碩士學位論文

# Transversal Infinitesimal Automorphisms On The Kähler Foliation



# 濟州大學校 大學院

## 數學科

洪 昌 基

1997年 6月

# Transversal Infinitesimal Automorphisms On The Kähler Foliation

Hong, Chang-Gi ( Supervised by professor Jin-Oh, Hyun )

## A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

## DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS GRADUATE SCHOOL CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

1997. **6**.

# Transversal Infinitesimal Automorphisms On The Kähler Foliation

指導教授 玄進五

洪昌基

이 論文을 理學 碩士學位 論文으로 提出함

1997年 6月

洪昌基의 理學 碩士學位 論文을 認准함



濟州大學校 大學院

1997年 6月

< Abstract >

## Transversal Infinitesimal Automorphisms On The Kähler Foliation

In this thesis we extend the results which were studied on the harmonic Kähler foliation by S.Nishikawa and Ph.Tondeur([5]) to non-harmonic Kähler foliation, and then prove the following theorem.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed manifold Mwith transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} \leq 0$ . If the transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphism is parallel in direction to the mean curvature vector, then  $\nabla \pi(Y) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\rho_{\nabla} < 0$  at some point  $x \in M$  and  $\nabla_{\tau} \pi(Y) = 0$ , then  $\pi(Y) = 0$ . i.e,  $x \in \Gamma L$ .

In addition, we also study the relation between transversal Killing vector and Killing vector.

i

## CONTENTS

	Abstract (English)i	
1.	Introduction1	
2.	Preliminaries2	
3.	Infinitesimal Automorphisms	
4.	Vanishing Theorem	
	References	
	Abstract (Korean)제주대학교 중앙도서관	
	감사의 글	

## ii

## 1. Introduction

The foliation theory has its origin in the global analysis of solutions of ordinary differential equations. The general notion of a foliation was defined by Ehresmann and Reeb([1]). In 1959, Reinhart([8]) introduced a particular type of foliation, namely, Riemannian foliation, which admits a particular metric "bundle-like metric", that is, a metric for which the leaves of the foliation remain locally at constant distance from each other. By virtue of this bundlelike metric, we can study the quotient manifold by leaves. We call this area as "transverse geometry". Many authors have studied the transverse geometry of Riemannian foliations. In particular, in 1988, S.Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur([5]) introduced the Kähler foliation which admit complex structure on normal bundle of the leaves and proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed orientable manifold M with transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} \leq 0$ . Then every transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphism  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  satisfies  $\nabla \pi(Y) = 0$ . If  $\rho_{\nabla} < 0$  at some point  $x \in M$ , then every  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  with transversally holomorphic s satisfies  $Y \in \Gamma L$ .

In general, many results were obtained on harmonic foliations which all leaves are minimal submanifolds. In this thesis, we study some geometric transverse fields and extend Theorem A to non-harmonic Kähler foliations. Throughout this paper, we have the following indices:

$$1 \le i, j, \dots \le p; \quad 1 \le a, b, \dots \le n,$$
  
 $1 \le \alpha, \beta, \dots \le q (= 2n), \quad 1 \le A, B, \dots \le p + q$ 

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$  be a (p+q)-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension q and a bundle-like metric  $g_M$  with respect to  $\mathcal{F}([8])$ . Let  $\nabla^M$  be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to  $g_M$ . Let TM be the tangent bundle of M and L the integrable subbundle of TM given by  $\mathcal{F}$ . The normal bundle Q of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by Q = TM/L. The metric  $g_M$  defines a splitting  $\sigma$  of the exact sequence

with  $\sigma(Q) = L^{\perp}$  (the orthonormal complement bundle of L in TM)([2]). Then  $g_M$  induces a metric  $g_Q$  on Q:

$$g_{oldsymbol{Q}}(s,t)=g_{oldsymbol{M}}(\sigma(s),\sigma(t)) \quad ext{for any} \quad s,t\in\Gamma Q.$$

A connection  $\nabla$  in Q is defined by (2.2)  $\nabla_X s = \pi([X, Y])$  for  $X \in \Gamma L$ ,  $\pi(Y) = s$ ,  $\nabla_X s = \pi(\nabla_X^M Y_s)$  for  $X \in \Gamma Q$ ,  $Y_s = \sigma(s)$ ,

where  $s \in \Gamma Q([2])$ . Then we have

**Proposition 2.1([2]).** The connection  $\nabla$  in Q is torsion-free and metrical with respect to  $g_Q$ .

The curvature  $R_{\nabla}$  of  $\nabla$  is defined by

(2.3) 
$$R_{\nabla}(X,Y)s = \nabla_X \nabla_Y s - \nabla_Y \nabla_X s - \nabla_{[X,Y]}s$$

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$  and  $s \in \Gamma Q$ . Since  $i(X)R_{\nabla} = 0$  for any  $X \in \Gamma L([2])$ , we can define the transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} : \Gamma Q \to \Gamma Q$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  by

(2.4) 
$$\rho_{\nabla}(s) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{q} R_{\nabla}(s, E_{\alpha}) E_{\alpha}$$

where  $\{E_{\alpha}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of Q. Let  $V(\mathcal{F})$  be the space of all vector fields Y on M satisfying

$$(2.5) [Y, Z] \in \Gamma(L)$$

for any  $Z \in \Gamma L$ . An element of  $V(\mathcal{F})$  is called an *infinitesimal automorphism* of  $\mathcal{F}([3])$ . We set

$$\Gamma Q^{L} = \{ s \in \Gamma(Q) | s = \pi(Y), Y \in V(\mathcal{F}) \}$$

Then  $s \in \Gamma Q^L$  satisfies  $\nabla_X s = 0$  for any  $X \in \Gamma L([3])$ . From (2.1), we have associated exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$O \longrightarrow \Gamma L \longrightarrow V(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma Q^L \longrightarrow O$$

From now on, the foliation is assumed to be transversally Kähler. By a K ähler foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  we mean a foliation satisfying the following conditions: (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is Riemannian, with a bundle-like metric  $g_M$  on M inducing the holonomy invariant metric  $g_Q$  on  $Q = L^{\perp}$ , (ii) there is a holonomy invariant almost complex structure  $J : Q \to Q$  where  $\dim Q = q = 2n$ (real dimension), with respect to which  $g_Q$  is Hermition, i.e.  $g_Q(Js, Jt) = g_Q(s, t)$  for  $s, t \in \Gamma Q$ , and (iii) if  $\nabla$  denotes the unique metric and torsion-free connection in Q, then  $\nabla$  is almost complex, i.e.  $\nabla J = 0$ . Then we have the following identities:

$$(2.6-1) R_{\nabla}(s,t)J = JR_{\nabla}(s,t)$$

$$(2.6-2) R_{\nabla}(Js, Jt) = R_{\nabla}(s, t)$$

$$(2.6-3) R_{\nabla}(s,t)u + R_{\nabla}(t,u)s + R_{\nabla}(u,s)t = 0$$

for s, t and u are elements of  $\Gamma Q$ . In fact, (2.6-1) follows from  $\nabla J = 0$ , (2.6-2) follow from  $g_Q(Js, Jt) = g_Q(s, t)$ .

Finally (2.6-3) is a consequence of the Jacobi identity. Let  $\{e_A\}$  be an oriented orthonormal basis of  $T_x M$  with  $e_i$  in  $L_x$  and  $e_\alpha$  in  $L_x^{\perp}$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  is of codimension q = 2n on  $M^{p+q}$ ). The transversal Kähler property of  $\mathcal{F}$  allows then to extend  $e_a$ ,  $Je_a$  to local vector fields  $E_a$ ,  $JE_a \in \Gamma L^{\perp}$  such that

(2.7) 
$$(\nabla_{E_a} E_b)_x = (\nabla_{E_a} J E_b)_x = (\nabla_{JE_a} E_b)_x = (\nabla_{JE_a} J E_b)_x = 0$$

As a consequence of torsion freeness([2])

(2.8) 
$$[E_a, E_b]_r, \quad [E_a, JE_b]_r, \quad [JE_a, JE_b]_r \in L_r$$

The  $E_a$ ,  $JE_a$  can be chosen as (local) infinitesimal automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$ , so that

(2.9) 
$$\nabla_X E_a = \pi[X, E_a] = 0$$

for  $X \in \Gamma L$ . We can complete  $E_a$ ,  $JE_a$  by the Gram-Schmidt process to a moving local frame by adding  $E_i \in \Gamma L$  with  $(E_i)_x = e_i$ . In terms of such a moving frame the transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} : Q \to Q$  is given by

(2.10) 
$$\rho_{\nabla} = \sum J R_{\nabla}(E_a, J E_a)$$

. In fact, let  $s\in \Gamma Q.$  Then by (2.6-1) and (2.6-3)

$$R_{\nabla}(E_a, JE_a)s = -R_{\nabla}(JE_a, E_a)s = R_{\nabla}(E_a, s)JE_a + R_{\nabla}(s, JE_a)E_a$$
$$= JR_{\nabla}(E_a, s)E_a - JR_{\nabla}(s, JE_a)JE_a$$
$$= -J(R_{\nabla}(s, E_a)E_a + R_{\nabla}(s, JE_a)JE_a)$$

or

$$JR_{\nabla}(E_a, JE_a)s = R_{\nabla}(s, E_a)E_a + R_{\nabla}(s, JE_a)JE_a$$

. It follows that

$$\rho_{\nabla}s = \sum (R_{\nabla}(s, E_a)E_a + R_{\nabla}(s, JE_a)JE_a)$$

is given by (2.10).



### 3. Infinitesimal automorphisms

The transverse Lie derivative  $\theta(Y)$  with respect to  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  is defined by

(3.1) 
$$\theta(Y)s = \pi([Y, Y_s])$$

for any  $s \in \Gamma Q$  with  $\pi(Y_s) = s$ .

**Definition 3.1.** If  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  satisfies  $\theta(Y)g_Q = 0$ , then  $s = \pi(Y)$  is Called a transverse Killing field of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

If  $g_M$  is a bundle - like metric on M and  $Y \in \Gamma TM$  a Killing vector field for  $(M, g_M)$ , then  $\pi(Y)$  is transversal Killing field for  $g_Q$ . But the converse is not necessarily true:  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  may satisfy  $\theta(Y)g_Q = 0$  without satisfying  $\theta(Y)g_M = 0$ . Under what condition, is it true? In orther to this, let us introduce the following tensors([6]):



for any vector field  $X, Y \in TM$ . Since the Riemannian foliation can be considered as Riemannian submersion locally, it is possible. And the following properties hold;

$$A_X = 0$$
 and  $A_U V = -A_V U$   
 $T_U = 0$  and  $T_X Y = T_Y X$ 

for any  $X, Y \in \Gamma L$  and  $U, V \in \Gamma Q$ . The Riemannian foliation is said to be totally geodesic if all the leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds, that is,

T = 0. Moreover, the normal bundle  $L^{\perp} \equiv Q$  is integrable if and only if A = 0 (in this case the integral submanifolds of  $L^{\perp}$  are totally geodesic). For any  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ , we have

$$(\theta(Y)g_M)(Z,W)$$
  
=  $Yg_M(Z,W) - g_M(\theta(Y)Z,W) - g_M(Z,\theta(Y)W)$ 

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma TM$ . On the other hand, since  $TM = L + L^{\perp}$ , and  $g_M = g_L + g_Q$ , we have

$$Yg_M(Z, W) = Yg_L(\pi^{\perp}(Z), \pi^{\perp}(W)) + Yg_Q(\pi(Z), \pi(W)).$$

Also, using the property  $\theta(Y)s = \pi[Y, Y_s], \pi(Y_s) = s$ , we have

$$g_M(\theta(Y)Z,W) = g_M(\theta(Y)\pi(Z),\pi(W)) + g_M(\theta(Y)\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)).$$

Similarly

$$g_M(Z,\theta(Y)W) = g_M(\pi(Z),\theta(Y)\pi(W)) + g_M(\theta(Y)\pi^{\perp}(W),\pi^{\perp}(Z)).$$

Summing up the above equations, we have

(3.2) 
$$(\theta(Y)g_M)(Z,W) = (\theta(Y)g_L)(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) + (\theta(Y)g_Q)(\pi(Z),\pi(W)).$$

On the other hand, since we get

$$\begin{split} (\theta(Y)g_{L})(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) &= Yg_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) - g_{M}(\theta(Y)\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &- g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\theta(Y)\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &= Yg_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) - g_{M}([Y,\pi^{\perp}(Z)],\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &- g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),[Y,\pi^{\perp}(W)]) \\ &= Yg_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) - g_{M}((\nabla^{M}_{Y}\pi^{\perp}(Z) - \nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y),\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &- g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),(\nabla^{M}_{Y}\pi^{\perp}(W) - \nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(W)}Y)) \\ &= Yg_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) - g_{M}(\nabla^{M}_{Y}\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &- g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\nabla^{M}_{Y}\pi^{\perp}(W)) + g_{M}(\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y,\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &+ g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(W)}Y) \\ &= (\nabla^{M}_{Y}g_{M})(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\pi^{\perp}(W)) + g_{M}(\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y,\pi^{\perp}(W)) \\ &+ g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(W)}Y) \\ &= g_{M}(\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y,\pi^{\perp}(W)) + g_{M}(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\nabla^{M}_{\pi^{\perp}(W)}Y). \end{split}$$

we have

(3.3) 
$$(\theta(Y)g_M)(Z,W) = (\theta(Y)g_Q)(\pi(Z),\pi(W))$$
  
+  $g_M(\nabla^M_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y,\pi^{\perp}(W)) + g_M(\pi^{\perp}(Z),\nabla^M_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y)$ 

From this equality, we have

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\pi(Y)$  be the transversal Killing field of M, Then Y is a Killing field of M if and only if

(3.4) 
$$g_M(\nabla_Z^M Y, W) + g_M(Z, \nabla_W^M Y) = 0$$

for any  $Z, W \in \Gamma L$ .

From this Proposition 3.2, we have

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\pi Y$  be the transversal Killing field of M. If the infinitesimal automorphism Y is parallel along the leaves, then Y is a Killing field of M.

On the other hand, we have

(3.5)

$$g_M(\nabla^M_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}Y, \pi^{\perp}(W)) = g_M(T_ZY, \pi^{\perp}(W)) + g_M(\nabla^M_{\pi^{\perp}(Z)}\pi^{\perp}(Y), \pi^{\perp}(W))$$

From this equation, we have

**Coroally 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the totally geodesic foliation. Let  $\pi(Y)$  be the transversal Killing field of M. If the tangential part of the infinitesimal automorphism Y is parallel along the leaves, then Y is Killing field of M.

**Definition 3.5.** If  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  satisfies  $\nabla_X s = 0$ , then  $s = \pi(Y)$  is called a projectable.

**Definition 3.6.** If  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  satisfies  $\theta(Y)J = 0$ , then  $s = \pi(Y)$  is called a transversally holomorphic.

By definition, for  $Z \in \Gamma L^{\perp}$ 

(3.6) 
$$(\theta(Y)J)(Z) = \theta(Y)(JZ) - J(\theta(Y)Z)$$

But this expression equals  $\pi[Y, JZ] - J\pi[Y, Z]$ , which yields the formula

(3.7) 
$$(\theta(Y)J)(Z) = -\nabla_{JZ}s + J\nabla_{Z}s$$

for  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  and  $s = \pi(Y)$ . Hence  $s = \pi(Y)$  is a transversally holomorphic if and only if

(3.8) 
$$\nabla_{JZ}s = J\nabla_{Z}s \quad \text{for all} Z \in \Gamma L^{\perp}.$$



### 4. Vanishing Theorem

Let  $\Omega^r(M,Q) \cong \Gamma(Q) \oplus \Omega^r(M)$  be the space of Q-valued r-forms over M, where  $\Omega^r(M)$  is a space of differential r-forms on M. For any  $s \in \Gamma(Q)$  and  $\eta \in \Omega^r(M)$ , the element  $s \oplus \eta \in \Omega^r(M,Q)$  is usually abbreviated to  $s\eta$ . We can consider the connection  $\nabla$  given in (2.2) as an R-Liner map  $\nabla : \Omega^0(M,Q)$  $\to \Omega^1(M,Q)$  such that

(4.1) 
$$\nabla(fs) = f\nabla s + sdf$$

for any  $f \in \Omega^0(M), s \in \Gamma(Q)$  and such that

$$(4.2) d < s_1, s_2 >= \nabla s_1 \wedge s_2 + s_1 \wedge \nabla s_2$$

for any  $s_1, s_2 \in \Omega^0(M, Q)$ , where we define

$$s_1\eta_1 \wedge s_2\eta_2 = < s_1, s_2 > \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2$$

for any  $s_1\eta_1 \in \Omega^r(M, Q)$  and  $s_2\eta_2 \in \Omega^s(M, Q)$ . By the usual algebraic formalism,  $\nabla : \Omega^0(M, Q) \to \Omega^1(M, Q)$  can be extended to an anti-derivation

$$d_{\nabla}: \Omega^{r}(M, Q) \to \Omega^{r+1}(M, Q)$$

by the following rule: if  $s\eta \in \Omega^r(M, Q)$ , then

(4.3) 
$$d_{\nabla}(s\eta) = \nabla s \wedge \eta + s(d\eta)$$

for  $s \in \Gamma(Q), \eta \in \Omega^{r}(M, Q)$ . for a Riemannian metric  $g_{M}$  on M, we extend the star operator  $*: \Omega^{r}(M) \to \Omega^{n-r}(M)(n = \dim M)$  to

$$*: \Omega^r(M, Q) \to \Omega^{n-r}(M, Q)$$

as follows : if  $s \in \Gamma(Q)$  and  $\eta \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ , then

(4.4) 
$$*(s\eta) = s(*\eta)$$

Moreover the operator  $d^*_{\nabla}: \Omega^r(M, Q) \to \Omega^{r-1}(m, Q)$  given by

(4.5) 
$$d_{\nabla}^{*}\phi = (-1)^{n(r+1)+1} * d_{\nabla} * \phi, \phi \in \Omega^{r}(M,Q)$$

is adjoint of  $d_{\nabla}$  with respect to an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined by

(4.6) 
$$\langle s_1\eta_1, s_2\eta_2 \rangle = g_Q(s_1, s_2)(\eta_1, \eta_2).$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta$  for  $\Omega^*(M, Q)$  is given by

(4.7) 
$$\Delta = d_{\nabla} d_{\nabla}^* + d_{\nabla}^* d_{\nabla}$$

Let  $e_1, \cdot, e_n$  be orthonormal basis of  $T_x M$  and  $E_1, \cdot, E_n$  a local framing of TMin a neighborhood of x, coinciding with  $e_1, \cdot, e_n$  at x and satisfying  $\nabla_{e_A}^M E_B =$  $(\nabla_{E_A}^M E_B)_x = 0(A, B = 1, \cdot, n)$ , where  $\nabla^M$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $(M, g_M)$ . Let  $w^A$  be a coframe field of  $e_A$ . Then on  $\Omega^*(M, Q)$  We have

(4.8) 
$$d_{\nabla} = \sum w^A \wedge \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A}, \quad d^*_{\nabla} = -\sum i(e_a) \tilde{\nabla}_{e_A},$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is a connection on  $\Omega^*(M, Q)$  defined as

$$\nabla_X(s\eta) = (\nabla_X s)\eta + s(\nabla_X^M \eta)$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$i(X)(s\eta) = s[i(X)\eta]$$

for  $s \in \Gamma L, \eta \in A^*(M)$ . The Q-valued bilinear form  $\alpha$  on M is defined by

(4.9) 
$$\alpha(X,Y) = -(\nabla_X \pi)(Y)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma TM$  ([9]). Since  $\alpha(X, Y) = \pi(\nabla_X^M Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma L$ , we call  $\alpha$  the second fundamental form of  $\mathcal{F}([9])$ . The tension field  $\tau$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by

(4.10,) 
$$\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha(E_i, E_i)$$

where  $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\dots,p}$  is an orthonormal basis of L. We remark that  $\tau = d_{\nabla}^* \pi \in \Gamma Q([9])$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is minimal (or harmonic) if  $\tau = 0([9])$ .

**Theroem 4.1([2]).** (Transversal divergence theroem) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a transversally oriented Riemannian foliation on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_M)$ . Let  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ , then

$$\int_{M} div_{B}Y \cdot \mu = \int_{M} g_{Q}(\tau, Y) \cdot \nu = <\tau, Y >$$

(the global scalar product of sections  $\tau$  and Y of Q).

We calculate the Laplacian of  $S = \pi(Y)$  :

$$(\Delta s)_{\boldsymbol{x}} = (d_{\nabla}^* d_{\nabla} s)_{\boldsymbol{x}} = -\sum_{A=1}^m (\nabla_{e_A} (d_{\nabla} s))(e_A) = -\sum_{A=1}^m (\nabla_{e_A} \nabla_{E_A} s - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_A}^M} E_A s).$$

Since  $\nabla_{e_a} E_a = \pi(\nabla_{e_a}^M E_a) = 0$ , we have  $\nabla_{e_a}^M E_a \in \Gamma L_x$ . This implies that  $\nabla_{\nabla_{e_A}^M E_A} s = \nabla_{\tau_x} s$ , where  $\tau_x = \sum_i^p \pi(\nabla_{e_i}^M E_i)$  is the mean curvature vector field of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence we have

(4.11) 
$$\Delta s = -\sum_{a=p+1}^{p+n} \nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{E_a} s - \sum_{a=p+1}^{p+n} \nabla_{Je_a} \nabla_{JE_a} s + \nabla_{\tau} s$$

**Lemma 4.2.** If  $s = \pi(Y)$  is a transversally holomorphic, then

$$\Delta s = \rho_{\nabla} s + \nabla_{\tau} s$$

*Proof.* Since  $s = \pi(Y)$  is projectable,  $\nabla_{[Je_a, e_a]} s = 0$ . By (2.3), we have

$$R_{\nabla}(J\epsilon_{a}, \epsilon_{a})s = J\nabla_{\epsilon_{a}}\nabla_{E_{a}}s + J\nabla_{J\epsilon_{a}}\nabla_{JE_{a}}s$$

Therefore we get

$$-\sum_{a} \nabla_{e_{a}} \nabla_{E_{a}} s - \sum_{a} \nabla_{Je_{a}} \nabla_{JE_{a}} s = \sum_{a} JR_{\nabla}(Je_{a}, e_{a})s = \rho_{\nabla}s.$$

From (4.11), we obtain the result.  $\Box$ 

Next we evaluate for  $x \in M$ 

$$<\theta(Y)J,\theta(Y)J>_{x}$$

$$=\sum_{a=p+1}^{p+n}g_{Q}((\theta(Y)J)e_{a},(\theta(Y)J)e_{a})$$

$$+\sum_{a=p+1}^{p+n}g_{Q}((\theta(Y)J)(Je_{a}),(\theta(Y)J)(Je_{a}))$$

$$=\sum_{a}g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s-J\nabla_{e_{a}}s,\nabla_{Je_{a}}s-J\nabla_{e_{a}}s)$$

$$+\sum_{a}g_{Q}(\nabla_{e_{a}}s+J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s,\nabla_{e_{a}}s+J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s)$$

The second sum equals

$$\sum_{a} g_Q(J\nabla_{e_a}s - \nabla_{Je_a}s, J\nabla_{e_a}s - \nabla_{Je_a}s).$$

and thus equals the first sum. It follows that

$$< \theta(Y)J, \theta(Y)J >_{x}$$

$$= 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s - J\nabla_{e_{a}}s, \nabla_{Je_{a}}s)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s + \nabla_{e_{a}}s, \nabla_{e_{a}}s)$$

$$= 2\sum_{a} Je_{a}g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s - J\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$- 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s - J\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{a} e_{a}g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s + \nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$- 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{e_{a}}J(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s) + \nabla_{e_{a}}\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$= 2\sum_{a} Je_{a}g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s - J\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s) - 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}\nabla_{Je_{a}}s, s)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s - J\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s) - 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s + \nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$+ 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}\nabla_{e_{a}}s, s) + 2\sum_{a} e_{a}g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}s + \nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

$$- 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(J\nabla_{Je_{a}}\nabla_{fe_{a}}s, s) - 2\sum_{a} g_{Q}(\nabla_{Je_{a}}s + \nabla_{e_{a}}s, s)$$

Since  $R_{\nabla}(Je_a, e_a)s = \nabla_{Je_a}\nabla_{E_a}s - \nabla_{e_a}\nabla_{JE_a}s - \nabla_{\{[JE_a, E_a]\}}s$  where the last term vanishes by (2.8) and  $\Delta s = -\sum_a \nabla_{e_a}\nabla_{E_a}s - \sum_a \nabla_{Je_a}\nabla_{JE_a}s + \nabla_{\tau}s$ . Hence we have

$$<\theta(Y)J,\theta(Y)J>_{x}$$
$$=2\sum_{a}g_{Q}(JR_{\nabla}(JE_{a},E_{a})s,s)_{x}+2g_{Q}(\bigtriangleup s-\nabla_{\tau}s,s)_{x}+2(div_{B}Z)_{x}$$

where  $Z \in \Gamma Q$  is defined by

$$g_Q(Z, X) = g_Q(\nabla_X s + J \nabla_J X s, s) \text{ for } X \in \Gamma Q$$

and the transversal divergence  $div_B Z$  of Z is defined as the unique scalar satisfying  $\theta(Z)\nu = div_B Z \cdot \nu$ ,  $\nu$  being the transversal volume form defined by  $g_Q([4])$ . From (2.10), It follows that (4.12)

$$<\theta(Y)J,\theta(Y)J>_{x}=2(div_{B}Z)_{x}+2g_{Q}(\bigtriangleup s,s)_{x}-2g_{Q}(\rho_{\nabla}(s),s)_{x}$$
$$-2g_{Q}(\nabla_{\tau}s,s)_{x}$$

By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\int_{M} div_{B} Z = \ll Z, \tau \gg$$
$$= \ll \nabla_{\tau} s + J \nabla_{J\tau} s, s \gg J$$

Integrating (4.12), we have

**Proposition 4.3.** For  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \ll \theta(Y)J, \theta(Y)J \gg = \ll \Delta s, s \gg - \ll \rho_{\nabla}s, s \gg + \ll J\nabla_{J\tau}s, s \gg$$

where  $s = \pi(Y)$ . **Coroally 4.4.** If  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  is a transversally holomorphic, then we have

$$\ll \Delta s, s \gg = \ll \rho_{\nabla} s, s \gg + \ll \nabla_{\tau} s, s \gg$$

where  $s = \pi(Y)$ .

Let  $s \in \Gamma Q$  be any section. We obtain the classical identity([3],[5])

(4.13) 
$$-\frac{1}{2} \Delta g_Q(s,s) = g_Q(\nabla s, \nabla s) - g_Q(\Delta s, s).$$

The Laplacian on the LHS is the ordinary Laplacian  $d^*d$  of the function on M. The first term on the RHS is the induced norm square on  $\nabla s \in \Omega^1(M, Q)$ . In

fact, let  $x \in M$  and  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in T_x M$  an orthonormal frame. Let  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ be an extension of  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  to an orthonormal frame of TM in a neighborhood of x, and satisfying  $\nabla_{e_i}^M E_j = 0$ , i.e. the value at x of  $\nabla_X^M E_j$  equals 0 for any vertor field X such that  $X_x = e_i$ . With these notations, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2} \Delta g_Q(s,s)_x = -\frac{1}{2} (d^* dg_Q(s,s))_x = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{e_i}^M dg_Q(s,s))(e_i)/2$$

$$= \sum_i [\nabla_{e_i}^M (dg_Q(s,s)(e_i)) - dg_Q(s,s)(\nabla_{e_i}^M E_i)]/2$$

$$= \sum_i \nabla_{e_i}^M (E_i g_Q(s,s))/2$$

$$= \sum_i \nabla_{e_i}^M (g_Q(\nabla_{E_i} s,s) + g_Q(s,\nabla_{E_i} s))/2$$

$$= \sum_i Q_Q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{E_i} s,s)$$

$$= \sum_i g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{E_i} s,s) + \sum_i g_Q(\nabla_{E_i} s,\nabla_{e_i} s)$$

$$= -\sum_i g_Q(\Delta s,s) + \sum_i g_Q(\nabla_{e_i} s,\nabla_{e_i} s)$$

Summing up Proposition (4.3) and (4.13), we have

**Proposition 4.5.** For  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  and  $s = \pi(Y)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \Delta |s|^{2} = \frac{1}{2} ||\theta(Y)J||^{2} + \ll \rho_{\nabla} s, s \gg - \ll J \nabla_{J\tau} s, s \gg - ||\nabla s||^{2}$$

**Coroally 4.6.** If  $Y \in V(\mathcal{F})$  is a transversally holomorphic, then

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int_{M} \Delta |s|^{2} = -\ll \rho_{\nabla} s, s \gg -\ll \nabla_{\tau} s, s \gg + ||\nabla s||^{2}$$

From this Coroally 4.6, we obtain

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a non-harmonic Kähler foliation on a closed manifold M with transversal Ricci operator  $\rho_{\nabla} \leq 0$ . If the transversally holomorphic infinitesimal automorphism is parallel in direction to the mean curvature vector, then  $\nabla \pi(Y) = 0$ . Moreover, if  $\rho_{\nabla} < 0$  at some point  $x \in M$  and  $\nabla_{\tau} \pi(Y) = 0$ , then  $\pi(Y) = 0$ , i.e.,  $Y \in \Gamma L$ .



#### REFERENCES

- C. Ehesmann and G. Reeb, Sur les champs d'elements complement integrables, C. R. Ax. Sci. Paris 218 (1944), 955-957.
- [2]. F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Harmonic foliations*, Proc. conference on Harmonic maps, Springer Lecture Notes 949(1982), 87-121
- [3]. F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, Infinitesimal automorphisms and second variation of the energy for harmonic foliations, Tohoku Math. J. 34(1982), 525-538
- [4]. F. W. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur and G. Toth, Transversal jacobi fields for harmonic foliations, Michigan Math. J. 34 (1987), 261-266
- [5]. S. Nishikawa and Ph. Tondeur, Transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for harmonic kähler foliations, Tohoku Maht. J. 40(1988), 599-611
- [6]. B. O'Neill, Thr fundamental equation of a submersion, Michigan Math. J. 13(1966), 459-469
- [7]. J. S. Pak and S. Yorozu, Transverse fields on foliated Riemannian manifolds, J. Korean Math. Soc.25(1988), 83-92
- [8]. B. L. Rienhart, Foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrics, Ann. of Math. 69(1959), 119-132

- [9]. Ph. Tondeur and G. Toth, On transversal infinitesimal automorphisms for harmonic foliations, Geometriae Dedicata.24(1987), 229-236
- Ph. Tondeur, Foliations on riemannian manifolds Springer-Verlag, New York - Berlin - London - Paris - Tokyo (1988)
- [11]. S. Yorozu and T. Tanemura, Green's thereous on a foliated Riemannian manifold and its applications, Acta Math. Hungar.56(1990),239-245



<國文抄絲>

Kähler 엽종구조를 갖는 리만[I양체 상에서의 횡단적 무한소 자기동형

本 論文은 조화적인 Kähler 엽충구조 상에서의 S.Nishikawa 와 Ph.Tondeur([5]) 가 연구한 결과를 비조화적인 Kähler 엽충구조 를 갖는 다양체로 확장을 하여 다음과 같은 정리를 얻었다.

(정리).  $(M, g_M, \mathcal{F})$ 를 비조화적인 Kähler 엽충구조  $\mathcal{F}$ 와 bundle - like 계량  $g_M$ 을 갖는 compact인 다양체라 하자. 만약 횡단적 곡률  $\rho_{\nabla}$ 이 양이 아닐 때 엽충  $\mathcal{F}$ 의 평균곡률 방향으로 평행인 정칙인 무한소 자기동형인 벡터는 모든 방향으로도 평행이다. 즉  $\nabla \pi(Y) = 0$ 이다. 더욱이, 어떤점에서  $\rho_{\nabla} < 0$ 일때  $\nabla_{\tau} \pi(Y) = 0$ 이면,  $\pi(Y) = 0$ . 즉 Y는 엽충  $\mathcal{F}$ 의 접벡터가된다.

더블어서, 횡단적 Killing 벡터와 Killing 벡터의 관계도 연구하였다.

JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY LIBRAR

00

## 감사의 글

먼저 지금까지 나를 인도하신 하나님께 감사와 영광을 돌려 드립니다.

본 논문이 완성되기까지 처음부터 끝까지 세심한 지도를 아끼지 않으시고 용기를 북돋 워 주신 정승달교수님께 깊은 감사를 드립니다. 그리고 자세한 검토와 조언으로 애써주신 송석준교수님과 현진오교수님께도 감사드립니다. 대학원 과정동안 열심히 강의를 해주신 고봉수교수님, 고윤희교수님, 그리고 김철수교수님께도 고마음의 뜻을 전합니다.

서로 배우고 의지하며 같이 지낸 대학원 동기생들과 후배들에게도 감사의 마음을 전하고 여러 가지로 많은 도움을 준 이경은조교님에게도 감사 드립니다.

언제나 기도로써 격려하며 사랑으로 함께하는 양성균목사님과 행원교회 모든 성도 님들에게도 감사와 사랑의 마음을 전합니다.

그리고 한국방송대학교 제주지역학습관 임홍선관장님을 비롯하여 임직원 모든분들꼐 도 감사의 마음을 드립니다.

끝으로 말없이 지켜보시며 회생으로써 격려해주신 부모님을 비롯하여 큰형과 형수님, 작은형과 형수님 그리고 동생과 조카들, 사랑하는 회수와 함께 이 기쁨을 나누고 싶고 또한 저를 기억하는 모든분들과도 기쁨을 함께하겠습니다.

#### 1997년 **6**월