose (RIB), L-arabinose (ARA), D-mannitol (MAN), D-sorbitol (SOR), D-lactose (LAC), D-trehalose (TRE), inulin (INU), D-raffinose (RAF), starch (AMD), and GLYG, excluding enzyme reactions such as HIP, PYRA, aGAL, βGUR, βGAL, PAL, and LAP, were coded after incubation at 37°C for 24 hours. The code values were converted into a 7-digit numerical profile, and the results were interpreted using API identification software (*apiweb*TM).



2.5. Antibiotic susceptibility test

Individual strains were cultivated in TSB at a temperature of 28°C for a duration of 24 hours. The culture was diluted to 10^{5} 10⁶ for the antibiotic susceptibility test. The diluted solution was streaked on mueller hinton agar (MHA, Difco, USA) supplemented with 1% NaCl using a sterilized swab. 19 types of antibiotic disks (Liofilchem, Italy) were attached to the MHA, (amoxicillin (AML), including β-lactam antibiotics ampicillin (AMP), cephalexin (CL), ceftiofur (FUR)), aminoglycoside antibiotics (gentamycin (CN)), lincosamide antibiotics (clindamycin (CD), lincomycin (MY)), macrolide antibiotics (erythromycin (E)), tetracycline antibiotics (doxycycline (DXT), oxytetracycline (OT), tetracycline (TET)), sulfonamide antibiotics (sulfadiazine (SUZ), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), trimethoprim (TM)), quinolone antibiotics (oxolinic acid (OA), nalidixic acid (NA), flumequine (UB), enrofloxacin (ENR)), and phenicol antibiotics (florfenicol (FFC)) (Table 3). The cultures were incubated at 28°C for 24 hours, and growth inhibition zones were examined.



Antibiotic classes	Name	Abbreviation	$\mu { m g}$
	Amoxicillin	AML	10
Q lostom	Ampicillin	AMP	10
β−lactam	Cephalexin	CL	30
	Ceftiofur	FUR	30
Aminoglycoside	Gentamycin	CN	10
Lincosamide	Clindamycin	CD	10
	Lincomycin	MY	15
Macrolide	Erythromycin	E	15
	Doxycycline	DXT	30
Tetracycline	Oxytetracycline	OT	30
	Tetracycline	TET	30
	Sulfadiazine	SUZ	300
Sulfonamide	Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole	SXT	25
	Trimethoprim	TM	5
	Oxolinic acidcin	OA	2
Quinolone	Nalidixic acid	NA	30
Quinolone	Flumequine	UB	30
	Enrofloxacin	ENR	5
Phenicol	Florfenicol	FFC	30

Table 3	. Disk	used	for	antibiotic	susceptibility	test
---------	--------	------	-----	------------	----------------	------



2.6. Tetracycline and erythromycin resistance gene detection

85 strains of *S. parauberis*, isolated in 2023, were used for the genetic analysis of antibiotic resistance genes. The investigation focused on 5 specific genes, namely tet(B), tet(M), tet(S), and tet(Y) from the tetracyclines, as well as erm(B) from the macrolides.

PCR was conducted using the primer sets delineated in Table 4. In a 0.2 m ℓ microtube, 1 μ M of each primer, 2.5 mM of individual dNTPs, 10 × IP-Taq Buffer, 2.5 U IP-Taq DNA polymerase, and template DNA extracted from the strains were combined, and distilled water was added to achieve a final PCR mixture volume of 20 $\mu\ell$.

The annealing temperatures for tet(B), tet(M), and tet(S) were set at 55°C, while the annealing temperature for tet(Y) was set at 58°C. Additionally, the annealing temperature for erm(B) was set at 49°C. The PCR conditions involved a 3 minutes pre-denaturation at 95°C, followed by denaturation at 98°C for 10 seconds. Subsequently, annealing was conducted at the specified temperatures for 30 seconds according to the primer sets, followed by extension at 72°C for 30 seconds in one cycle. This cycle was repeated 30 times, and a final post-extension step was carried out at 72°C for 7 minutes. The amplified products were visualized by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel containing SYBRTM Safe DNA Gel Stain using 1 × TAE buffer. The PCR products were then detected under UV light.



Target	Primer pair	Brimer pair Sequence		Reference
gene	Timei pan	(5' to 3')	size (bp)	Reference
(D)	TETF	GCGCTNTATGCGTTGATGCA	171	Jun et al.,
<i>tet</i> (B)	TBR	TGAAAGCAAACGGCCTAA	171	2003
$+ \alpha t(\mathbf{M})$	TMF	GAATCTGAACAATGGGAT	1.000	
<i>tet</i> (M)	TMR	CTAACAATTCTGTTCCAGC	1,099	Jun et al.,
<i>tet</i> (S)	TSF	CATAGACAAGCCGTTGACC	667	2010
	TSR	ATGTTTTTGGAACGCCAGAG	007	
4.24(V)	<i>tet</i> (Y)-F	ATCACGCAAAGCTGGATGGT	979	Woo et al.,
tet(Y)	<i>tet</i> (Y)–R	GCTGACTGGCGCAATAATGG	278	2021
(D)	erm(B)-F	GAAAAGGTACTCAACCAAAT	<u>(200</u>	Chung et al.,
<i>erm</i> (B)	erm(B)-R	AGTAACGGTACTTAAATTGT	639	1999

Table 4. Primer sets for analysis of antibiotic resistance genes



2.7. Vaccine investigation containing antigens of S. parauberis

To investigate the serotype of the inactivated vaccine containing antigens of *S. parauberis* manufactured domestically, a vaccine approved as a veterinary medicine by the National Institute of Fisheries Science (NIFS) was examined. Details of vaccines containing antigens of *S. parauberis* targeting olive flounder are given in Table 5.

Table 5. Inactivated vaccines containing S. parauberis antigen in Korea

	- ·	
Company name	License year	Product name
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	2006.12.15	대성 연쇄 피쉬백
㈜ 코미팜	2009.03.30	프로백 더블에스
㈜ 고려비엔피	2009.12.08	힘백 어질방 S-3 플러스
(주) 하나윈	2010.01.26	포세이돈-3
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	2010.12.16	대성 연쇄 혼합 피쉬백
(주) 하나윈	2012.03.30	포세이돈-5
㈜ 코미팜	2012.07.25	프로백 에드와드연쇄상구균 혼합백신
㈜ 중앙백신연구소	2012.08.23	참신 연쇄 포커스
㈜ 코미팜	2015.01.12	프로백TM 펜타
㈜ 고려비엔피	2016.02.24	힘백 어질방 ES3 플러스 혼합 불활화 백신
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	2016.08.22	대성 VES-2 피쉬백
㈜ 고려비앤피	2018.04.11	윌로마린 S.E 4
(주) 씨티씨백	2022.03.03	씨티씨백 세균다자바 플러스



3. Results

3.1. Identification of S. parauberis

Strains identified as *S. parauberis*, isolated from diseased olive flounder in Jeju Island olive flounder farms from March 2019 to August 2023, are presented in Table 6. In 2019, 155 isolates were identified, and this was followed by 80 isolates in 2020, 30 isolates in 2021, 32 isolates in 2022, and 85 isolates in 2023. In total, 382 isolates were identified as *S. parauberis* (Table 6).

Table 6. Number of *S. parauberis* isolated from olive flounder in Jeju Island from 2019 to 2023

Year	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total
No. of <i>S. parauberis</i>	155	80	30	32	85	382



3.2. Serotype analysis of S. parauberis

3.2.1. Serotype monitoring of S. parauberis

A serotype analysis was conducted on the 382 isolates identified as *S. parauberis*. Multiplex PCR was conducted using primer sets suggested by Tu et al (2015). The 382 isolates were classified into three serotypes (Fig. 2, Table 7).



Fig. 2. Multiplex PCR products with the specific primer sets for *S. parauberis* serotypes. M, 100bp DNA ladder marker; lane 1, negative control; lane 2, positive control (subserotype Ia); lane 3, positive control (subserotype Ib/Ic); lane 4, positive control (serotype II); lane 5–6 subserotype Ia; lane 7–8 subserotype Ib/Ic; lane 9–10 serotype II.



	Strains								
No.	Region	Year	Serotype	No.	Region	Year	Serotype		
1	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	41	Gujwa	2019	Ia		
2	Daejeong	2019	II	42	Namwon	2019	Ib/Ic		
3	Daejeong	2019	Ia	43	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
4	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	44	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
5	Daejeong	2019	Ia	45	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
6	Daejeong	2019	Ia	46	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
7	Daejeong	2019	Ia	47	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
8	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	48	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
9	Gujwa	2019	Ib/Ic	49	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
10	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	50	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
11	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	51	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
12	Namwon	2019	Ib/Ic	52	Namwon	2019	Ib/Ic		
13	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	53	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
14	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	54	Daejeong	2019	Ib/Ic		
15	Daejeong	2019	Ia	55	Gujwa	2019	Ia		
16	Daejeong	2019	Ia	56	Namwon	2019	Ia		
17	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	57	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
18	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	58	Daejeong	2019	Ib/Ic		
19	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	59	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
20	Daejeong	2019	Ia	60	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
21	Daejeong	2019	II	61	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
22	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	62	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
23	Namwon	2019	Ia	63	Namwon	2019	Ia		
24	Gujwa	2019	Ib/Ic	64	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
25	Daejeong	2019	Ia	65	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
26	Gujwa	2019	Ib/Ic	66	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
27	Daejeong	2019	Ia	67	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
28	Daejeong	2019	Ia	68	Namwon	2019	Ia		
29	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	69	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
30	Pyoseon	2019	Ia	70	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
31	Pyoseon	2019	Ib/Ic	71	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
32	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	72	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
33	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	73	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
34	Namwon	2019	Ia	74	Jocheon	2019	Ib/Ic		
35	Namwon	2019	Ia	75	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
36	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	76	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
37	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	77	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
38	Seongsan	2019	Ia	78	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
39	Daejeong	2019	Ib/Ic	79	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
40	Gujwa	2019	Ib/Ic	80	Jocheon	2019	Ia		

Table 7. Serotype of S. parauberis isolates used in this study



Strains									
No.	Region	Year	Serotype	No.	Region	Year	Serotype		
81	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	121	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
82	Seongsan	2019	Ia	122	Jocheon	2019	Ia		
83	Daejeong	2019	Ia	123	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
84	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	124	Namwon	2019	Ia		
85	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	125	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
86	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	126	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
87	Namwon	2019	Ia	127	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
88	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	128	Jocheon	2019	Ia		
89	Daejeong	2019	II	129	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
90	Daejeong	2019	Ib/Ic	130	Daejeong	2019	Π		
91	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	131	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
92	Namwon	2019	Ia	132	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
93	Seongsan	2019	Ia	133	Namwon	2019	Ia		
94	Seongsan	2019	Ia	134	Daejeong	2019	II		
95	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	135	Namwon	2019	Ia		
96	Seongsan	2019	Ia	136	Pyoseon	2019	Ia		
97	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	137	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
98	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	138	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
99	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	139	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
100	Seongsan	2019	Ia	140	Namwon	2019	Ia		
101	Seongsan	2019	Ia	141	jocheon	2019	Ia		
102	Namwon	2019	Ia	142	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
103	Namwon	2019	Ia	143	Daejeong	2019	Ia		
104	Namwon	2019	Ia	144	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
105	Seongsan	2019	Ia	145	Namwon	2019	Ia		
106	Seongsan	2019	Ia	146	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
107	Seongsan	2019	Ia	147	Seongsan	2019	II		
108	Seongsan	2019	Ia	148	Daejeong	2019	II		
109	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	149	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic		
110	Namwon	2019	Ia	150	Namwon	2019	Ia		
111	Namwon	2019	Ia	151	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
112	Daejeong	2019	Ia	152	Seongsan	2019	II		
113	Seongsan	2019	Ia	153	Seongsan	2019	II		
114	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	154	Seongsan	2019	Ia		
115	Namwon	2019	II	155	Gujwa	2019	Ia		
116	Seongsan	2019	Ia	156	Daejeong	2020	Π		
117	Daejeong	2019	II	157	Namwon	2020	Ia		
118	Namwon	2019	Ia	158	Daejeong	2020	Ib/Ic		
119	Seongsan	2019	Ia	159	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
120	Seongsan	2019	Ib/Ic	160	Namwon	2020	Ia		



Strains									
No.	Region	Year	Serotype	No.	Region	Year	Serotype		
161	Seongsan	2020	Ia	201	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
162	Namwon	2020	Ia	202	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
163	Seongsan	2020	Ia	203	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
164	Seongsan	2020	Ia	204	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
165	Namwon	2020	Ia	205	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
166	Daejeong	2020	Ia	206	Namwon	2020	Ia		
167	Jocheon	2020	Ia	207	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
168	Gujwa	2020	Ia	208	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
169	Seongsan	2020	Ia	209	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
170	Namwon	2020	Ia	210	Namwon	2020	Ia		
171	Daejeong	2020	Ib/Ic	211	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
172	Daejeong	2020	Ia	212	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
173	Seongsan	2020	Ia	213	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
174	Namwon	2020	II	214	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
175	Seongsan	2020	Ia	215	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
176	Seongsan	2020	Ia	216	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
177	Namwon	2020	Ia	217	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
178	Seongsan	2020	Ia	218	Daejeong	2020	Ib/Ic		
179	Daejeong	2020	Ia	219	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
180	Seongsan	2020	Ia	220	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
181	Daejeong	2020	Ia	221	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
182	Namwon	2020	Ia	222	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
183	Daejeong	2020	Ia	223	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
184	Daejeong	2020	Ia	224	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
185	Seongsan	2020	Ia	225	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
186	Namwon	2020	Ia	226	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
187	Seongsan	2020	Ia	227	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
188	Daejeong	2020	Ia	228	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
189	Daejeong	2020	Ia	229	Daejeong	2020	Ia		
190	Seongsan	2020	Ia	230	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
191	Daejeong	2020	Ia	231	Gujwa	2020	Ia		
192	Jocheon	2020	Ia	232	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
193	Seongsan	2020	Ia	233	Namwon	2020	Ia		
194	Seongsan	2020	Ia	234	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
195	Namwon	2020	Ia	235	Seongsan	2020	Ia		
196	Daejeong	2020	Ia	236	Seongsan	2021	Ia		
197	Namwon	2020	Ia	237	Jocheon	2021	Ia		
198	Daejeong	2020	Ia	238	Daejeong	2021	Ia		
199	Daejeong	2020	Ia	239	Daejeong	2021	Ia		
200	Namwon	2020	Ia	240	Daejeong	2021	Ia		



			Stra	ains			
No.	Region	Year	Serotype	No.	Region	Year	Serotype
241	Seongsan	2021	Ia	281	Namwon	2022	Ia
242	Seongsan	2021	Ia	282	Gujwa	2022	Ia
243	Daejeong	2021	Ia	283	Gujwa	2022	Ia
244	Seongsan	2021	II	284	Daejeong	2022	II
245	Pyoseon	2021	Ia	285	Pyoseon	2022	Ia
246	Seongsan	2021	Ia	286	Pyoseon	2022	Ia
247	Daejeong	2021	Ia	287	Seongsan	2022	II
248	Jocheon	2021	Ia	288	Seongsan	2022	Ia
249	Seongsan	2021	Ia	289	Pyoseon	2022	Ia
250	Seongsan	2021	Ib/Ic	290	Gujwa	2022	Ia
251	Gujwa	2021	Ib/Ic	291	Gujwa	2022	Π
252	Seongsan	2021	Ia	292	Seongsan	2022	Π
253	Seongsan	2021	Ia	293	Jocheon	2022	Ia
254	Gujwa	2021	Ia	294	Seongsan	2022	II
255	Jocheon	2021	Ia	295	Daejeong	2022	Π
256	Seongsan	2021	II	296	Seongsan	2022	Π
257	Daejeong	2021	Ia	297	Daejeong	2022	Ia
258	Seongsan	2021	Ib/Ic	298	Seongsan	2023	II
259	Gujwa	2021	Ib/Ic	299	Namwon	2023	Π
260	Seongsan	2021	II	300	Namwon	2023	Π
261	Daejeong	2021	II	301	Daejeong	2023	Ia
262	Seongsan	2021	II	302	Daejeong	2023	Ia
263	Gujwa	2021	Ia	303	Daejeong	2023	Ia
264	Seongsan	2021	Ia	304	Daejeong	2023	Ia
265	Seongsan	2021	II	305	Daejeong	2023	Ia
266	Daejeong	2022	Ia	306	Daejeong	2023	Ia
267	Daejeong	2022	Ia	307	Daejeong	2023	Ia
268	Daejeong	2022	Ia	308	Daejeong	2023	Ia
269	Seongsan	2022	II	309	Daejeong	2023	Ia
270	Seongsan	2022	Ia	310	Daejeong	2023	Ia
271	Seongsan	2022	Ia	311	Daejeong	2023	Ia
272	Seongsan	2022	Ia	312	Daejeong	2023	Ia
273	Daejeong	2022	Ia	313	Hangyeong	2023	Ia
274	Daejeong	2022	Ia	314	Daejeong	2023	Ia
275	Seongsan	2022	II	315	Hangyeong	2023	Ia
276	Seongsan	2022	Ia	316	Hangyeong	2023	Ia
277	Seongsan	2022	Ia	317	Seongsan	2023	Ia
278	Gujwa	2022	II	318	Seongsan	2023	Ia
279	Seongsan	2022	Ia	319	Seongsan	2023	Ia
280	Seongsan	2022	Ia	320	Seongsan	2023	Ia



	Strains									
No.	Region	Year	serotype	No.	Region	Year	serotype			
321	Daejeong	2023	Ia	352	Jocheon	2023	II			
322	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	353	Jocheon	2023	II			
323	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	354	Jocheon	2023	II			
324	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	355	Jocheon	2023	II			
325	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	356	Jocheon	2023	II			
326	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	357	Namwon	2023	Ia			
327	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	358	Namwon	2023	Ia			
328	Namwon	2023	Ia	359	Namwon	2023	Ia			
329	Namwon	2023	Ia	360	Namwon	2023	Ia			
330	Seongsan	2023	Ia	361	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
331	Daejeong	2023	II	362	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
332	Seongsan	2023	Ia	363	Namwon	2023	Ia			
333	Seongsan	2023	Ia	364	Namwon	2023	Ia			
334	Seongsan	2023	Ia	365	Gujwa	2023	Ia			
335	Seongsan	2023	Ia	366	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
336	Seongsan	2023	Ia	367	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
337	Seongsan	2023	Ia	368	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
338	Daejeong	2023	Ia	369	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
339	Daejeong	2023	II	370	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
340	Daejeong	2023	II	371	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
341	Daejeong	2023	Ib/Ic	372	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
342	Daejeong	2023	Ia	373	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
343	Daejeong	2023	Ia	374	Daejeong	2023	Ib/Ic			
344	Gujwa	2023	Ia	375	Daejeong	2023	Ib/Ic			
345	Gujwa	2023	Ia	376	Seongsan	2023	Π			
346	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	377	Seongsan	2023	II			
347	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	378	Hangyeong	2023	Ib/Ic			
348	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	379	Hangyeong	2023	Ia			
349	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	380	Daejeong	2023	Ia			
350	Hangyeong	2023	Ia	381	Hangyeong	2023	Ia			
351	Seongsan	2023	Ia	382	Daejeong	2023	Ia			



3.2.2. S. parauberis serotype monitoring by year and region

The trend of detecting three serotypes of *S. parauberis* collected between March 2019 and August 2023 is presented in Table 8. Among 382 isolates, subserotype Ia accounted for 279 isolates (73%), subserotype Ib/Ic for 61 isolates (16%), and serotype II for 42 isolates (11%) (Fig. 3A). Subserotype Ia consistently showed high detection rates each year. The detection rate was higher than that of subserotype Ib/Ic and serotype II every year, at 61% in 2019, 94% in 2020, 67% in 2021, 69% in 2022, and 80% in 2023. (Fig. 3B, Table 8). Subserotype Ib/Ic decreased significantly from 32% in 2019 to 4% in 2020, and further to 0% in 2022, with a slight increase to 5% in 2023 (Fig. 3B, Table 8). Serotype II showed an increasing trend from 3% in 2020 to 20% in 2021, 31% in 2022, and 15% in 2023 (Fig. 3B, Table 8).

Although the same isolates were not consistently collected from the same fish farms each year, the results were organized the results to analyze the pattern of serotype detection (Fig. 4, Table 9, Table 10, Table 11, Table 12, Table 13, Table 14). From 2019 to 2022, no olive flounder was collected from fish farms in Hangyeong, and in 2020, there were no olive flounder was collected from both Hangyeong and Pyoseon fish farms. In 2021, no olive flounder was collected from Hangyeong and Namwon fish farms, and in 2023, no olive flounder was collected from the Pyoseon fish farms (Fig. 4).

In 2019, a total of 155 isolates of *S. parauberis* were collected from Seongsan (76 isolates), Daejeong (34 isolates), Namwon (25 isolates), Pyoseon (8 isolates), Gujwa (7 isolates), and Jocheon (5 isolates) areas of olive flounder farms. There were 94 isolates of subservtype Ia, 50 isolates of subservtype Ib/Ic, and 11 isolates of servtype II detected, with subservtype Ia being the most frequently detected servtype in 2019 (Fig. 3, Table 9, Table 10). In 2020, a total of 80 isolates of *S. parauberis* were collected from Seongsan (28 isolates), Daejeong (23 isolates), Namwon (15 isolates), Gujwa



(11 isolates), and Jocheon (3 isolates) areas of olive flounder farms. There were 75 isolates of subservtype Ia, 3 isolates of subservtype Ib/Ic, and 2 isolates of serotype II detected, with subserotype Ia being the most frequently detected serotype in 2020 (Fig. 3, Table 9, Table 11). In 2021, a total of 30 isolates of S. parauberis were collected. They were detected in Seongsan (15 isolates), Daejeong (7 isolates), Gujwa (4 isolates), Jocheon (3 isolates), and Pyoseon (1 isolate) areas of olive flounder farms. There were 20 isolates of subservtype Ia, 4 isolates of subservtype Ib/Ic, and 6 isolates of servtype II detected, with subservtype Ia being the most frequently detected servtype in 2021 (Fig. 3, Table 9, Table 12). In 2022, a total of 32 isolates of S. parauberis were collected. They were detected in Seongsan (14 isolates), Daejeong (8 isolates), Gujwa (5 isolates), Pyoseon (3 isolates), Jocheon, and Namwon (1 isolate each) areas of olive flounder farms. There were 22 isolates of subservtype Ia, and no subservtype Ib/Ic were detected. Additionally, 10 isolates of serotype II were detected, with subserotype Ia being the most detected serotype in 2022 (Fig. 3, Table 9, Table 13). In 2023, a total of 85 isolates of S. parauberis were collected. They were detected in Daejeong (35 isolates), Hangyeong (17 isolates), Seongsan (15 isolates), Namwon (10 isolates), Jocheon (5 isolates), and Gujwa (3 isolates) areas of olive flounder farms. Among them, 67 isolates were identified as subservtype Ia, 4 isolates as subservtype Ib/Ic, and 14 isolates as servtype II, with subservtype Ia being the most frequently detected servtype in 2023 (Fig. 3, Table 9, Table 14).





Fig. 3. Number of serotype isolates of *S. parauberis* detected from 2019 to 2023. (A) total number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected from 2019 to 2023; (B) number of serotype strains of *S. parauberis* by year.


Year Serotype	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total
Ia	94	75	20	22	68	279
Ib/Ic	50	3	4	0	4	61
II	11	2	6	10	13	42
Total	155	80	30	32	85	382

Table 8. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected from farmed olive flounder in Jeju Island from 2019 to 2023

Table 9. Number of S. parauberis strains isolated by region and year

Year	Region	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S	Total
2019)	-	5	7	34	25	8	76	155
2020)	-	3	11	23	15	-	28	80
202	L	-	3	4	7	-	1	15	30
2022	2	-	1	5	8	1	3	14	32
2023	3	17	5	3	35	10	-	15	85
Tota	ıl	17	17	30	107	51	12	148	382





Fig. 4. Location of the sampling sites from 2019 to 2023. (A) location of the sampling sites in 2019; (B) location of the sampling sites in 2020; (C) location of the sampling sites in 2021; (D) location of the sampling sites in 2022; (E) location of the sampling sites in 2023. yellow area; jeju-si olive flounder farms; bule area, seogwipo-si olive flounder farms.



Veen	Month	No. of	Canataraa				Region	1		
Year	Month	S. parauberis	Serotype	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S
			Ia	_			1		1	
	Mar.	3	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-			1			
			Ia	-			7	1	5	
	Apr.	23	Ib/Ic	-		3		1		5
			II	-			1			
			Ia	_			7	3	1	2
	May.	25	Ib/Ic	-		2	1	1		8
			II	_						
			Ia	-			3	4		6
	Jun.	20	Ib/Ic	_		1	1			5
			II	-						
			Ia	-	1		2	3		8
	Jul.	31	Ib/Ic	-	1		1			14
2019			II	-			1			
2015			Ia	_			1	4		6
	Aug.	14	Ib/Ic	_						2
			II	_				1		
			Ia	_	2			2		5
	Sep.	13	Ib/Ic	-						3
			II	-			1			
			Ia	-			1	2	1	3
	Oct.	9	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-			2			
			Ia	-	1		1	1		1
	Nov.	4	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-						
			Ia	-		1	1	2		4
	Dec.	13	Ib/Ic	_						1
			II	-			1			3
			Ia (n=94)	_	4	1	24	22	8	35
Т	otal	155	Ib/Ic (n=50)	-	1	6	3	2	0	38
			II (n=11)	-	0	0	7	1	0	3

Table 10. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected in olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2019



	Ъ.Г. (1	No. of	C				Region	1		
Year	Month	S. parauberis	Serotype	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S
			Ia	-				2	-	1
	Feb.	5	Ib/Ic	-			1		-	
			Π	-			1		-	
			Ia	-	1	1	1	3	—	4
	Mar.	11	Ib/Ic	-			1		—	
			II	-					-	
			Ia	-			2	1	-	5
	May.	9	Ib/Ic	_					-	
			II	_				1	_	
			Ia	-			3	2	—	2
	Jun.	7	Ib/Ic	_					-	
			Π	_					-	
			Ia	_			2		-	1
	Jul.	3	Ib/Ic	_					_	
2222			II	-					_	
2020			Ia	-	1		4	2	_	2
	Aug.	9	Ib/Ic	-					_	
	_		II	-					_	
			Ia	_			2	2	_	3
	Sep.	7	Ib/Ic	_					_	
			II	_					-	
			Ia	_	1	7	2	1	-	4
	Oct.	15	Ib/Ic	_			1		_	
			II	_					_	
			Ia	_		2	1		_	2
	Nov.	5	Ib/Ic	_		-	-		_	_
		-	II	_					_	
			Ia	_		1	2	1	_	4
	Dec.	8	Ib/Ic	_		-	_	_	_	-
		-	II	_					_	
			Ia (n=75)	_	3	11	19	14	_	28
Т	otal	80 -	Ib/Ic (n=3)	_	0	0	3	0	-	0
		-	II (n=2)	-	0	0	1	1	-	0

Table 11. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected in olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2020



Year	Month	No. of	Serotype				Region			
rear	Monun	S. parauberis	Serotype	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S
			Ia	-				-		1
	Jan.	1	Ib/Ic	-				-		
			II	-				-		
			Ia	-	1		1	-		
	Feb.	2	Ib/Ic	-				-		
			II	_				_		
			Ia	_			2	-		1
	Apr.	3	Ib/Ic	-				-		
			II	-				-		
			Ia	-			1	-		1
	Jun.	2	Ib/Ic	_				-		
2021			II	-				-		
2021			Ia	-	2	1	1	-	1	4
	Jul.	12	Ib/Ic	-		1		-		1
			II	-				_		1
			Ia	_			1	_		
	Aug.	4	Ib/Ic	-		1		-		1
			II	_				_		1
			Ia	-				_		
	Sep.	3	Ib/Ic	-				-		
			II	-			1	_		2
			Ia	_		1		_		1
	Oct.	3	Ib/Ic	-				_		
			II	-				_		1
			Ia (n=20)	-	3	2	6	_	1	8
Т	`otal	30	Ib/Ic (n=4)	-	0	2	0	-	0	2
			II (n=6)	-	0	0	1	-	0	5

Table 12. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected in olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2021



Year	Month	No. of	Serotype				Region			
Tear	WOITUI	S. parauberis	Serotype	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S
			Ia	_			1			
	Jan.	1	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-						
			Ia	-			1			
	Feb.	1	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-						
			Ia	_			1			2
	Mar.	4	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-						1
			Ia	-			2			3
	Apr.	6	Ib/Ic	_						
2022			II	-						1
2022			Ia	_		2		1		2
	May.	6	Ib/Ic	—						
			II	-		1				
			Ia	-		1			3	1
	Sep.	9	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	_		1	1			2
			Ia	—	1					
	Oct.	4	Ib/Ic	_						
			II	-			1			2
			Ia	-			1			
	Nov.	8	Ib/Ic	-						
			II	-						
			Ia (n=22)	_	1	3	6	1	3	8
Т	`otal	32	Ib/Ic (n=0)	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
			II (n=10)	-	0	2	2	0	0	6

Table 13. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected in olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2022



Veen	Month	No. of	Construct				Region	1		
Year	Month	S. parauberis	Serotype	H.G	J.C	G.J	D.J	N.W	P.S	S.S
			Ia	3			13		-	
	Jan.	19	Ib/Ic						-	
			II					2	-	1
			Ia	6			1	2	-	5
	Feb.	14	Ib/Ic						-	
			II						_	
			Ia	5		2	3		-	7
	Mar.	23	Ib/Ic				1		-	
			II		2		3		-	
			Ia					3	-	
	Apr.	7	Ib/Ic						-	
2023			II		3			1	-	
2023			Ia				2		-	
	May.	2	Ib/Ic						-	
			II						-	
			Ia			1	8	2	-	
	Jun.	13	Ib/Ic				2		-	
			II						-	
			Ia	1					-	
	Jul.	4	Ib/Ic	1					-	
			II						-	2
			Ia	1			2		-	
	Aug.	3	Ib/Ic						-	
			II						_	
			Ia (n=67)	16	0	3	29	7	-	12
Т	`otal	85	Ib/Ic (n=4)	1	0	0	3	0	-	0
			II (n=14)	0	5	0	3	3	-	3

Table 14. Number of *S. parauberis* serotype isolates detected in olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2023



3.3. Biochemical analysis

Presents the results of the biochemical analysis for the 9 strains used in the experiment (Table 15). All 9 strains used for isolation showed enzymatic activity in the VP test for acetoin production, HIP test for hippuric acid hydrolysis, and LAP test for leucine aminopeptidase. No enzymatic activity was observed in the PYRA, α GAL, β GUR, β GAL, PAL, LAP, ADH, RIB, ARA, MAN, SOR, LAC, TRE, RAF, AMD, and GLYG tests. Only isolate 1 of subserotype Ia exhibited acidification in the INU test. Except for the results of the INU test, the biochemical profiles were similar among the 9 isolates, and the reaction of isolate 1 of subserotype Ia in the INU test was not considered indicative of distinguishing serotypes. No biochemical reactions capable of distinguishing serotype was observed.



Strain				S.	paraube.	ris				
		Ia			Ib/Ic			II		
Test	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
VP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
HIP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
ESC	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PYRA	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	
aGAL	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	
βGUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
βGAL	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	
PAL	—	-	-	-	-	-	-	—	-	
LAP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
ADH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RIB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ARA	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MAN	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TRE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
INU	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RAF	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
AMD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
GLYG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 15. Biochemical activity of S. parauberis in API 20 STREP

VP, acetoin production; HIP, hippurate hydrolysis; ESC, β -glucosidase hydrolysis; PYRA, pyrrolidonyl arylamidase: α GAL, α -galactosidase; β GUR, β -giucuronidase; β GAL, β -galactosidase; PAL, alkaline phosphatase; LAP, leucine arylamidase; ADH, arginine dihydrolase; RIB, acidification from ribose; ARA, acidification from arabinose; MAN, acidification from mannitol; SOR, acidification from sorbitol; LAC, acidification from lactose; TRE, acidification from trehalose; INU, acidification from inulin; RAF, acidification from raffinose; AMD, acidification from amidon; AMD, acidification from starch; GLYG, acidification from glycogen; -, negative; +, positive.



3.4. Antibiotic susceptibility

In 2023, antibiotic susceptibility testing was conducted on isolated of *S. parauberis* using 19 different antibiotic disks, and the inhibition zones were measured using the disc diffusion method. Among 67 isolates of suberotype Ia, 65 isolates excluding 2 isolates, 4 isolates of subserotype Ib/Ic, and 13 isolates of serotype II were used in the disk diffusion test method. The antibiotic susceptibility results for a total of 83 isolates (Fig. 5). No significant differences were observed among the three serotypes.

Among β -lactam antibiotics, 13% of isolates exhibited zones of 6mm or less for amoxicillin (AML), 14% for ampicillin (AMP), 7% for cephalexin (CL), and 4% for ceftiofur (FUR) (Fig. 5A). For aminoglycosides, 40% of isolates showed zones of 6mm or less for gentamicin (CN) (Fig. 5B). In the lincosamide antibiotics, clindamycin (CD) and lincomycin (MY) displayed zones of 6mm or less in 11% and 14% of isolates, respectively (Fig. 5C). The macrolide antibiotic erythromycin (E) showed zones of 6mm or less in 22% of isolates (Fig. 5D). Tetracycline antibiotics doxycycline (DXT), oxytetracycline (OT), and tetracycline (TET) exhibited zones of 6mm or less in 11%, 29%, (Fig. 5E). Sulfonamide and 14% of isolates, respectively antibiotics sulfadiazine (SUZ), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), and trimethoprim (TM) showed zones of 6mm or less in 82%, 23%, and 8% of isolates, respectively (Fig. 5F). Among quinolone antibiotics, oxolinic acid (OA), nalidixic acid (NA), flumequine (UB), and enrofloxacin (ENR) exhibited zones of 6mm or less in 89%, 83%, 87%, and 6% of isolates, respectively (Fig. 5G). The phenicol antibiotic florfenicol (FFC) showed zones of 6mm or less in 11% of isolates (Fig. 5H).





Fig. 5. Disk diffusion results for antibiotics of *S. parauberis* serotype. (A) β-lactam antibiotics, AML, amoxicillin; AMP, ampicillin; CL, cephalexin; FUR, ceftiofur; (B) aminoglycoside antibiotics, CN, gentamycin; (C) lincosamide antibiotics, CD, clindamycin; MY, lincomycin; (D) macrolide antibiotics, E, erythromycin; (E) tetracycline antibiotics, DXT, doxycycline; OT, oxytetracycline; TET, tetracycline; (F) sulfonamide antibiotics, SUZ, sulfadiazine; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; TM, trimethoprim; (G) quinolone antibiotics, OA, oxolinic acid; NA, nalidixic acid; UB, flumequine; ENR, enrofloxacin; (H) phenicol antibiotics, FFC, florfenicol.



3.5. Tetracycline and erythromycin resistance gene analysis

In the antibiotic resistance gene analysis conducted in 2023 on the 85 isolates of *S. parauberis* that were identified, it was observed that the detection patterns of antibiotic resistance genes varied according to the serotype (Fig. 6, Table 16). Among the 67 isolates corresponding to subserotype Ia, tet(S) was detected in 67 isolates, erm(B) was detected in 13 isolates, and 13 isolates were found to have both erm(B) and tet(S). None of the 4 isolates corresponding to subserotype Ib/Ic showed the presence of antibiotic resistance genes. Among the 14 isolates corresponding to serotype II, tet(M) was detected in 13 isolates. The genes tet(B) and tet(Y) were not detected in isolates of subserotype Ia, subserotype Ib/Ic, and serotype II.





Fig. 6. PCR products with the specific primer sets. (A) PCR products with the specific primer sets for serotypes; (B) PCR products with the specific primer sets for tet(M), tet(S); (C) PCR products with the specific primer set for erm(B); M, 100bp DNA ladder marker; lane 1, negative control; lane 2–7, subserotype Ia; lane 8–10, subserotype Ib/Ic; lane 11–15, serotype II.

Table 16. Correlation of serotypes and antibiotic resistance genes in S. parauberis

correture		Antibiotic resistance genes								
serotype	<i>tet</i> (B)	tet(M)	<i>tet</i> (S)	<i>tet</i> (Y)	erm(B)					
Ia (n=67)	0^1	0	67	0	13					
Ib/Ic $(n=4)$	0	0	0	0	0					
II (n=14)	0	13	0	0	0					

¹No antibiotic resistance gene detected.



3.6. Serotypes of vaccines containing S. parauberis antigens

The serotypes of domestically produced inactivated vaccines containing *S. parauberis* were investigated and are presented in Table 17. Among the 13 vaccines, all include subserotype Ib/Ic, and only three vaccines were found to include suberotype Ia.

<u> </u>	Due duet a sure		Serotypes	
Company name	Product name –	Ia	Ib/Ic	II
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	대성 연쇄 피쉬백	_	+	_
㈜ 코미팜	프로백 더블에스	_	+	_
㈜ 고려비엔피	힘백 어질방 S-3 플러스	-	+	_
㈜ 하나윈	포세이돈-3	_	+	-
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	대성 연쇄 혼합 피쉬백	-	+	-
(주) 하나윈	포세이돈-5	+	+	+
㈜ 코미팜	프로백 에드와드연쇄상구균 혼합백신	_	+	_
㈜ 중앙백신연구소	참신 연쇄 포커스	_	+	_
㈜ 코미팜	프로백TM 펜타	_	+	_
㈜ 고려비엔피	힘백 어질방 ES3 플러스 혼합 불활화 백신	_	+	+
㈜ 대성미생물연구소	대성 VES-2 피쉬백	_	+	_
㈜ 고려비앤피	윌로마린 S.E 4	+	+	_
㈜ 씨티씨백	씨티씨백 세균다자바 플러스	+	+	_

Table 17. Serotypes of inactivated vaccines containing S. parauberis antigens



4. Discussion

Olive flounder, a representative species in domestic aquaculture, has firmly established itself as a vital industry, particularly in large-scale cultivation on Jeju Island. Among the diseases affecting olive flounder, streptococcosis of bacterial diseases, caused by S. parauberis, stands out as a significant affecting olive flounder. S. parauberis is a disease that infects not only saltwater fish but also freshwater fish, causing disease in various fish species (Kusuda et al., 1978; Baeck et al., 2006; Austin and Austin, 2007; Pradeep, 2016; Mishra et al., 2018). Domestic research on the serotype of S. parauberis is in progress, and as a result of monitoring the S. parauberis serotype isolated from Jeju Island farmed olive flounder, it was confirmed that the isolation pattern of serotypes changes depending on the year, which leads to the possibility of using a vaccine. reported that serotype monitoring is important (Kim et al., 2020). The purpose of this study was to analyze basic data on biochemical differences, antibiotic susceptibility mutations, and antibiotic resistance gene detection through S. parauberis serotype monitoring and provide basic data for vaccine development and serotype analysis.

From March 2019 to August 2023, a total of 382 isolates of *S. parauberis* were detected from diseased olive flounder in Jeju-si and Seogwipo-si fish farms. Among the three serotypes, subserotype Ia exhibited the highest detection rate at 73%, followed by subserotype Ib/Ic at 16%, and serotype II at 11%. Suberotype Ia consistently showed high detection rates each year (61% in 2019, 94% in 2020, 67% in 2021, 69% in 2022, and 80% in 2023). In contrast, subserotype Ib/Ic demonstrated a decreasing trend, with a detection rate. (32% in 2019, 4% in 2020, 13% in 2021, 0% in 2022, and 5% in 2023). Serotype II increased over the years, with detection rates (7% in 2019, 3% in 2020, 20% in 2021, 31% in 2022, and 15% in 2023). According to a previous study, the serotypes of *S. parauberis* isolated from Jeju Island farmed olive



- 41 -

flounder was observed from 2003 to 2020, and subserveype Ib/Ic were dominant from 2003 to 2010, and subserveype Ib/Ic were dominant after 2010. Subserveype Ia was dominant, and the detection rate of serveype II was confirmed to have increased after 2018 (Kim et al., 2020). In this study, as in the previous study, subserveype Ia was dominant from 2019 to 2023, and the detection rate of serveype II showed a tendency to increase, so the results are consistent with the previous results. During the period from 2019 to 2023, *S. parauberis* was collected, and results were organized based on the year and region to examine the detection patterns of serveypes.

In Jeju-si's Hangyeong area, S. parauberis was collected from 2023 onward, with no collection from 2019 to 2022. In Namwon area, Seogwipo-si, S. parauberis was collected in all years except 2021. In Pyoseon area, S. parauberis was collected in all years except 2020 and 2023. In 2019, S. parauberis strains were most detected in Seongsan, Daejeong, Namwon, Pyoseon, Gujwa, and Jocheon, with subservtype Ia consistently surpassing subserotype Ib/Ic and serotype II throughout the year. In 2020, the highest detection of S. parauberis strains occurred in Seongsan, Daejeong, Namwon, Gujwa, and Jocheon. In 2021, the highest detection of S. parauberis strains was in Seongsan, Daejeong, Gujwa, Jocheon, and Pyoseon. In 2022, the highest detection of *S. parauberis* strains occurred in Seongsan, Daejeong, Gujwa, Pyoseon, Jocheon, and Namwon. Subservtype Ia had the highest detection rate. In 2023, the highest detection of S. parauberis strains was in Daejeong, Hangyeong, Seongsan, Namwon, Jocheon, and Gujwa. Subservtype Ia had the highest detection rate. Throughout the experimental period, subserotype Ia was consistently the most detected across all olive flounder farms each year, and no clear monthly pattern in serotypes was identified. The variation in the number of olive flounder farms and collection areas each year led to differences in isolate numbers. After August 2023, no further collection of S. parauberis was conducted. Daejeong and Seongsan olive



flounder farms, where olive flounder collection takes place, are presumed to have a high level of isolate collection as there are more olive flounder farms used in experiments compared to other regions. For future serotype analysis based on regions, it is advisable to establish a standardized olive flounder farm count and conduct monitoring.

The results of serotype-specific biochemical analysis using the API 20 STREP kit no biochemical differences were observed among the serotypes. Previous studies using the API 20 STREP kit for biochemical identification of *Streptococcus* spp. yielded varied results, with only a few strains identified as *Streptococcus* spp., and low reliability for the remaining strains (Kim and Kim, 2003; Song et al., 2003; Lee et al 2007). Geographical location and physicochemical factors were found to influence biochemical characteristics (Nho et al., 2009). Despite identical strains, diverse results could arise from repeated experiments, and within the same species, the interpretation of API profiles could vary based on the experimenter's skill, leading to low reliability in the results (Cho et al., 2007b). Therefore, considering these limitations, it is concluded that for strain identification, utilizing genetic methods such as PCR, which are effective for the final identification of *S. parauberis*, is necessary.

In this study, experiments were conducted to compare the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of 19 antibiotics for *S. parauberis* serotype isolated from cultured olive flounder in Jeju Island in 2023. The antibiotic susceptibility results revealed that a significant number of isolates exhibited inhibition zones of 6mm or less for quinolone antibiotics such as oxolinic acid (89%), nalidixic acid (83%), flumequine (87%), sulfonamide antibiotics like sulfadiazine (82%), and aminoglycoside antibiotics including gentamycin (40%). For other antibiotics, strains with inhibition zones of 6mm or less were observed in less than 20–10% of cases. These findings align with previous research indicating high resistance rates of *S. parauberis* isolated from Jeju farmed olive flounder to oxolinic acid, nalidixic acid, and sulfadiazine



antibiotics, while showing low resistance rates to β -lactam antibiotics (Lee et al., 2018b).

The *tet*(M) gene has been identified as the predominant tetracycline resistance gene in many streptococci, including S. pneumoniae (Doherty et al., 2000) and S. agalactiae (Poyart et al., 2003). It is mainly associated with serotype II and is not detected in serotype I (Meng et al., 2009a; Meng et al., 2009b). The tet(S) gene is widely distributed in Listeria spp., and most isolates of *Enterococcus* spp. (Hammerum et al., 2004). Erythromycin resistance genes are closely related to tetracycline resistance genes, and most erm genes tend to be located on the chromosome and are associated with transposons, often co-transferred with tet genes. For instance, erm(A) gene is associated with tet(O) gene, and erm(B) gene is associated with tet(M)gene (Roberts et al., 1999; Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Martel et al., 2005; Brenciani et al., 2007). Contrary to previous findings, this study observed a tendency to possess both tet(S) and erm(B), particularly in 13 isolates of subservery Ia. This aligns with previous research indicating the association of acquiring erythromycin and tetracycline resistance in *S. parauberis* isolates with *tet*(S) and *erm*(B) genes (Park et al., 2009; Woo et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2023). Furthermore, tet(S) and erm(B) resistance genes were detected in subservery Ia, while tet(M) was identified in servery II. This corresponds to research suggesting variations in the pattern of detected resistance genes based on serotypes (Woo et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2023). Additional research is needed to further confirm the association of tetracycline and erythromycin resistance genes in *S. parauberis* serotype.

From 2005 to 2009, subserotype Ib/Ic dominated (Kim et al., 2020). In 2015, differentiation of serotype I into Ia and Ib/Ic became possible due to capsular polysaccharides (Tu et al., 2015). Thus, all vaccines developed before 2015 could only distinguish between serotype I and II, including subserotype Ib/Ic prevalent at that time. However, since 2018, vaccines based on *S. parauberis*



- 44 -

serotype have been developed, with recent ones even including subserotype Ia. This study reveals the dominance of subserotype Ia and monitors an increasing detection rate of serotype II. Consequently, there is a need for new vaccines considering both subserotype Ia and serotype II.

Moreover, since the detection rate of *S. parauberis* serotype may change over time, it's essential to recognize the possibility that the antigen serotype of currently used vaccines may not match the latest prevalent serotype. Hence, pre-vaccination serotype and reliance on vaccines based on serotype patterns are deemed crucial. For these reasons, this study is anticipated to serve as a valuable reference for future *S. parauberis* serotype analyses.



5. Reference

- Austin, B., Austin, D. A., & Munn, C. B. (2007). Bacterial fish pathogens: disease of farmed and wild fish (Vol. 26, p. 552). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Baeck, G. W., Kim, J. H., Gomez, D. K., & Park, S. C. (2006). Isolation and characterization of Streptococcus sp. from diseased flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) in Jeju Island. Journal of veterinary science, 7(1), 53–58.
- Brenciani, A., Bacciaglia, A., Vecchi, M., Vitali, L. A., Varaldo, P. E., & Giovanetti, E. (2007). Genetic elements carrying erm (B) in Streptococcus pyogenes and association with tet (M) tetracycline resistance gene. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 51(4), 1209–1216.
- Chang, P. A., & Plumb, J. A. (1996). Histopathology of experimental Streptococcus sp. infection in tilapia, Oroochromis niloticus (L.), and channel catfish, Ictafurus punctatus (Ratinesque). Journal of Fish Diseases, 19(3), 235–241.
- Cho, M. Y., Kim, M. S., Kwon, M. G., Jee, B. Y., Choi, H. S., Choi, D. L., ... & Park, M. (2007). Epidemiological study of bacterial diseases of cultured olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus from 2005 to 2006 in Korea. Journal of fish pathology, 20(1), 61–70.
- Cho, M. Y., Oh, Y. K., Lee, D. C., Kim, J. H., & Park, M. (2007). Geographical comparison on different methods for identification of Streptococcus parauberis isolated from cultured olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus. Journal of fish pathology, 20(1), 49–60.
- Kang, C. Y., Kang, B. J., Moon, Y. G., Kim, K. Y., & Heo, M. S. (2007). Characterization of Streptococcus parauberis isolated from cultured olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus in the Jeju Island. Journal of fish pathology, 20(2), 109–117.
- Chopra, I., & Roberts, M. (2001). Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action,



applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews, 65(2), 232–260.

- Chung, W. O., Werckenthin, C., Schwarz, S., & Roberts, M. C. (1999). Host range of the ermF rRNA methylase gene in bacteria of human and animal origin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 43(1), 5–14.
- Doherty, N., Trzcinski, K., Pickerill, P., Zawadzki, P., & Dowson, C. G. (2000). Genetic diversity of the tet (M) gene in tetracycline-resistant clonal lineages of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 44(11), 2979–2984.
- Eh, Y. Y. (2011). Productivity of the flounder stocking density on the flounder culture farms. The Journal of Fisheries Business Administration, 42(2), 85–96.
- Giovanetti, E., Brenciani, A., Lupidi, R., Roberts, M. C., & Varaldo, P. E. (2003). Presence of the tet (O) gene in erythromycin-and tetracycline-resistant strains of Streptococcus pyogenes and linkage with either the mef (A) or the erm (A) gene. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 47(9), 2844–2849.
- Hammerum, A. M., Nielsen, H. U. K., Agersø, Y., Ekelund, K., & Frimodt-Moller, N. (2004). Detection of tet (M), tet (O) and tet (S) in tetracycline/minocycline-resistant Streptococcus pyogenes bacteraemia isolates. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 53(1), 118–119.
- Han, S. Y., Kang, B. K., Kang, B. J., Shin, S. P., Soen, B. H., Kim, J. M., ... & Park, S. C. (2011). Prevalence and different characteristics of two serotypes of Streptococcus parauberis isolated from the farmed olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus (Temminck and Schlegel), in Korea. Journal of Fish Diseases, 34(10), 731–739.
- Harvie, E. A., Green, J. M., Neely, M. N., & Huttenlocher, A. (2013). Innate immune response to Streptococcus iniae infection in zebrafish larvae. Infection and immunity, 81(1), 110–121.





- Hoshina, T., Sano, T., & Morimoto, Y. (1958). A Streptococcus pathogenic to fish.
- Hwang, S. D., Woo, S. H., Kim, S. H., Ha, S. J., Jung, Y. E., & Park, S. I. (2008). Immunomodulatory characteristics of Streptococcus parauberis isolated from infected olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus. Journal of fish pathology, 21(3), 157–166.
- Jeong, A. R. (2021). Correlation analysis of the Usage status and Residues monitoring of Veterinary drug in Olive Flounder Paralichthys olivaceus from Jeju (Doctoral dissertation, Pukyong National University).
- Jeong, E. H. (2003). Anti-inflammatory effects of macrolide antibiotics and their clinical significance. Pediatr Infect Vaccine, 10(1), 47–54.
- Jeong, Y. U., Kang, C. Y., Kim, M. J., Heo, M. S., Oh, D. C., & Kang, B. J. (2006). Characterization of streptococcosis occurrence and molecular identification of the pathogens of cultured flounder in Jeju Island. Korean Journal of Microbiology, 42(3), 199–204.
- Jo, M. R., Son, K. T., Kwon, J. Y., Mok, J. S., Park, H. J., Kim, H. Y., ... & Lee, T. S. (2015). A lateral flow immunoassay kit for detecting residues of four groups of antibiotics in farmed fish. Korean Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 48(2), 158–167.
- Johnson, J. A., Panigrahi, P., & Morris Jr, J. G. (1992). Non-O1 Vibrio cholerae NRT36S produces a polysaccharide capsule that determines colony morphology, serum resistance, and virulence in mice. Infection and immunity, 60(3), 864–869.
- Jun, L. J. (2003). Characterization of tet genes in fish pathogenic bacteria from Korea. Master's Thesis, Department of Fish Pathology, Pukyong National University, Busan, South Korea.
- Jun, L. J. (2010). Characterization of antibiotic resistant genes carried by fish pathogens in Korea (Doctoral dissertation, Pukyong National University).
- Kanai, K., Tu, C., Katayama, N., & Suga, K. (2015). Existence of



subserotypes in Streptococcus parauberis serotype I. 魚病研究, 50(2), 75-80.

- Kanai, K., Yamada, M., Meng, F., Takahashi, I., Nagano, T. A. I. Z. O., Kawakami, H., ... & Honma, T. O. S. H. I. O. (2009). Serological differentiation of Streptococcus parauberis strains isolated from cultured Japanese flounder in Japan. Gyobyo Kenkyu= Fish Pathology, 44(1), 33–39.
- Kim, D. H., & Heo, M. S. (2018). Characteristics and diagnostic methods of Streptococcosis causing disease in aquaculture. Journal of Life Science, 28(9), 1118–1126.
- Kim, H., Kim, A., Kim, S. M., Nguyen, T. L., Lim, Y., Roh, H. J., ... & Cha, H. J. (2017). Genome based quantification of Streptococcus parauberis in multiple organs of infected olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Genes & Genomics, 39, 897–902.
- Kim, J. H., & Kim, E. H. (2003). Diversity of the Streptococcal Strains Isolated from Diseased Olive Flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Korean J Fish Aquat Sci, 36(6), 654–660.
- Kim, J. H., Gomez, D. K., Baeck, G. W., Shin, G. W., Heo, G. J., Jung, T. S., & Park, S. C. (2006). Pathogenicity of Streptococcus parauberis to olive flounder Paralichthys olivaceus. 魚病研究, 41(4), 171–173.
- Kim, K. W., Yoo, E. H., Yang, H. Y., & Kang, B. J. (2020). Isolation Characteristics of causative agent of streptococcosis and Serotype Changes of Streptococcus parauberis from olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) in Jeju. Journal of fish pathology, 33(2), 119–125.
- Kim, Y. J., Jun, L. J., Lee, Y. J., Ko, Y. J., Han, S. R., Kim, S. H., Jeong, J. B. (2022). Distribution of resistance genes against lincomycin of pathogenic bacteria isolated from cultured olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Journa 1 of Fish Diseases, 35(1) : 047–056.
- Kitao, T., Aoki, T., & Sakoh, R. (1981). Epizootic caused by β-haemoltytic Streptococcus species in cultured freshwater fish. Fish Pathology, 15(3-4), 301-307.



- Korean Statistical Information Service (KOSIS). (2023,11,08). Retrieved from h ttps://kosis.kr/statHtml/statHtml.do?orgId=101&tblId=DT_1EZ0008&vw_cd=M T_ZTITLE&list_id=K2_4&scrId=&seqNo=&lang_mode=ko&obj_var_id=&itm_id =&conn_path=MT_ZTITLE&path=%252FstatisticsList%252FstatisticsListInde x.do
- Kusuda, R., & Komatsu, I. (1978). A comparative study of fish pathogenic Str eptococcus isolated from saltwater and freshwater fishes. Bulletin of the Jap anese Society of Scientific Fisheries (Japan).
- Kwon, M. G., & Jung, S. H. (2012). Comparative study of pathogenicity follo wing single or coinfection with Edwardsiella tarda and Streptococcus iniae i n olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus. Journal of Fisheries and Marine Sci ences Education, 24(4), 591–601.
- Lee, C. H., Kim, P. Y., Ko, C. S., Oh, D. C., & Kang, B. J. (2007). Biological characteristics of Streptococcus iniae and Streptococcus parauberis isolated f rom cultured flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus, in JeJu. Journal of fish pathol ogy, 20(1), 33–40.
- Lee, D. W. (2018a). Distribution of tetracycline resistance genes in pathogenic bacteria isolated from cultured olive flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus in Jeju (Doctoral dissertation, The Graduate School of Jeju National University).
- Lee, D. W., JUN, L. J., & JEONG, J. B. (2017). Distribution of tetracycline res istance genes in pathogenic bacteria isolated from cultured olive flounder (P aralichthys olivaceus) in Jeju in 2016. Journal of Fisheries and Marine Scien ces Education, 29(3), 834–846.
- Lee, D. W., Jun, L. J., Kim, S. M., & Jeong, J. B. (2018). Analysis of erythro mycin resistance gene in pathogenic bacteria isolates from cultured olive flo under Paralichthys olivaceus in Jeju. Korean Journal of Fisheries and Aquati c Sciences, 51(4), 397–403.
- Lee, N. S., Min, E., Kim, K. I., Choi, H. S., Jung, S. H., & Cho, M. (2020). A bout Streptococcosis of Cultured Olive Flounder, Paralichthys olivaceus in S



outh Korea. Journal of Marine Life Science, 5(1), 1-8.

- Lee, Y., Kim, N., Roh, H., Ho, D. T., Park, J., Lee, J. Y., ... & Kim, D. H. (20 23). Serotype distribution and antibiogram of Streptococcus parauberis isolat ed from fish in South Korea. Microbiology Spectrum, 11(5), e04400–22.
- Levy, S. B., McMurry, L. M., Barbosa, T. M., Burdett, V., Courvalin, P., Hille n, W., ... & Taylor, D. E. (1999). Nomenclature for new tetracycline resistan ce determinants. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 43(6), 1523–1524.
- Martel, A., Decostere, A., Leener, E. D., Marien, M., Graef, E. D., Heyndrickx,
 M., ... & Haesebrouck, F. (2005). Comparison and transferability of the erm
 (B) genes between human and farm animal streptococci. Microbial Drug Re sistance, 11(3), 295–302.
- Meng, F., Kanai, K., & Yoshikoshi, K. (2009a). Characterization of drug resist ance in Streptococcus parauberis isolated from Japanese flounder. Fish Path ology, 44(1), 40-46.
- Meng, F., Kanai, K., & Yoshikoshi, K. (2009b). Structural characterization of Tn 916 like element in Streptococcus parauberis serotype II strains isolated from diseased Japanese flounder. Letters in applied microbiology, 48(6), 770– 776.
- Mishra, A., Nam, G. H., Gim, J. A., Lee, H. E., Jo, A., & Kim, H. S. (2018). Current challenges of Streptococcus infection and effective molecular, cellula r, and environmental control methods in aquaculture. Molecules and cells, 41 (6), 495.
- Nho, S. W., Shin, G. W., Park, S. B., Jang, H. B., Cha, I. S., Ha, M. A., ... & Jung, T. S. (2009). Phenotypic characteristics of Streptococcus iniae and Str eptococcus parauberis isolated from olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). FEMS microbiology letters, 293(1), 20–27.
- Oh, M. J., & Choi, T. J. (1998). A new rhabdovirus (HRV-like) isolated in Ko rea from cultured Japanese flounder Paralichthys olivaceus. Journal of fish p athology, 11(2), 129–136.



- Ok, Y. S., Kim, S. T., Go, B. H., Lee, J. Y., Hwang, J. W., & Kang, J. S. (20 06). Analysis of supply and demand factors and price fluctuations of farmed olive flounder. research report. research report, 1–169.
- Pappalardo, R., & Boemare, N. (1982). An intracellular Streptococcus, causativ e agent of a slowly developing disease in the Mediterranean crab, Carcinus mediterraneus. Aquaculture, 28(3–4), 283–292.
- Park, Y. K., Nho, S. W., Shin, G. W., Park, S. B., Jang, H. B., Cha, I. S., ... & Jung, T. S. (2009). Antibiotic susceptibility and resistance of Streptococcu s iniae and Streptococcus parauberis isolated from olive flounder (Paralichth ys olivaceus). Veterinary Microbiology, 136(1–2), 76–81.
- Poyart, C., Jardy, L., Quesne, G., Berche, P., & Trieu-Cuot, P. (2003). Genetic basis of antibiotic resistance in Streptococcus agalactiae strains isolated in a French hospital. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 47(2), 794–797.
- Pradeep, P. J., Suebsing, R., Sirthammajak, S., Kampeera, J., Jitrakorn, S., Sak smerprome, V., ... & Withyachumanarnkul, B. (2016). Evidence of vertical tr ansmission and tissue tropism of Streptococcosis from naturally infected red tilapia (Oreochromis spp.). Aquaculture Reports, 3, 58–66.
- Roberts, M. C., Sutcliffe, J., Courvalin, P., Jensen, L. B., Rood, J., & Seppala, H. (1999). Nomenclature for macrolide and macrolide–lincosamide–streptogra min B resistance determinants. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, 43(1 2), 2823–2830.
- Roh, H. J., Lim, Y. J., Kim, A., Kim, N. E., Kim, Y., Park, N. B., ... & Kim, D. H. (2018). Distribution of indicator bacteria in seawater off the coast of J eju Island. Korean Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 51(4), 450–455.
- Sapkota, A. R., Ojo, K. K., Roberts, M. C., & Schwab, K. J. (2006). Antibiotic resistance genes in multidrug resistant Enterococcus spp. and Streptococcu s spp. recovered from the indoor air of a large scale swine feeding operat ion. Letters in applied microbiology, 43(5), 534–540.

Schnappinger, D., & Hillen, W. (1996). Tetracyclines: antibiotic action, uptake,



and resistance mechanisms. Archives of microbiology, 165, 359-369.

- Shim, J. D., Hwang, S. D., Jang, S. Y., Kim, T. W., & Jeong, J. M. (2019). M onitoring of the mortalities in oliver flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) farms of Korea. Journal of fish pathology, 32(1), 29–35.
- Toranzo, A. E., Devesa, S., HEINENA, P., RIAza^o, A., Nunez, S., & BARA, J. (1994). STREPTOCOCCOSIS IN CULTURED TURBOT CAUSED BY. Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol, 14(1), 18.
- Torres-Corral, Y., & Santos, Y. (2020). Comparative genomics of Streptococcu s parauberis: new target for molecular identification of serotype III. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 104, 6211–6222.
- Tu, C., Suga, K., & Kanai, K. (2015). A Multiplex PCR Assay for Different-i ation of Streptococcus parauberis Serotypes. Fish Pathology, 50(4), 213–215.
- Wai, S. N., Mizunoe, Y., Takade, A., Kawabata, S. I., & Yoshida, S. I. (1998). Vibrio cholerae O1 strain TSI-4 produces the exopolysaccharide materials th at determine colony morphology, stress resistance, and biofilm formation. Ap plied and environmental microbiology, 64(10), 3648–3655.
- Williams, A. M., & Collins, M. D. (1990). Molecular taxonomic studies on Stre ptococcus uberis types I and II. Description of Streptococcus parauberis sp. nov. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 68(5), 485–490.
- Won, K. M., Cho, M. Y., Park, M. A., Kim, K. H., Park, S. I., Lee, D. C., ... & Kim, J. W. (2010). Pathological characteristics of olive flounder Paralichth ys olivaceus experimentally infected with Streptococcus parauberis. Fisheries Science, 76, 991–998.
- Woo, S. H., Kim, J. W., Park, S. I., Kim, H. J., & Lee, J. S. (2006). Pathogeni city and classification of streptococci isolated from cultured marine fishesd. Journal of fish pathology, 19(1), 17–33.
- Woo, S. H., & Park, S. I. (2014). Effects of phosphoglucomutase gene (PGM) in Streptococcus parauberis on innate immune response and pathogenicity of olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 41(2),





317-325.

Woo, S. J., Do, M. Y., Jeong, M. G., Kim, N. Y., & Kim, M. S. (2021). Preval ence, antibiotic susceptibility and serotyping of Streptococcus parauberis isol ates from diseased marine fish. Aquaculture Research, 52(12), 6525–6536.



2019~2023년도 제주도내 양식 넙치(*Paralichthys* olivaceus)에서 분리된 Streptococcus parauberis 혈청형에 관한 연구

한지은

제주대학교 대학원 해양생명과학과

요약

연쇄구균증을 일으키는 S. parauberis는 넙치 생산에 영향을 미치는 세균성 질 병 중 하나이다. S. parauberis의 혈청형이 확인되면서 혈청형에 대한 연구가 진 행되고 있다. 2020년 이후 제주도 양식 넙치에서 분리된 S. parauberis 혈청형에 대한 연구가 이루어지지 않아, 본 연구에서는 2019년부터 2023년까지 제주도 양 식 넙치로부터 분리된 S. parauberis 혈청형에 대한 연구를 수행하였다. 2019년 3월부터 2023년 8월까지 제주도 양식장에서 질병에 감염된 넙치에서 분리한 382 개의 S. parauberis 혈청형을 분석하였다. 2019년에는 155 균주, 2020년에는 80 균주, 2021년에는 30 균주, 2022년에는 32 균주, 그리고 2023년에는 85 균주가 분 리되었으며, 이들 균주는 모두 3가지 혈청형으로 나뉘었다. 이 중에서 가장 많이 검출된 협칭형은 Ia로, 총 382 균주 중 279 균주 (73%)를 차지하였다. 협칭형 Ib/Ic는 61 균주 (16%), 혈청형 Ⅱ는 42 균주 (11%)를 차지하였다. 혈청형 Ia은 연구 기간 동안 높은 검출률을 보였고, 혈청형 Ib/Ic는 연구 기간 동안 검출률이 감소하는 경향을 보였다. 반면, serotype II는 검출률이 증가하는 추세를 보였다. 혈청형에 따른 생화학적 차이를 확인하기 위해 API 20 STREP 키트를 사용하였 으나, 혈청형에 따른 생화학적 차이는 확인되지 않았다. 항생제 감수성 실험 결 과, quinolone, sulfonamide 및 aminoglycoside에 대한 높은 내성이 확인되었다.



항생제 내성 유전자 검출 결과, 혈청형에 따라 항생제 내성 유전자 검출 양상이 다른 것을 확인하였다. 혈청형 Ia에서는 *tet*(S) 및 *erm*(B) 내성 유전자가 검출되 었고, 혈청형 II에서는 *tet*(M) 내성 유전자가 검출되었다. *S. parauberis* 혈청형을 포함하는 백신은 모두 혈청형 Ib/Ic를 포함하며, 혈청형 Ia를 포함하는 백신은 3 종류로 확인되었다. 연구 기간 동안 혈청형 모니터링을 통해, 혈청형 Ia의 매년 높은 검출률을 가지며, 혈청형 II의 경우 검출률이 증가하는 경향을 확인하였다. *S. parauberis* 혈청형의 검출률은 연도에 따라 변화할 수 있으며, 현재 양식장에 서 사용되는 백신의 항원 혈청형이 유행하는 혈청형과 일치하지 않을 수도 있기 때문에, 백신 접종 전 혈청형 모니터링을 기반으로 혈청형 검출 패턴에 따라 백 신을 사용하는 것이 중요할 것으로 판단된다. 본 연구는 향후 *S. parauberis* 혈 청형 모니터링 및 혈청형 분석에 대한 참고 자료가 될 것이다.



6. Acknolegements

부푼 꿈을 안고 대학원에 입학하여 어느새 졸업이라는 마지막 순간을 맞이하 게 되었습니다. 석사 생활을 돌이켜보면 짧고도 길었고, 후련하기도 하고 아쉬운 부분들이 있습니다. 그러나, 옆에서 응원해 주시고 힘을 보태주신 분들 덕분에 석사 생활을 잘 마무리할 수 있었습니다.

가장 먼저, 복학한 저를 흔쾌히 받아주신 지도 교수님인 정준범 교수님께 깊은 감사의 인사를 드립니다. 교수님의 아낌없는 지도와 소중한 조언 덕분에 성장할 수 있었습니다. 전려진 박사님께도 깊은 감사의 인사를 전합니다. 박사님의 격려 와 지원 덕분에 마지막까지 힘을 내어 마무리할 수 있었던 것 같습니다.

교수님과 박사님께 진심으로 감사드립니다.

귀중한 시간을 할애하여 논문 심사를 맡아주신 허문수 교수님 감사합니다.

저에게 언제나 많은 도움과 조언을 해주신 예지 언니, 옆에서 항상 할 수 있다 고 응원을 해주신 영준 오빠, 언제나 밝고 힘찬 웃음을 주었던 예진이와 영은이, 그리고 실험에 많은 도움을 준 응준이에게도 감사의 인사를 전합니다. 저의 석사 생활을 함께해 주셔서 감사합니다. 함께한 시간들이 소중하고 잊지 못할 추억이 되었습니다. 어병 진단학 실험실 모두 감사합니다!

석사 생활 동안 끊임없는 응원과 격려를 보내주신 가족들에게도 깊은 감사의 마음을 전합니다. 특히, 항상 믿어주시고 지지해 주신 엄마, 아빠 감사합니다. 옆 에서 극 T로서 조언과 격려해 준 나의 반쪽 현주 오빠, 힘들 때 마다 기운찬 격 려를 해주신 우리 가족들! 할머니, 고모, 고모부, 승재 오빠, 고은, 예빈, 작은엄 마, 작은아빠에게 감사함을 전합니다.

마지막으로, 옆에서 도움을 주고 졸업을 축하해 준 주은이, 재현, 강희, 예준, 원훈에게도 감사의 인사를 전합니다.

석사 생활 동안 함께 한 많은 분들의 소중한 응원과 격려, 도움으로 한 편의 논문이 완성될 수 있었습니다. 모든 분들께 진심으로 감사드립니다. 감사합니다!!

