



A Doctoral Dissertation

# Patterns of *rpoB, rpoC,* and *pncA* mutations in drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated from patients in South Korea

Je Chul Yoo

Department of Medicine Graduate School Jeju National University

August, 2017



# 한국인 환자에서 분리한 약제내성 결핵균의 *rpoB, rpoC, pncA* 유전자 돌연변이 분석

지도교수 이 근 화

## 유 재 철

이 논문을 의학 박사학위 논문으로 제출함

### 2017년 8월

유재철의 의학 박사학위 논문을 인준함



제주대학교 대학원

2017 년 8월



## Patterns of *rpoB, rpoC,* and *pncA* mutations in drugresistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated from patients in South Korea

Je Chul Yoo

(supervised by professor Keun Hwa Lee)

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of doctor of philosophy in medicine

Date Approved:

ЭU onf. LEE, CHANG SUB LEE, Keun Hwa

Department of Medicine Graduate School Jeju National University

August, 2017



#### ABSTRACT

**Background** Rifampin (RIF) is one of the primary first-line combination antibiotics indicated for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, which greatly reduces the length of chemotherapy. Pyrazinamide (PZA) is also an antimicrobial agent, especially effective against multi-drug-resistant (MDR) tuberculosis (TB), resistant to isoniazid (INH) and RIF. *M. tuberculosis* acquires resistance to RIF through mutations in the *rpoB* gene, while compensatory mutations in the rpoC gene restore the fitness of RIF-resistant *M. tuberculosis*. *M. tuberculosis* acquires its resistance to PZA by having mutations in the *pncA gene*. A total of 93 *M. tuberculosis* isolates attained from patients were analysed to examine the mutation patterns of *rpoB*, *rpoC*, and *pncA* in South Korea.

**Methods** Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by carrying out bacterial cultures of drug-resistant mycobacterial isolates. Mutations in the *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* genes were identified by sequencing analysis, while the attributes of mutations were determined by comparing a relevant wild-type DNA sequence with that of a mutant allele. (H37Rv, American Type Culture Collection 25618).

**Results** A drug susceptibility test was performed for the total of 93 *M. tuberculosis* isolates that had been successfully cultured. Of these 93 isolates that were subjected to drug susceptibility testing (DST), 75 were found to be resistant to multiple drugs. Of these 75 isolates, 20 were MDR-TB; 7 were MDR-Plus; 36 were extensively drug-resistant XDR-TB; and 12 were drug-resistant (DR)-TB. A total of 66 cultured *M. tuberculosis* isolates were found to be RIF-resistant; 40 cultured isolates were found to be PZA-resistant; 39 cultured isolates were found to be both RIF- and PZA-resistant; and 18 were identified as being pan-susceptible (pan-S). Substitutions or multiple-site



mutations in the *rpoB* region were identified in 56 isolates (56/80, 70.0%), of which 91.1% (51/56) were resistant to RIF and 9 distinctive-site mutations were identified. Fifteen (15) different types of *rpoC* mutations were identified in 24 isolates (24/93, 25.8%), all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF. The mutation rates in MDRand XDR-TB were 37.0% (10/27) and 38.9% (14/36), respectively. Substitutions of a single nucleotide (22/24, 91.7%) or substitutions of multiple-site mutations (2/24, 8.3%) in the *rpoC* region were identified, and neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates. No mutations were identified in the *rpoC* region of any drug-susceptible strains. Various mutations were identified in the *pncA* gene in 46 isolates: Nucleotide substitutions, deletions, insertion, multiple-site mutations and 25 different mutation sites were found. Of these various mutations detected in 46 isolates, substitution of a single nucleotide was most common (27/46, 58.7%), followed by multiple-site mutations (4/46, 8.7%) and insertion (4/46, 8.7%). Frameshifts caused by an insertion or a deletion of a single or multiple nucleotides at various sites accounted for 15.2% (7/46) of all mutations.

**Conclusion** Mutations of the *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* genes are the essential mechanism of RIF and PZA resistance in drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates. Detection of *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* gene mutations can complement in vitro DST and DNA-based diagnosis of RIF and PZA resistance, and is a promising method for the rapid detection of drug resistance.

**Key Words:** *Mycobacterium tuberculosis,* multi-drug resistance, *rpoC* mutations, *rpoB* mutations, *pncA* mutations, MDR, and XDR



#### CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLE	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi

1. INTRODUCTION	.1
-----------------	----

ТНОДЅ3	2. MATERIALS AND M
and susceptibility testing	1. Mycobacterial isolate
olymerase chain reaction (PCR)	2. DNA preparation for
the <i>rpoB</i> 4	3. PCR and sequencing
the <i>rpoC</i>	4. PCR and sequencing
the <i>pncA</i> 6	5. PCR and sequencing

3. RESULTS	7
1. The patterns of <i>rpoB</i> mutations	7
2. The patterns of <i>rpoC</i> mutations	8
3. The patterns of <i>pncA</i> mutations	8

4. D	ISCUSSION	23
~	1000001011	



5. REFERENCES	
6. ABSTRACT IN KOREAN	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Drug resistance profiles of 93 M. tuberculosis isolates	10
Table 2. Mutations detected in the <i>rpoB</i> of 80 isolates	12
Table 3. Mutations detected in <i>rpoC</i> of 93 <i>M. tuberculosis</i> isolates	14
Table 4. Mutations detected in the <i>pncA</i> gene of 89 cultured isolates	16



#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. Genomic DNA sequences of *pncA* encoding pyrazinamidase in *M. tuberculosis*. Mutations spot in 89 isolates were marked (bold), and the underlined sequence has not been previously reported. Nucleotides were numbered from the start codon (ATG) of *pncA*.



#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In 1882, Robert Koch discovered the causative agent of tuberculosis (TB), an airborne infectious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. TB still continues to be a major cause of morbidity and mortality, primarily in deprived or moderately poor countries (World Health Organization, 2015) in 2016. Having primarily a pulmonary pathophysiology, *M. tuberculosis* may be manifested as extra-pulmonary TB as part of a primary or late, generalized systemic infection. Also, the clinical manifestations of TB may be widely extended from asymptomatic infection to a life-threatening malady (Barry, et al., 2009, Esmail, et al., 2014). From a clinical and public health perspective, TB may be pragmatically classified into two: (1) asymptomatic non-transmissible latent TB infection (LTBI) and (2) transmissible active-pulmonary TB, for which culture-based or molecular diagnostics can be used. Patients with active TB may present general symptoms, such as fever, fatigue, lack of appetite and weight loss, while those with pulmonary TB can experience persistent cough and hemoptysis of an advanced ailment. However, some patients with active, culture-positive disease may be asymptomatic and are best described as having subclinical TB (Barry, et al., 2009, Esmail, et al., 2014).

The first-line anti-TB agents that constitute a standard treatment regimen are isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RIF), pyrazinamide (PZA), and ethambutol (EMB). Bacterial resistance to multiple-drugs are reality and the notion of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) to INH and RIF has been accepted worldwide (World Health Organization. 2015). Extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) strains, which cause even more severe clinical manifestations, are resistant to not just INH and RIF but also fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides. The worldwide emergence of MDR-TB and XDR-TB threatens global efforts to contain tuberculosis (Gandhi, et al., 2010, World Health Organization. 2015). The combination of INH and RIF is an effective primary first-line anti-TB



regimen (Abate et al., 2014, Jeon et al., 2015, Park, et al., 2016). MDR-TB strains, resistant to INH and RIF, have placed an increasing burden on South Korea (Jeon, et al., 2015, Park, et al., 2016, Tauhid, et al., 2014). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in *rpoB*, encoding the  $\beta$  subunit of RNA polymerase (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Mutations in the *rpoC* gene, encoding the  $\beta'$  subunit of RNA polymerase, were also associated with increased *in vitro* fitness. Such mutations were overrepresented among patients inflicted with MDR-TB isolates in the high MDR-TB burdened countries (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013). Mutations in the *rpoC* gene were overrepresented among MDR-TB strains and one study showed that *M. tuberculosis* isolates harbouring *rpoB* mutations also carried nonsynonymous mutations in the *rpoC* gene (de Vos, et al., 2013). PZA is an effective anti-tubercular agent as well as an important treatment option in cases with MDR-TB strains resistant to INH and RIF. PZA, administered concurrently with a first-line drug regimen of INH and RIF, shortens the duration of anti-tubercular treatment (Mphahlele et al., 2008). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to PZA through mutations in the pncA gene, which encode pyrazinamidase (PZase). PZA is a prodrug that must be enzymatically converted to the active form pyrazinoic acid by PZase reaction. PZase activities, revealed through study findings of drug resistance to PZA, are apparently the pathophysiologic mechanism responsible for PZA resistance. PZA-resistant strains having mutations in the *pncA* gene contribute to the loss of its activity (Scorpio, et al., 1996). Furthermore, mutations in *pncA* have demonstrated a solid correlation between the loss of PZase activities and PZA resistance in *M. tuberculosis* (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim, et al., 2012, Mphahlele, et al., 2008,). In this study, we investigated the patterns of *rpoB*, rpoC and pncA mutations in drug-resistant and susceptible M. tuberculosis among patients in South Korea.



#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Mycobacterial isolates and susceptibility testing

Ninety three (93) *M. tuberculosis* isolates with clinically observed drug resistance or with susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drugs were collected at National Masan Hospital and Pusan National University Colleague of Medicine in South Korea. Each isolate was cultured on Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) medium at 37°C for 3-4 weeks and tested for resistance at critical concentrations of capreomycin (CPM) (40  $\mu$ g/mL), EMB (2.0  $\mu$ g/mL), INH (0.2  $\mu$ g/mL), kanamycin (KM) (40  $\mu$ g/mL), ofloxacin (OFX) (2  $\mu$ g/mL), streptomycin (SM) (4  $\mu$ g/mL), PZA (100  $\mu$ g/mL, Wayne's pyrazinamidase assay) (Wayne et al., 1974), and RIF (40  $\mu$ g/mL).

*M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 27294) was used as a positive control for all experiments. Regarding drug resistance profiles, MDR was defined as having resistance to both RIF and INH; XDR, MDR plus resistance to any of the second-line injectable drugs and fluoroquinolones; DR, any drug resistance other than MDR or XDR; and Pan-S, susceptible to all drugs. Sixty-six (66) isolates were RIF-resistant *M. tuberculosis* (Table 1). This study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the International Tuberculosis Research Centre, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

#### **2.2. DNA preparation for plymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

The bead beater-phenol extraction method was utilized to extract DNA (Kim, et al., 1999). Two or three fragmented specimens were suspended in 200  $\mu$ L of distilled water in Screw Cap Microcentrifuge tubes filled with 200  $\mu$ L (packed volume) of glass beads



(diameter, 0.1 mm; Biospec Products; Bartlesville, Okla) and 200  $\mu$ L of phenolchloroform-isopropyl alcohol (50:49:1). The tube was oscillated on a Mini-Bead Beater (Biospec Products) for 1 minute to disrupt the tissues and bacteria, and then centrifuged (12,000 ×g, 5 min). After the aqueous phase was transferred to another clean tube, 10  $\mu$ L of 3 M sodium acetate and 250  $\mu$ L of ice-cold ethanol were added, and the mixture was kept at -20°C for 10 minutes. The obtained DNA pellets were then washed with 70% ethanol. Then, the solution was dissolved in 60  $\mu$ L of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]), and used it as a template for PCR.

#### 2.3. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing of the rpoB

The *rpoB* DNAs (342 bp), encompassing the Rif<sup>r</sup> region, which is associated with RIF resistance in M. tuberculosis, were amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using MF,) (Kim, et al., 1999). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at 94°C, 45 seconds at 60°C, and 60 seconds at 72°C, with a final extension at 10 minutes at 72°C. The PCR products were purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1, Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in rpoB encoding regions were



defined as any nucleotide difference compared with those in the RIF-susceptible strain H37Rv (ATCC 25618).

#### 2.4. PCR and sequencing of the *rpoC*

The *rpoC* region (1730 bp) was amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer. Foster City, CA. USA) using the primers 5'-CGAAAACCTCTACCGCGAAC-3' and 5'-CACGGAAGGAGGACTTGACC-3 (de Vos, et al., 2013). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at 94°C, 45 seconds at 60°C, and 60 seconds at 72°C, ending with a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. The PCR product was purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTag DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using primers 5'-CGAAAACCTCTACCGCGAAC-3' and 5'-CACGGAAGGAGGACTTGACC-3 (Comas, et al., 2011). Nucleotide sequences were analysed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1; Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in the rpoCencoding regions were defined as any nucleotide difference leading to translational changes of RpoC compared with those in the RIF-susceptible strain, H37Rv (ATCC 25618).



#### 2.5. PCR and sequencing of the *pncA*

The pncA (670 bp) region was amplified by PCR with the GeneAmp PCR System 9600 (PerkinElmer, Foster CA, USA) using primers 5'-City, GGCGTCATGGACCCTATATC-3' and 5'-CAACAGTTCATCCCGGTTC-3 (Kim, et al., 1999, Kim, et al., 2012, Yun, et al., 2005). Briefly, the PCR parameters were 5 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 45 seconds at 94°C, 45 seconds at 60°C, and 60 seconds at 72°C, with a termination using a final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were purified using the QIAEX II Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen Inc., Mainz, Germany) according to the manufacture6r's instructions and sequenced using the BigDye Terminator cycle sequencing kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using the BioEdit software (version 5.0.9.1; Ibis Biosciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA), Chromas version 2.33 (Technelysium, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) (http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html), and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA) (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Mutations in pncA encoding regions were defined as any nucleotide difference compared with those in the PZA-susceptible strain H37Rv (ATCC 25618).



#### **3. RESULTS**

Ninety three (93) clinical isolates, obtained from South Korean patients, were included in this study. In the drug-susceptibility testing (DST), 75 isolates were found to be multidrug-resistant. Twenty (20) were categorized as MDR-TBs; 7, MDR-Plus; 36, XDR-TB; and 12, DR-TB. Sixty-six (66) cultured *M. tuberculosis* isolates were found to be RIF-resistant, 40 cultured *M. tuberculosis* isolates were found to be PZA-resistant, 39 cultured *M. tuberculosis* isolates were found to be both RIF and PZA-resistant, and 18 were categorized as pan S. (Table 1).

#### 3.1. The patterns of *rpoB* mutations

The *rpoB* PCR products were obtained from 80 cultured isolates, among the total of 93 isolates (80/93, 86.0%), and sequenced (Table 2). Substitutions or multiple-site mutations in the *rpoB* region were identified in 56 isolates (56/80, 70.0%), and found that 91.1% (51/56) were resistant to RIF (Table 2). The mutation rates in MDR- and MDR Plus-TB were 86.4% (19/22) and XDR-TB was 93.5% (29/31). Nine (9) different mutation sites were identified (Figure 1). Substitution of a single nucleotide was most common (52/56, 92.9%), and the most frequent mutation site was at codon 531 (nucleotide (nt) 1594), which resulted in amino acid substitution from Ser to Glu, Leu or Tyr in 34 isolates (34/52, 65.4%) (Table 2). Neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates, while no mutation was identified in 5 isolates, despite the fact that they were proven to be MDR- and MDR Plus-TB (3/22, 13.6%) or XDR-TB (2/31, 6.5%). Six (6) isolates (no. 22, 28, 30, 35, 37, and 77) had mutations in the *rpoB*, but were sensitive to RIF (Table 2). Some of the mutations and multi-site mutations revealed in this investigation had not been previously reported. These new mutations



were indicated in Table 2. The wild-type DNA sequences of *rpoB* and the mutation sites in this study were shown in Figure 1.

#### 3.2. The patterns of *rpoC* mutations

The *rpoC* PCR products were amplified from 93 isolates, and sequenced. Fifteen (15) different types of mutations were identified in 24 isolates (24/93, 25.8%), all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF, multidrug-resistant tuberculosis and mutation rates in MDR- and XDR-TB were 37.0% (10/27) and 38.9% (14/36), respectively (Table 3). Substitutions of a single nucleotide (22/24, 91.7%) or substitutions of multiple-site mutations (2/24, 8.3%) in the *rpoC* region were identified. However, neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected in any of the isolates. No mutation was identified in the *rpoC* region of any drug-susceptible strain.

A mutation at codon 452 (nt 1356), detected in 7 isolates, was the most common mutation (7/24, 29.2%) and a mutation at codon 531 (nt 1594), which is the nucleotide most frequently involved in *rpoB* mutation, were also detected in these isolates (Table 2 and 3) (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Twelve (12) different mutation sites (at codon 281 (nt 843), 416 (nt 1249), 434 (nt 1302), 446 (nt 1338), 561 (nt 1683), 575 (nt 1726), 581 (nt 1745), 728 (nt 2186), 747 (nt 2242), 801 (nt 2403), 812 (nt 2437), and 813 (nt 2441)) were first reported in this study (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013); these new mutations are indicated in Figure 2 and Table 3.

#### 3.3. The patterns of *pncA* mutations

Of the 93 isolates, the *pncA* PCR products were obtained in 89 cultured isolates (89/93, 95.7%), and sequenced. Various mutations, identified by the pncA gene of 46 isolates, include nucleotide substitution, deletion, insertion, and multiple-site mutations



(Table 4). Twenty-five (25) different mutation sites were identified, and substitutions of single nucleotides were the most common (27/46, 58.7%), followed by multiple-site mutations (4/46, 8.7%) and insertions (4/46, 8.7%). Frameshifts caused by insertion or deletion of a single or multiple nucleotides in various sites accounted for 15.2% (7/46) of all mutations. The most frequently mutated sites were at nt 403, which showed a substitution from adenosine to cytosine, resulting in an amino acid substitution from Thr to Pro in 8 isolates that are resistant to PZA (8/46, 17.4%). One isolate was MDR-TB and 7 isolates were XDR-TB). Ten (10) isolates were identified with no mutation (10/89, 11.2%), despite having proven drug resistance to PZA. The 10 PZA-resistant isolates comprised 7 of the 36 XDR-TB strains (19.4%); 2 of the 21 MDR-TB (9.5%); and 1 of the DR-TB. Some mutations revealed in this investigation were not reported previously. These new mutations are shown in Table 3, and the wild-type DNA sequences of *pncA* and the mutation sites including the promoter regions in this study are shown in Figure 3. Mutations in both rpoB and pncA were found in 28 isolates. Twentythree (23) out of the 28 isolates (82%), all of which are MDR or XDR-TB, were RIF- and PZA- resistant. Four (4) of these isolates (1 XDR, 1 MDR, 1 MDR Plus and 1 DR) were RIF-resistant, while one isolate was INH-resistant. One MDR isolate (no. 68, resistant to RIF) and two XDR-TB isolates (No. 32 and 55, resistant to RIF and PZA) had new mutations in *rpoB* and *pncA* that had not been previously reported.



tuberculosis isolates.
M.
93
of
profiles
resistance
1. Drug
<b>Table</b>

Drug resistance profile	DR	Pan-S	MDR	XDR	<b>MDR Plus</b>	Pan-S	Pan-S																
Drug resistance	CPM	None Detected	<mark>INH, RFP</mark>	INH, RFP, MFX, CPM	INH, RFP, CPM	None Detected	None Detected																
No.	87	88	<mark>88</mark>	06	91	92	93																
Drug resistance profile	XDR	XDR	XDR	MDR	Pan-S	DR	<b>MDR</b>	XDR	MDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	DR	MDR	DR	MDR Plus	XDR	XDR	XDR
Drug resistance	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX	SM, INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA	None Detected	CPM	INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	RFP, CPM	INH, RFP	HNI	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX,	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM	INH, RFP, OFX, KM
No.	<mark>44</mark>	45	<mark>46</mark>	47	48	49	<mark>50</mark>	51	52	<mark>53</mark>	<mark>54</mark>	55	56	<mark>57</mark>	58	59	60	61	62	<mark>63</mark>	64	<mark>65</mark>	99
Drug resistance profile	XDR	XDR	XDR	MDR	MDR	MDR	XDR	<b>MDR</b>	<mark>XDR</mark>	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	<mark>XDR</mark>	MDR	MDR	XDR	XDR	XDR	Pan-S	Pan-S
Drug resistance	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	<mark>SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA</mark>	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, OFX, MFX	SM, INH, RFP, EMB CPM, KM, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	<mark>SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA</mark>	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA	INH, RFP, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, MFX, PZA	None Detected	None Detected
No.	-	<mark>7</mark>	б	4	5	9	7	×	<mark>6</mark>	10	<mark>11</mark>	12	<mark>13</mark>	14	15	<mark>16</mark>	<mark>17</mark>	18	19	<mark>20</mark>	21	22	23



DR	MDR	MDR Plus	Pan-S	MDR Plus	MDR	<b>MDR</b>	MDR	MDR	DR	DR	XDR	MDR Plus	MDR Plus	DR	MDR Plus	Pan-S	MDR	Pan-S	DR	$\mathbf{FX} = $ ofloxacin; $\mathbf{SM} = $ streptomycin;
RFP	INH, RFP,	INH, RFP, CPM	None Detected	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX	INH, RFP	INH, RFP	INH, RFP	INH, RFP	RFP, CPM	None Detected	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX, MFX, KM, AMK, CPM	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX	CPM	INH, RFP, LEV, OFX	None Detected	INH, RFP	None Detected	CPM	<i>M</i> = kanamycin; MFX = moxifloxacin; (
67	68	69	70	<mark>11</mark>	72	<mark>73</mark>	<mark>74</mark>	75	76	77	78	<mark>79</mark>	<mark>80</mark>	81	82	83	84	85	86	zid; KN
Pan-S	Pan-S	Pan-S	DR	DR	Pan-S	Pan-S	Pan-S	<b>XDR</b>	Pan-S	XDR	Pan-S	Pan-S	DR	<b>XDR</b>	MDR	MDR	XDR	XDR	MDR	= isonia
None Detected	None Detected	None Detected	SM, INH, CPM, PZA	INH	None Detected	None Detected	None Detected	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	None Detected	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	None Detected	None Detected	INH	<mark>SM, INH, RFP, EMB CPM, KM, MFX, PZA</mark>	INH, RFP, EMB, OFX, MXF, PZA	INH, RFP, CPM, KM, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, CPM, KM, OFX, MFX, PZA	SM, INH, RFP, EMB, CPM, KM, PZA	= capreomycin; EMB = ethambutol; INH
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	<mark>32</mark>	33	34	35	36	37	<mark>38</mark>	39	40	41	42	43	CPM :

PZA = pyrazinamide; RFP = rifampicin; MDR = multidrug-resistant; MDR plus = ING+RFP+FQ or INH+RFP+Inj.D; XDR = extensively drug-resistant; DR = resistant to any drug, but not MDR or XDR; others = any drug resistance(s) other than MDR or XDR-TB; Pan-S = pan-susceptible. **Bold numbers** indicate isolates with mutations in *rpoC* and resistance to both

# INH and RFP.

		Cultured	MDR-TB	XDR-TB	Others	Pan-s	MDR-Plus	DR	All S		
Nucleotide change (nucleotide no )	Translational change (codon no )	isolates	isolates	isolates	isolates	isolates	isolates	Isolates	isolate	Remarks	No.
		(n = 80) (100%)	(n = 18) (22.5%)	(n=31) (38.75%)	(n=5) (6.25%)	(n = 12) (15%)	(n = 4) (5%)	(n=5) (6.25%)	(n=5) (6.25%)		
Substitution											
CAA(1539)->AAA	Gly(513)->Lys	1(1.25)	1(1.25)								75
GAC(1549)->GTC	Asp(516)->Val	8(10)	2(2.5)	5(6.25)			1(1.25)			Substitution	10, 15, 34, 40, 42, 43, 45, <mark>71</mark>
GAC(1549)->TAC	Asp(516)->Tyr	1(1.25)			1(1.25)					Substitution	28
CAC(1578)->GAC,	His(526)->Asp,	3(3.75)	3(3.75)								5, 6, 47
CAC(1578)->CGC	His(526)->Arg	1(1.25)					1(1.25)				<mark>63</mark>
CAC(1578)->TAC	His(526)->Try	1(1.25)	1(1.25)								84
TCG(1594)->TGG	Ser(531)->Try	2(2.5)	1(1.25)	1(1.25)						Substitution	14, <mark>50</mark>
TCG(1594)->CAG	Ser (531)->Glu	1(1.25)		1(1.25)						Substitution	41
TCG(1594)->TTG	Ser (531)->Leu	31(38.7)	6(7.5)	19(23.7)	1(1.25)	1(1.25)	2(2.5)	2(2.5)		Substitution	1, <mark>2</mark> , 3, 7, <mark>8, 9</mark> , <b>11</b> , 12, <mark>13</mark> , <b>17</b> , 18, 19, <b>20</b> , 21, 30, <mark>38</mark> , 39, <b>44, 46</b> , 52, <mark>53, 54</mark> , 56, <del>57</del> , 59, 60, 67, 69, <mark>74</mark> , 77, <mark>79</mark>
CTG(1635)->TTG	Leu(545)->Leu	1(1.25)			1(1.25)					Substitution	37
Multi-site mutation											
ACC(1441)->ATC TCG(1594)->TTG	Thr (480)->Iso Ser(531)->Leu	1(1.25)		1(1.25)						Substitution	55
TCG(1594)->TTG GGG(1634)->GGC CTG(1635)->TTG	Ser(531)->Leu Gly(544)->Gly Leu(545)->Leu	1(1.25)				1(1.25)				Substitution	22
GAC(1549)->AAC CAC(1578)->AAC	Asp(516)->Asn His(526)->Asn	1(1.25)	1(1.25)							Substitution	68

Table 2. Mutations detected in the *rpoB* of 80 isolates.



<mark>32</mark>	35	28	4, <mark>16</mark> , 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31,33, 36, 48,49,51, 61, 62,70, <mark>73</mark> , 76, 85, 86, 88, 92, 93	poC.
lbstitution	bstitution	ibstitution		tation in <i>r</i>
Ñ	Su	S	5(6.25)	ate with mu
			3(3.75)	dicate isola
	1(1.25)		9(11.2)	<i>B</i> . Bold number ir
			2(2.5)	of the <i>rpo</i>
1(1.25)		1(1.25)	2(2.5)	don (ATG)
			3(3.75)	he start coo
1(1.25)	1(1.25)	1(1.25)	24(30)	nted from t
Ser(531)->Leu Gly(544)->Gly Leu(545)->Leu His(551)->His	Ser(531)->Leu Leu-(545)->Leu	Asp(516)->Gly Leu(533)->Pro	No change	position was cour
TCG(1594)->TTG GGG(1634)->GGC CTG(1635)->TTG CAC(1655)->CAT	TCG(1594)->TTG CTG-(1635)->TTG	GAC(1549)->GGC CTG(1600)->CCG	Wild type	Number of codon





	Translational	Cultured	MDR-TB	XDR-TB	Others	Pan-S	MDR- Plus	DR	All S		
Nucleotide change (s) (Nucleotide no )	change	Isolates	Isolates	isolates	Isolates	Isolates	isolates	Isolates	Isolate	NO.	Remarks
	(codon no.)	(n = 93) n (%)	(n = 20) n (%)	(n = 36) $n (%)$	(n = 5) n (%)	(n = 12) $n (%)$	(n = 7) n (%)	(n = 7) n (%)	(0) = u		
Substitution		~	~						~		
ATC (843)->GTC	Iso (281)->Val <sup>a</sup>	3 (3.2)	2 (2.2)				1 (1.1)			71, 73, 89	Substitution
AAC (1249)->AGC	Asn (416)->Ser <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						20	Substitution
CCG(1302)->ACG	Pro (434)->Thr <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)					1 (1.1)			62	Substitution
CTG (1338)->ATG	Leu (446)->Met <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)					1 (1.1)			63	Substitution
TTC (1356)->CTC	Phe (452)->Leu	7 (7.5)	1 (1.1)	6 (6.4)						2, 11, 13, 17, 44, 53, 57	Substitution
GTG (1450)->GCG	Val (483)->Ala	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						38	Substitution
GTG (1450)->GGG	Val (483)->Gly	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						46	Substitution
TCC (1683)->CCC	Ser (561)->Pro <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						54	Substitution
GCC (1726)->GTC	Ala (575)->Val <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						16	Substitution
GGC(2186)->GGT	Gly (728)->Gly <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)							74	Substitution
GAC (2242)->GGC	Asp (747)->Gly <sup>a</sup>	2 (2.2)	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)						8, 65	Substitution
ACC (2437)->ATC	Thr (812)->Iso <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)	1 (1.1)							50	Substitution
CAG (2441)->CAC	Glu (813)->His <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						32	Substitution
Multi-site mutation <sup>a</sup>											
TCC (1683)->CCC, ATG (1745)->ATA	Ser (561)->Pro <sup>a</sup> , Met (581)->Iso <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)		1 (1.1)						6	Substitution
CCG(1302)->GTG, ACC(2403)->TCC	Pro (434)->Val, Thr (801)->Ser <sup>a</sup>	1 (1.1)					1 (1.1)			80	Substitution
Wild type	No change	69 (74.2)	14 (15.1)	22 (23.6)	5 (5.3)	12 (12.9)	3 (3.2)	7 (7.5)	6 (6.4)	1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33,	

14

Table 3. Mutations detected in rpoC of 93 M. tuberculosis isolates.



34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47,	48, 49, 51, 52, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61,	62, 64, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 72, 75, 76,	77, 78, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88,	90, 91, 92, 93	s. <b>Bold numbers</b> indicate isolates with mutations in $rpoC$ and resistance to both INH and RFP.
					<sup>a</sup> New mutation not reported in previous studies. <b>Bold numbers</b> it



		Cultured	MDR-TB	XDR-TB	Others	Pan-S	MDR- Plus	DR	AllS		
Nucleotide change (s)	Translational change	Isolates	Isolates	isolates	isolates	Isolates	isolates	Isolates	isolate	Remarks	No.
		(n = 89) (99%)	(n = 19) (21.3%)	(n = 33) (37.0%)	(n=5) (5.61%)	(n = 12) (13.4%)	(n = 7) (7.86%)	(n = 7) (7.86%)	(n = 6) (6.74%)		
Substitution											
TAT->TGT(-11)	Tyr(-4)->Cys	3(3.3)	2(2.2)	1(1.1)						Substitution	8, 6, 90
TTG->TGG(11)	Leu(4)->Try	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	20
CAG->CGG(29)	Gly(10)->Arg	1(1.1)					1(1.1)			Substitution	71
CAG->CCG(29)	Gly(10)->Pro	1(1.1)	1(1.1)							Substitution	43
*CTG->CCG(104)	Leu(35)->Pro	1(1.1)	1(1.1)							Substitution	4
*GTG->GAG(134)	Val(44)->Met	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	78
AAG->GAG(142)	Lys(48)->Glu	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	46
*CAC->CCC(170)	His(57)->Pro	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	38
TCG->CCG(199)	Ser(66)->pro	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	45
TGG->TCG(203)	Try(68)->Ser	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	6
TGG->TAG(203)	Try(68)->Stpo	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	65
*TGG->TGT(204)	Try(68)->Cys	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	64
*CAT->TAT(211)	His(70)->Tyr	1(1.1)			1(1.1)					Substitution	60
ACT->CCT(226)	Try(76)->Pro	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	51
*TTC->GTC(241)	Phe->(80)Val	1(1.1)						1(1.1)		Substitution	67
*CTG->CGG(254)	Leu->(87)->Arg	1(1.1)	1(1.1)							Substitution	72
*GGT->AGT(289)	Gly(97)->Ser	1(1.1)	1(1.1)							Substitution	5
*ACC->CCC(298)	Thr(99)->Pro	1(1.1)		1(1.1)						Substitution	41

Table 4. Mutations detected in the *pncA* gene of 89 cultured isolates.



*TAC->CAC(307)	Thr(102)->His	1(1.1)		1(1.1)			Substitution	54
AGC->AGA(312)	Ser(104)->Arg	1(1.1)	1(1.1)				Substitution	39
ACC->CCC(403)	Thr(134)->Pro	8(8.8)	1(1.1)	7(7.7)			Substitution	1, 2, 13, 11,17, 44, 53, 57
*GAT->GGT(407)	Asp(136)->Gly	1(1.1)	1(1.1)				Substitution	68
CAG->CTG(422)	Glu(140)->Leu	1(1.1)			1(1	.1)	Substitution	24
CAG->CCG(422)	Glu-(140)>Pro	1(1.1)		1(1.1)			Substitution	15
*GAC->GAA(435)	ASP(145)->Glu	1(1.1)			1(1.1)		Substitution	28
GCG->GTG(437)	Ala(146)Val	1(1.1)	1(1.1)				Substitution	50
AGG->GGG(460)	Arg(153)->Gly	1(1.1)	1(1.1)				Substitution	47
Multi-site mutation								
TAT->TGT(-11)	Tyr(-4)->Cys							t
ACC->CCC(403)	Thr(143)->Pro	(1.1)1		(1.1)1			Substitution	
*TCG->CCG(199)	Ser(66)->pro							ç
*AGC->GGC(535)	Ser(178)->Gly	1(1.1)		(1.1)1			Substitution	42
*TAT->TGT(-11)	Tyr(-4)->Cys							
*GAC->GAA(189)	Asp(85)->Glu	1(1.1)		1(1.1)			Substitution	00,
*GTC->GGC(392)	Gly(131)->Gly							
->T(392)	Frameshift	(1.1)1				1(1.1)	Substitution	61
Insertion								
*->A(192)	Frameshift	1(1.1)		1(1.1)			Insertion at 192	10
*->G(417)	Frameshift	2(2.2)		2(2.2)			Insertion at 417	16, 32
*->C(392)	Frameshift	1(1.1)				1(1.1)	Insertion at 392	82



12	55	14, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 40, 48, 49, 52, 59, 62, 63, 69, 70 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 80, 81, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88 89, 91, 92, 93
Deletion from 211 to 212	Deletion at 393	
		6(6.74)
		6(6.74)
		4(4.49)
		11(12.3)
		3(3.37)
1(1.1)	1(1.1)	5(5.61)
		8(8.98)
1(1.1)	1(1.1)	43(48.3)
Frameshift	Frameshift	No change
*CAT- >—(211,212,213)	*C->(393)	Wild type

Deletion

Number of codon position was counted from the start codon (ATG) of the pncA. \*New mutation not reported in previous report.



Figure. 1. Genomic DNA sequences of *rpoB* encoding the  $\beta$  subunit of RNA polymerase in *M. tuberculosis*.

CAG ATC	ACC GTG	AAG GAG	666 TTG	, GCC <mark>GGG</mark>	TCG CAC		es were numbered
AA AAC	JC AGG	JG ATC	G TCG	AG CGT	AC CCG		ucleotide
ATC CA	A <u>C</u> C AC	GCC GC	CCG CI	CGT G∕	GTG CA		orted. N
CTG	ATG	GTC	AAC	TCA	GAC		ously ret
GCAG	cgg	GTG	AAC	, CTG	CGC		in previ
c GAG	G GAC	g CCG	<mark>C</mark> CAG	c ggt	G GTC		not bee
IC GG	IC CG	IC CG	IG <mark>GA</mark>	CC GG	rg ga		nce has
ACG G	GTG	AAC A'	ITC A'	GG CC	G GGG		ed seque
CGT	CGG	ATC	CAA .	CTG	GCC		underline
CTG	GAG	TTG	AGC	GCG	CCG	GA	nd the u
CGC	ATG	ACG	CTG	TCG	CAC	ATC	old). a
CGC	g CGG	G CAG	c CAG	A CTG	C GTG	CCG	arked (1
A AA(	G TCC	A CCC	C AG	CG/	C GA(	G TGC	were ma
TC GG	GC AT	TC AC	GC AC	AG CG	TC CG	GG AT	solates '
CAC T	GTC G	GCG A	TTC G	<mark>cac</mark> a	GAG G	GGC C	t in 80 i
GAC	CGG	GAG	TTC	ACC	<u>C</u> TG	TAC	ions spo
1335	1395	1455	1515	1575	1635	1695	Mutat

Ś, 5 È, 2 ÷. ٢ from the start codon (ATG) of *rpoB*. 2



tuberculosis.
X
poC in 1
$\mathbf{f}_{r}$
seduences
DNA
Genomic
figure 2.

	GGC		CAG		CTG		TAC		GAA		AAT		GAT		TAC		CCC		AAC		TGG		CTG		CTG		CAC		AAC		GGG		GGG
	AAC		CAA		GAG		CTG		CCG		GAC		TCC		GAC		CTG		CTC		G <mark>T</mark> G		ACC		CAG		GTG		AAC		ACC		AGC
	CGA		TTC		CCG		GAC		GCG		TTC		CTT		GTC		GGT		GAC		CAA		ccc		ATT		GCC		TCC		GTG		GCC
	<u>A</u> TC		GCG		CCG		AAC		GGT		CTG		TCG		CGT		TGC		GTG		CCC		GCA		GCC		ATG		TCC		AT <mark>G</mark>		CCG
	GTC		GCG		ATC		TTG		CTG		GCG		AAG		AAG		CAG		CTG		CGC		CGC		AAG		CAG		TTG		GAC		CAG
	GAT		GTT		GTG		GAC		GAT		GAC		CTC		GGC		CAC		CGG		CAG		AAC		GGC		GAC		ATG		CTG		TAC
	CGG		GTG		CCG		TCC		ATC		GTG		CCG		CTC		CTG		AAG		CGC		CTG		GAA		GGT		TTG		CGG		GAA
	CTG		AAG		GTC		ACG		CTG		TCC		CGT		CTG		AAG		ATG		GAG		TTG		GTG		GAC		ATT		CCG		GGC
	TCG		CTG		GCC		GCC		AGG		GAA		AAC		A <u>A</u> C		CTC		GTG		GTG		GTG		CTG		TTC		CGC		ATG		ACC
	GAG		CGG		GAC		TTC		AAA		CAG		GGC		CAG		CAG		TTC		ATG		CCG		ATG		GAC		GCT		GCC		GAC
	GCC		AAG		CTC		CGG		CTG		CTG		CCG		CGG		<mark>CC</mark> G		CCG		CGC		CAC		CCA		GCC		GAG		TTG		GGG
	GAA		CTC		GTG		GGC		CGG		ATG		GGG		TTC		GGC		AAG		AAG		GAG		GAG		AAT		GCC		CCG		ccc
	GCC		GCC		ATG		GGC		AAC		CGG		ACC		CGG		GTC		TTC		GCG		GCC		TTC		TTC		CAG		CGT		GTC
	GAC		CGC		GGC		GAC		AAC		AAG		GTC		GGC		GTG		CTG		AGC		ATC		GCC		GCG		GCG		666		GAG
	ATC		CTT		ATG		CTC		CGC		GAG		ccc		CAG		ATC		GAG		AAG		GTC		CAG		GAG		GAA		TCT		ACC
	GAC .		AAG		CCG		CTG		AAC		AAC		CGG		AAG		GTC		CTG		ATC		GAG		ATC		TGT		GCC		GCA ,		ACC
	TTC		AAG		TCG		GTG		ATC .		AAC .		GGC		GGC		TCG		GCG		AAC		GAA		GGT		GTG ,		AGC		CCG		CTG
	AAC		CAG		AAC .		ATG		GTG		GTC		CGC		AAG		DDC		ATG		CAG		CTC		DL		DL		TG		CG		LAC
	2AG /		9000		3GC /		CCG /		AGG (		ATC (		CGC (		CTC /		3GC (		<u>C</u> TG		3CG (		GTG (		CGG		CCG 2		CCT 1		CTG C		TAC
795	ATC	855	AAG	915	TCG	975	CGC	1035	CGC	1095	ATC	1155	GGC	1215	CTG	1275	TCG	1335	AAG	1395	CAT (	1455	GAT	1515	CAC	1575	CAC	1635	CTG	1695	ATC	1755	CTG . 1815



CGC	GTC	GCC	GTC	TAC	GCC	GAG	GCT	GAG	GAC	GTG		
GAC	CCG	ATG	TTC	CGT	TGG	AAG	GGC	GAC	GTC	CTG		
GCC	CCG	TGG	CCG	GAG	TAC	AAG	CGT	ACC	ATC	GGC		
GCG	CGG	GCG	TAT	GCC	TTC	CGC	CAG	GCC	ACC	AAG		
ATG	CTG	GAT	GGT	CTG	GGC	CCG	TTC	GAA	ATC	ATG		
ATC	CAG	GGC	CTG	GAC	GCC	CCG	CAG	AAG	ATC	GGT		
GCG	ACC	CCG	CCG	AAC	GAC	GTG	AAG	TGG	CCG	GCC		
GAA	CTG	CAG	CTG	ATC	AAG	CTG	GAA	ATT	AAC	CTG		
GCC	CGG	TGG	CTG	ATC	CTC	GTG	GTC	GAG	GAC	ACG		
CCG	GTG	GGC	GAG	GCC	AAG	GAC	AAG	GTG	GAC	CGA		
TCG	AAG	AGC	AAC	GCC	GAC	GCC	GAC	CTG	CCC	ACT		
TCT	ATC	CAC	TTC	CAG	GTC	ATG	GCG	GCG	TAC	CA G		
TAC	AAG	GGC	ATG	GTG	ACC	TCG	CGC	GAG	CAC	ACC		(bold)
GTC	GCC	TTC	GTG	AAG	CAG	GTG	GAG	AAC	GAG	TTC		arked
GGT	CGG	CTA	CGG	AAG	GCC	ACG	GAG	CGC	CGG	AAC		vere m
ACT	GTG	GAG	GGC	CAC	GTC	GTG	TAC	GAG	TTG	GGC		lates v
GAG	AGC	GCC	CTG	ATG	GTG	00 <mark>C</mark>	CAC	GAC	GCG	ACC	A	<b>93</b> iso
CCG	TTG	GAG	ACG	CAG	ATC	AGC	G <u>A</u> C	CAC	CAG	GCC	CCG	ot in
CAC	GTC	ATC	ACC	AAG	ATG	GCG	CTC	AAC	GGT	GGC	AAC	ions sp
GAT 1875	GGT 1935	GAG 1995	GAG 2055	AAC 2115	CCG 2.175	ACC 2235	ATC 2295	TTG 2355	GTC 2415	TCC 2475	ACC	Mutati



	GTC		TTC		GAC		GAC		GTC		GTG		GGC		ATT		ACC		GAG		GGG			nbered
	GCA		GAC		AGC		ATC		TGC		GCG		AAC		GGT		GCC		CTG		ACC			ere nur
	CGG		AAC		ATC		CAC		<u>C</u> AT		GAG		GAG		GTC		TTG		GCG		CGA			ides w
	GCC		CAG		GCC		TTC		CCG		ATC		GAC		GTG		GGC		GCC		CGC			Nucleot
	ACT		GTG		CGC		GAC		CCA		GCA		GTC		GAT		AAT		GTC		CAC			orted. N
	CAA		GAC		GCC		AAG		TG <u>G</u>		TCG		GGA		GTC		CGC		ACC		TGG			ily repo
	AGG		GTC		CTG		ACC		<u>T</u> CG		ACG		GAA		GAG		GTA		ACC		TGA			revious
	GGT		ATC		GCG		GCA		TCG		GAC		TTC		GAT		GCG		GAT		TCC			peen p
	GTC		ATC		GCC		GTG		TCC		<u>cTG</u>		GGC		GTC		GA <u>C</u>		GCC		AGC			as not
	CGC		TTG		GGC		GTC		TAT		AGT		AGC		GGC		GAG		TCG		TGC			tence h
	TGC		GCG		GGT		CAC		GA <u>C</u>		CCC		TAC		CGC		GCC		GTG		GTT			ed sequ
	GGC		CGG		ACC		CAT		CCG		CAT		GCG		CAA		ACG		GGT		TTG			nderlin
	TGT		ATG		GTA		TAC		ACA		<u>T</u> TC		GGA		CGG		C <u>A</u> G		GCG		GAG			d the u
	ATC		CGT	-	GCG		GAC		GGC		GAC		ACC		CTG		CGC		ACA		GTC			ld), and
-	TAT		GGA		CTG		GCG		TCC		GCG		TAC		TGG		GTG		CTG		AGC			ted (bo
	ccc		GGT		TCG		GCG		TTC		GGC		GCC		AAT		TGT		GAC		GCC			re mark
-	CGA		TAT		GGC		GAA		C <u>A</u> C		CCC		<u>G</u> GT		CTG		CAT		GTG		ACC		ΤG	tes wei
	CAT		ACG		GGT		GCC		GAC		ACT		AAG		CTG		G <u>A</u> T		CTG		CGC		TGT	9 isola
	CGT		CGA		GAG		C <u>T</u> G		GGT		GGT		TAC		CCA		ACC		GTG		ATG		AAC	ot in 8
	GG		GCC		TGC		TAC		CCG		AGC		TTC		ACG		GCC		AGG		GAG		ATG	tions st
D	-80	-21		40		100		160		220		280		340		400		460		520		580		Muta

Figure 3. Genomic DNA sequences of *pncA* encoding pyrazinamidase in *M. tuberculosis*.

from the start codon (ATG) of *pncA*.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

RIF is one of the primary first-line combination anti-tubercular agents indicated for Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and RIF resistance is a valuable surrogate marker of MDR-TB. Over 90% of RIF resistance in clinical isolates of *M. tuberculosis* is identified with genetic alterations within the *rpoB* gene (Yue, et al., 2003, World Health Organization. 2015). RIF resistance is a valuable surrogate marker of drug-resistant tuberculosis, and detection of drug resistance to RIF is important in the treatment of tuberculosis (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in the *rpoB* gene, encoding the  $\beta$  subunit of RNA polymerase (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). The ß' subunit of RNA polymerase is encoded by the rpoC gene. Iñaki Comas et al. suggested that the acquisition of particular mutations in rpoC in RIF-resistant M. tuberculosis strains over time leads to the emergence of MDR strains with a high fitness (Comas, et al., 2011). Moreover, de Vos M *et al.* showed that nonsynonymous mutations in the *rpoC* region are prevalent among RIF-resistant isolates in a highly-burdened setting in South Africa, and that these mutations are strongly associated with transmissions of RIF-resistant strains (de Vos, et al., 2013). Mutations of the *rpoC* gene have not been studied in South Korea yet, and this study investigated the patterns of rpoC mutations in drug-resistant and susceptible M. tuberculosis among patients in South Korea. Nucleotide substitutions and multiple-site mutations in rpoB were identified, and neither deletion nor insertion mutation was detected. Substitutions at codon 531 (nucleotide 1594) were the most commonly found variations (60.7%), while new mutations in rpoB, which had not been previously reported, were found (Table 2). Fifteen (15) different types of mutations in rpoC were identified, and 12 of these 15 mutation variations were first reported in this study (marked in Table 2) (Comas, et al., 2011, de Vos, et al., 2013). A mutation at codon



452 was the most common transformation (7/24, 29.2%). Mutations at codon 531, a nucleotide most frequently involved in *rpoB* mutation, were also detected in these isolates (Table 1) (Cavusoglu, et al., 2002, Yue, et al., 2003, Yun, et al., 2005). Mutations were only found among MDR-TB strains, all of which were resistant to both INH and RIF, while no mutation was identified in the *rpoC* region of any drug-susceptible strains (marked in Table 1 and Table 2). Therefore, *M. tuberculosis* can acquire resistance to RIF through mutations in the *rpoB* and *rpoC*, suggesting that mutations of *rpoB* and *rpoC* maybe used as a marker of MDR-TB and DNA-based diagnostic confirmation for the detection of INH and RIF resistance. Nonetheless, further extensive studies with a larger collection of isolates are necessary. PZA is also one of the most effective pharmacologic agents indicated for tuberculosis. When PZA is combined with the first-line drugs of INH and RIF, it shortens the duration of anti-tubercular treatment (Gandhi, et al., 2010, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, World Health Organization, 2015). In cases of MDR- and XDR-TB, where tubercular bacilli are resistance to at least both INH and RIF, PZA becomes an important treatment option (Gandhi, et al., 2010, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, World Health Organization, 2015). Thus, the detection of drug resistance to PZA is important in the treatment of tuberculosis, and is especially an urgent issue when there are tubercular resistance to INH and/or RIF (Gandhi, et al., 2010, World Health Organization, 2015). In this study, we investigated the patterns of *pncA* mutations of *M. tuberculosis* isolates that identified MDR- and XDR-TB strains among patients in South Korea. This study identified nucleotide substitutions, multiple-site mutations, as well as insertion and deletion (frameshift) mutations in pncA (Table 3 and Figure 3). We observed newlydeveloped mutations in the *pncA* gene that had not been previously reported, and also discovered that *pncA* mutations were more scattered and diverse than *rpoB* mutations (Tables 3 and Figure 3). In summary, M. tuberculosis can acquire resistance to RIF and



PZA through mutations in *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA*, respectively (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim et al., 1999, Kim, et al., 2012, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, Yun, et al., 2005, Scorpio, et al., 1996). Explicitly, mutations of *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* in *M. tuberculosis* are important mechanisms of RIF and PZA resistance. There is a strong correlation between <u>mutations</u> of *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* and <u>RIF and PZA resistance to *M. tuberculosis* among drug-resistant isolates especially with MDR- and XDR-TB strains among patients in South Korea (Hirano, et al., 1998, Kim, et al., 2012, Kim et al., 1999, Mphahlele, et al., 2008, Yun, et al., 2005,). Therefore, the detection of *rpoB*, *rpoC* and *pncA* mutations, which complement the results of in vitro DST and DNA-based diagnosis of RIF and PZA resistance (Kim, et al., 2012, Kim et al., 2008).</u>



#### **5. REFERENCES**

Abate D, Tedla Y, Meressa D, Ameni G. Isoniazid and rifampicin resistance mutations and their effect on second-line anti-tuberculosis treatment. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 18(8):946-51 (2014).

Barry, C. E. 3rd *et al.* The spectrum of latent tuberculosis: rethinking the biology and intervention strategies. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7, 845-855 (2009).

Cavusoglu C, Hilmioglu S, Guneri S, Bilgic A. Characterization of *rpoB* mutations in rifampin-resistant clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from Turkey by DNA sequencing and line probe assay. *J Clin Microbiol*. 40(12):4435-8 (2002).

Comas I, Borrell S, Roetzer A, Rose G, Malla B, Kato-Maeda M, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of rifampicin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains identifies compensatory mutations in RNA polymerase genes. *Nat Genet.* 18;44(1):106-10 (2011).

de Vos M, Müller B, Borrell S, Black PA, van Helden PD, Warren RM, et al. Putative compensatory mutations in the *rpoC* gene of rifampin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are associated with ongoing transmission. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 57(2):827-32 (2013).

Esmail, H., Barry, C. E. 3rd, Young, D. B. & Wilkinson, R. J. The ongoing challenge of latent tuberculosis. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 369, 20130437 (2014).



Gandhi NR, Nunn P, Dheda K, Schaaf HS, Zignol M, van Soolingen D, et al. Multidrugresistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis: a threat to global control of tuberculosis. *Lancet.* 375(9728), 1830-43 (2010).

Hirano K, Takahashi M, Kazumi Y, Fukasawa Y, Abe C. (1998) Mutation in *pncA* is a major mechanism of pyrazinamide resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Tuber Lung Dis* 78(2): 117-22 (1998).

Jeon D. Medical management of drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Tuberc Respir Dis* (Seoul). 78(3):168-74 (2015).

Kim, B. J., S. H. Lee, M. A. Lyu, S. J. Kim, G. H. Bai, G. T. Chae, E. C. Kim, C. Y. Cha, and Y. H. Kook. Identification of mycobacterial species by comparative sequence analysis of the RNA polymerase gene (*rpoB*). *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 58:1714-1720 (1999).

Kim HJ, Kwak HK, Lee J, Yun YJ, Lee JS, Lee MS, Min SY, Park SK, Kang HS, Maeng YH, Kim SY, Kim SY, Kook YH, Kim YR, Lee KH. Patterns of *pncA* mutations in drug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolated from patients in South Korea. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 16(1):98-103 (2012).

Mphahlele M, Syre H, Valvatne H, Stavrum R, Mannsåker T, Muthivhi T, Weyer K, Fourie PB, Grewal HM. Pyrazinamide resistance among South African multidrug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates. *J Clin Microbiol*. 46(10): 3459 - 64 (2008).



Scorpio A, Zhang Y. Mutations in *pncA*, a gene encoding pyrazinamidase/nicotinamidase, cause resistance to the antituberculous drug pyrazinamide in tubercle bacillus. *Nat Med*.
2: 662-67 (1996).

Park JS. Issues related to the updated 2014 Korean Guidelines for Tuberculosis. *Tuberc Respir Dis* (Seoul). 79(1):1-4 (2016).

Tauhid Islam, Tom Hiatt, Cornelia Hennig, Nobuyuki Nishikiori. Drug-resistant tuberculosis in the WHO Western Pacific Region. *Western Pac Surveill Response J*. 5(4):34-46 (2014).

Yue J, Shi W, Xie J, Li Y, Zeng E, Wang H. Mutations in the *rpoB* gene of multidrugresistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from China. *J Clin Microbiol.* 41(5):2209-12 (2003).

Yun YJ, Lee KH, Haihua L, Ryu YJ, Kim BJ, Lee YH, Baek GH, Kim HJ, Chung MS, Lee MC, Lee SH, Choi IH, Cho TJ, Chang BS, Kook YH. Detection and identification of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in joint biopsy specimens by *rpoB* PCR cloning and sequencing. *J Clin Microbiol* 43(1):174-78 (2005).

Wayne LG. Simple pyrazinamidase and urease tests for routine identification of mycobacteria. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 109(1):147-51 (1974).

World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report. Geneva, Switzerland, WHO (2015).



#### 6. ABSTRACT IN KOREAN

Rifampicin (RFP)은 결핵치료에 있어서 1 차 항결핵제로 많이 사용되는 약제이다. Pyrazinamide (PZA)은 INH 와 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 다제약제내성결핵균 (MDR-TB)에 효과적인 항결핵제이다. 본연구에서는 한국인 환자에서 분리된 93 주의 결핵균 (MDR-TB 포함)에 대해서 RFP 와 PZA 내성과 관련이 있는 유전자인 rpoB, rpoC 그리고 pncA 의 유전자 분석을 통하여 이들 유전자에서의 돌연변이 (mutation)와 이들 약제내성과의 관련성에 대해서 연구하였다. 93 개의 임상분리균주는 실험실에서 성공적으로 배양이 되었으며 약제내성검사 (drug susceptibility testing, DST)를 통하여 INH, PZA, RFP 를 포함한 항결핵제에 대한 내성 및 감수성을 확인하였다. 확인결과 75 개 분리주가 항결핵제에 대해서 내성을 갖는 것으로 확인되었다. 이중 20 주는 MDR-TB, 7 주는 MDR-Plus, 36 주는 XDR-TB 그리고 12 주는 DR-TB 로 확인이 되었으며 66 주에서 RFP 내성, 40 주는 PZA 에 대해서 내성 그리고 39 주는 RFP 와 PZA 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 것으로 확인되었으며 18 주는 pan S 로 확인되었다. 56 주에서 rpoB 유전자 에서의 substitutions 또는 multiple-site mutations 이 확인되었으며 (56/80, 70.0%) 이중 51 주가 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지는 것으로 확인되었으며 (51/56, 91.1%), 9 개의 다른 변이가 확인되었다. 24 주에서 rpoC 에서 15 개의 다른 변이가 확인되었으며 (24/93, 25.8%), 24 주 모두 INH 와 RFP 에 대해서 내성을 가지며 MDR-TB 에서 37.0% (10/27), XDR-TB 에서 38.9% (14/36)에서 내성을 가지는 것을 확인했다. Single nucleotide 의 substitutions (22/24, 91.7%) 또는 multiple-site 의 substitutions (2/24, 8.3%)이 확인되었으며 deletion 이나 insertion 은 확인되지



29

않았으며, 항결핵제에 대해서 감수성인 균주는 rpoC 에서 변이가 확인되지 않았다. pncA 유전자의 경우는 46 주에서 다양한 변이가 확인되었으며 (nucleotide substitutions, deletions, insertion 그리고 multiple-site mutations) 25 개의 다른 변이가 확인이 되었다. Single nucleotide 의 substitution 이 가장 많았으며 (27/46, 58.7%), 그다음으로는 multiple-site mutation (4/46, 8.7%) 그리고 insertion (4/46, 8.7%) 순으로 많았다. 다양한 부위에서 insertion 또는 single 또는 multiple nucleotides 에서 deletion 에 의한 frameshifts 가 있었다 (7/46, 15.2%). 본 연구를 통해서 결핵균 (*M. tuberculosis*)의 *rpoB, rpoC* 그리고 *pncA* 유전자의 변이는 RFP 와 PZA 내성과 연관이 있는 것으로 확인되었으며 *rpoB, rpoC* 그리고 *pncA* 유전자의 변이를 확인하는 것은 RFP 와 PZA 약제에 대해서 내성을 가지는 다제약제내성결핵균을 신속분자진단법 개발에 중요한 자료로 사용이 될 것으로 생각된다.



#### 감사의 글

10 년 전으로 기억합니다. 2007 년 대구가톨릭대학병원 신경외과에서 전임의를 시작하면서 대학에 남을 계획을 하고 석사를 시작한 후 2009 년에 석사학위를 받았습니다. 대학교수의 꿈을 접고 제주도에 내려오면서 크게 학위에 대한 욕심을 버렸었는데 2012 년 제주한라병원에서 신경외과가 전공의 수련병원으로 지정되면서 제자를 키우려면 박사학위가 있는 것이 나을 수 있다는 이상평 과장님의 조언을 받아 박사과정 및 학위를 받을 과정을 밟기로 하였습니다. 먼저 이 학위를 받을 수 있도록 시작점이 되어 주신 대구가톨릭대학병원 여형태 교수님, 최기환 교수님, 김종기 교수님께 감사의 말씀을 먼저 드립니다.

제가 수련의과정을 할 때나 한라병원신경외과에 제직을 하면서나, 지금 서귀포유신경외과로 개원해 있을 때나 한결같이 지켜봐 주시고 든든한 버팀목이 되어 주시는 이상평 과장님께 말로는 부족한 감사를 드립니다. 아울러 사모님이신 한라대학교 박신영 교수님께도 감사의 말씀을 드립니다. 대학원과정을 시작하면서부터 지도교수님이 되어 주시고 논문작성과정에 꼼꼼히 지도 편달해 주시고 박사과정 전반에 많은 도움을 주신 이근화 교수님께 늘 감사드립니다. 박사학위를 시작할 때부터 늘 힘이 되어준 사랑하는 저의 부인께도 감사드립니다. 저를 제주대학교와 인연을 맺게 해주시고 늘 격려해주신 김성엽 교수님, 이근화 교수님의 해외출장기간 동안 지도교수님으로 수고해 주신 이창섭 교수님께도 감사의 말씀을 전합니다. 교육과정을 논문작성과정에 여러 모로 도와주신 이범석 선생님, 정재훈 선생님께도 감사의 말씀을 드립니다. 실험실에 도움을 주신 양미해 선생님, 부상언 선생님께도 감사드립니다.

고등학교를 졸업하고 대구에서만 살다가 제주도에 내려 온지 벌써 만 9 년이 다 되어 가는데 크고 좋은 결실을 맺는 것 같습니다. 이 과정에서 여기에 언급하지 못했지만 많은 분들께 감사드립니다.

2017 년 유재철 드림

