J. of Basic Sciences, Cheju Nat. Univ. 9(2), $9 \sim 16$, 1996

기초과학연구 제주대학교 9(2), 9~16, 1996

ON CONTRACTIBLE NONNEGATIVE CONVERTIBLE MATRICES

SEOK-ZUN SONG AND GWANG-YEON LEE

ABSTRACT. An $n \times n$ matrix A is called convertible if there is an $n \times n$ (1,-1)matrix H such that $perA = det(A \circ H)$ where $A \circ H$ denotes the Hadamard product of A and H. A convertible (0,1)- matrix is called extremal if replacing any zero entry with a 1 breaks the convertibility. In this paper, some properties of contractible nonnegative convertible matrices are investigated and finds an extremal matrix. We present new proofs for the extremality of U_n and $V_{n,k}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M_n(\mathbf{R})$ denote the vector space of all $n \times n$ real matrices. For $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$, the *permanent* of A is defined by

$$\operatorname{per} A = \sum_{\sigma} a_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where σ runs over all permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

In 1961, Marcus and Minc [6] proved that there is no linear transformation $T: M_n(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\operatorname{per} A = \det T(A)$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbf{R})$. However, there are matrices A such that $\operatorname{per} A = \det B$ for some matrix B obtained from A by affixing \pm signs to entries of A, i.e., such that $\operatorname{per} A = \det(A \circ H)$ for some (1,-1)-matrix H of the same size as A where $A \circ H$ denotes

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). 05B20, 15A15.

Key words and phrases. convertible, permanent, contractible.

This paper was supported by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1995.

the Hadamard product of A and H. Such a matrix A is called *convertible* and such a matrix H is called a *converter* of A.

For matrices A, B of the same size, A is said to be permutation equivalent to B if there exist permutation matrices P, Q such that PAQ = B. If both A, B are real, we denote by $A \leq B$ that every entry of A is less than or equal to the corresponding entry of B. Let $T_n = [t_{ij}]$ be the $n \times n$ (0,1)-matrix defined by $t_{ij} = 0$ if and only if j > i + 1.

Gibson proved that every $n \times n$ real matrix A such that $A \leq T_n$ is convertible [3] and also that the number of 1's of an $n \times n$ convertible (0,1)-matrix B is less than or equal to $(n^2 + 3n - 2)/2$ with equality if and only if B is permutation equivalent to T_n [2].

Let A be an extremal matrix if replacing any one of the zero entries of it by a 1 yields a nonconvertible matrix. For example, the matrix T_n is an extremal matrix. In [4] and [5], Hwang, Kim and song investigated some properties of nonnegative convertible matrices and find some classes of extremal matrices different from T_n .

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times n$ real matrix with row vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. We say A is contractible on column (resp. row) k if column (resp. row) k contains exactly two nonzero entries. Suppose A is contractible on column k with $a_{ik} \neq 0 \neq a_{jk}$ and $i \neq j$. Then the $(m-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix $A_{ij:k}$ obtained from A by replacing row i with $a_{jk}\alpha_i + a_{ik}\alpha_j$ and deleting row j and column k is called the contraction of A on column k relative to rows i and j. If A is contractible on row k with $a_{ki} \neq 0 \neq a_{kj}$ and $i \neq j$, then the matrix $A_{k:ij} = (A_{ij:k}^T)^T$ is called the contraction of A on row k relative to columns i and j. We say that A can be contracted to a matrix B if either B = A or there exist matrices A_0, A_1, \dots, A_i ($t \geq 1$) such that $A_0 = A$, $A_t = B$ and A_r is a contraction of A_{r-1} for $r = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

In this paper, we investigate some properties of contractible convertible matrices and propose the other proofs for the extremality of U_n and $V_{n,k}$. And, we find an extremal matrix.

2. RESULTS

For positive integers k and n with $k \leq n$, let $Q_{k,n}$ denote the set of all strictly increasing k-sequences from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For an $n \times n$ matrix A and for $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{k,n}$, let $A[\alpha|\beta]$ denote the matrix lying in rows α and columns β and let $A(\alpha|\beta)$ denote the matrix complementary to $A[\alpha|\beta]$ in A.

The following lemma shows a property of contraction for a real matrix A.

Lemma 1. [1]. Let A be a nonnegative real matrix of order n > 1 and let B be a contraction of A. Then

$$perA = perB.$$

Let A be a contractible matrix. If A is a convertible matrix, then, there is a converter H such that $perA = det(A \circ H)$. Since the permanent is permutation invariant, there are permutation matrices P, Q such that $perA = det(A \circ H) = perPAQ = det(P(A \circ H)Q)$ and det PQ = 1. Thus, if A is a contractible matrix, then, without loss of generality, we can write A as follows;

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x & \alpha \\ y & \beta \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$

where $x \neq 0 \neq y$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ and C is a $(n-2) \times (n-1)$ matrix.

For an $n \times n$ matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, the $n \times n$ (0,1)-matrix supp $A = [a_{ij}^*]$ defined by

$$a_{ij}^* = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1, & ext{if } a_{ij}
eq 0 \ 0, & ext{if } a_{ij} = 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

is called the support of A.

Theorem 2. [4]. A nonnegative square matrix is convertible if and only if its support is.

Through this paper, let $p_j = \text{per}A(1,2|1,j)$ and let $d_j = \det B(1,2|1,j)$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$. **Lemma 3.** Let A be a nonnegative contractible matrix. If A is a convertible matrix, then there exists converter $H = [h_{ij}]$ of A such that either $h_{11} = h_{21}$ and $h_{1j} \neq h_{2j}$ or $h_{11} \neq h_{21}$ and $h_{1j} = h_{2j}$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Proof. Since A is a nonnegative matrix, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$$

and let $H = [h_{ij}]$ be the converter of A. And let $B = A \circ H$. Since A is a convertible matrix,

$$perA = perA(1|1) + perA(2|1)$$

= det B
= h₁₁ det B(1|1) - h₂₁ det B(2|1).

So,

$$(\operatorname{per} A(1|1) - h_{11} \det B(1|1)) + (\operatorname{per} A(2|1) + h_{21} \det B(2|1)) = 0.$$

Suppose that $h_{11} \neq h_{21}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $h_{11} = 1, h_{21} = -1$. Then

 $perA(1|1) = \beta_1 p_2 + \beta_2 p_3 + \dots + \beta_{n-1} p_n,$ $h_{11} \det B(1|1) = h_{22}\beta_1 d_2 - h_{23}\beta_2 d_3 + \dots + (-1)^n h_{2n}\beta_{n-1} d_n,$ $perA(2|1) = \alpha_1 p_2 + \alpha_2 p_3 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} p_n,$

$$h_{21} \det B(2|1) = -h_{12}\alpha_1 d_2 + h_{13}\alpha_2 d_3 + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} h_{1n}\alpha_{n-1} d_n.$$

So,

$$\beta_1(p_2 - h_{22}d_2) + \beta_2(p_3 + h_{23}d_3) + \cdots + \beta_{n-1}(p_n + (-1)^{n+1}h_{2n}d_n) +$$

 $\alpha_1(p_2 - h_{12}d_2) + \alpha_2(p_3 + h_{13}d_3) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}(p_n + (-1)^{n+1}h_{1n}d_n) = 0$ Since p_i and d_i , $i = 2, \dots, n$, are same zero pattern, $p_i \ge d_i$. If $\alpha_i = 0$ for some *i*, then we can take $h_{1i} = h_{2i}$. If $\beta_j = 0$ for some *j*, then we can take $h_{2j} = h_{1j}$. Now, for any nonzero α_i, β_i ,

$$p_i + (-1)^{i+1} h_{2i} d_i = 0$$
$$p_i + (-1)^{i+1} h_{1i} d_i = 0$$

If $d_i = 0$, then we can take $h_{2i} = h_{1i}$. If $d_i \neq 0$, then $h_{2i} = h_{1i}$.

Theorem 4. Let A be a nonnegative contractible matrix and let A_1 be a contraction of A. Then A is convertible if and only if A_1 is convertible.

Proof. Suppose that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}), \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{n-1}),$$

is convertible matrix with converter

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & \cdots & h_{2n} \\ 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & H_2 \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $B = A \circ H$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i h_{1i+1}$, $\tilde{\beta}_i = \beta_i h_{2i+1}$. Then,

$$per A = \det B$$

= $h_{11} \det B(1|1) - h_{21} \det B(2|1)$
= $h_{11}(\tilde{\beta}_1 d_2 - \tilde{\beta}_2 d_3 + \dots + (-1)^n \tilde{\beta}_{n-1} d_n)$
 $- h_{21}(\tilde{\alpha}_1 d_2 - \tilde{\alpha}_2 d_3 + \dots + (-1)^n \tilde{\alpha}_{n-1} d_n)$
= $(h_{11}\tilde{\beta}_1 - h_{21}\tilde{\alpha}_1)d_2 - (h_{11}\tilde{\beta}_2 - h_{21}\tilde{\alpha}_2)d_3$
 $+ \dots + (-1)^n (h_{11}\tilde{\beta}_{n-1} - h_{21}\tilde{\alpha}_{n-1})d_n.$

By above lemma 3, in any cases,

$$per A = h_{12}(\beta_1 + \alpha_1)d_2 - h_{13}(\beta_2 + \alpha_2)d_3 + \dots + (-1)^n h_{1n}(\beta_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1})d_n$$

= det(A₁ \circ H₁),

where

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} h_{12} & h_{13} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ & & H_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since A_1 is a contraction of A, per $A = perA_1$. Thus, $perA_1 = det(A_1 \circ H_1)$.

Conversely, suppose that A_1 is a convertible matrix with converter

$$H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} h_{12} & h_{13} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ & & H_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for some } H_2$$

基礎科學研究

Let $B_1 = A_1 \circ H_1$ and let $B = A \circ H$ where

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & h_{12} & h_{13} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ -1 & h_{12} & h_{13} & \cdots & h_{1n} \\ 1 & & & \\ \vdots & & H_2 & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

Since A_1 is convertible,

$$per A_{1} = \det B_{1}$$

$$= h_{12}(\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}) \det B_{1}(1|1) + (-1)h_{13}(\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2}) \det B_{1}(1|2) + \dots + (-1)^{n}h_{1n}(\beta_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}) \det B_{1}(1|n-1)$$

$$= h_{12}(\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1})d_{2} + (-1)h_{13}(\beta_{2} + \alpha_{2})d_{3} + \dots + (-1)^{n}h_{1n}(\beta_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1})d_{n}$$

$$= (h_{12}\beta_{1}d_{2} + (-1)h_{13}\beta_{2}d_{3} + \dots + (-1)^{n}h_{1n}\beta_{n-1}d_{n})$$

$$+ (h_{12}\alpha_{1}d_{2} + (-1)h_{13}\alpha_{2}d_{3} + \dots + (-1)^{n}h_{1n}\alpha_{n-1}d_{n})$$

$$= \det B(1|1) + \det B(2|1)$$

$$= \det B$$

$$= \det(A \circ H) = per A.$$

Thus, A is convertible.

Let

$$U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and let

$$U_n = \begin{bmatrix} U_{n-1} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $n \geq 3$ where

$$\mathbf{a} = (0, \cdots, 0, \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2}, 1), \ \mathbf{b} = (0, \cdots, 0, \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2}, 1)^T$$

Let $T_{n-1} = [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ and for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ let

$$V_{n,k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_k & \mathbf{x}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{k-1} & \mathbf{x}_k & \mathbf{x}_{k+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let J_n be a matrix such that all entries are 1 of order n. Well known fact, J_3 is a nonconvertible matrix.

In [4], Hwang and Kim proved that for each $n \ge 2$, U_n and for $n \ge 4$, $V_{n,k}$ are extremal. Now, we propose the other proofs for extremality of U_n and $V_{n,k}$.

Theorem 5. For each $n \ge 2$, the matrix U_n is extremal.

Proof. By contraction, if replacing any one of the zero entries of it except u_{1n} by a 1, then yields J_3 . But J_3 is a nonconvertible matrix.

Now, we consider $U_n + E_{1n}$. We proceed by induction on n. Let $n \ge 4$ since the cases n = 2, 3 are trivial. Then, we have two cases. The first case, if n is odd, then the proof is same as [4]. The second case, if n is even, then we produce the odd case by contraction. Therefore, U_n is extremal by induction.

Theorem 6. For $n \ge 4$ and for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the matrix $V_{n,k}$ is extremal and convertible.

Proof. Since $V_{n-1,k}$ is extremal, $V_{n,k} + E_{1n}$ is an extremal. By contraction, theorem 3, and theorem 4, the matrix $V_{n,k}$ is extremal and convertible.

For a matrix A, let $\pi(A)$ denote the number of positive entries of A. For example, $\pi(T_n) = \frac{n^2+3n-2}{2}$. Let μ_n be the minimum number of entries of matrix which is extremal. Then $\mu_n \leq 4n - 4$ because U_n is an extremal matrix with $\pi(U_n) = 4n - 4$.

The following theorem finds a extremal and convertible matrix using contraction of matrix with $\pi(A) = \frac{n^2 + n + 4}{2}$.

Theorem 7. Let

$$T_{1,n-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ \beta & T_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\beta = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. Then $T_{1,n-1}$ is extremal

基礎科學研究

and convertible matrix with

$$\pi(T_{1,n-1}) = \frac{n^2 + n + 4}{2}$$

for $n \geq 3$.

Proof. Since the first column of $T_{1,n-1}$ have exactly two nonzero entries, the matrix $T_{1,n-1}$ can be contracted to a form of T_{n-1} . The support matrix of a form of T_{n-1} is T_{n-1} . And a form of T_{n-1} is convertible matrix because the matrix T_{n-1} is convertible. Thus, $T_{1,n-1}$ is convertible matrix having exactly

$$\pi(T_{1,n-1}) = \frac{(n-1)^2 + 3(n-1) - 2}{2} + 4$$
$$= \frac{n^2 + n + 4}{2}$$

positive entries.

Now, we consider the extremality of $T_{1,n-1}$. If replacing any one of the zero entries of the first column of $T_{1,n-1}$, then, by contraction, yields J_3 . If replacing any one of the zero entries from second column to *n*th column of $T_{1,n-1}$, then, by contraction and extremality of T_{n-1} , $T_{1,n-1}$ is extremal. This proves the theorem.

References

- 1. R. A. Brualdi and P. M. Gibson, Doubly Stochastic Matrices. I. Applications of the Permanent Function, J.Comb.Theory A22 (1977), 194-230.
- 2. P. M. Gibson, Conversion of the Permanent into the Determinant, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 471-474.
- 3. ——, An Identity between Permanent and Determinant, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), 270–271.
- S. G. Hwang and S. J. Kim, On Convertible Nonnegative Matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 32 (1992), 311-318.
- S. G. Hwang, S. J. Kim and S. Z. Song, On Maximal Convertible Matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 38 (1995), 171-176.
- 6. A. R. Kräuter and N. Seifter, On Convertible (0,1)-Matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 13 (1983), 311-322.
- 7. M. Marcus and H. Minc, On the Relation between the Determinant and the Permanent, Illinois. J. Math. 5 (1961), 376-381.

DEPATRMENT OF MATHEMATICS CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY CHEJU, 690-756, KOREA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANSEO UNIVERSITY, SEOSAN 356-820, KOREA